Minutes for W-17-004, Day 3
The Ballard Coalition
Wednesday, November 29, 2017

Time: 8:30 a.m.
Examiner: Ryan Vancil
Assistant: Alayna Johnson

Party Representatives:

Pat Schneider, Josh Brower, and Danielle Granatt, for Appellant
Erin Ferguson and Tadas Kiselius, for Department

Matthew Cohen, for Intervenor

Date

11/29/2017 Location Large Hearing Room

Time	Speak Note
8:30:26 AM	Hearing Examiner opens Day 3 of the hearing.
8:31:35 AM	Brower continues direct examination of Cohen.
8:34:42 AM	Brower asks Cohen about his review of the economic considerations report in the draft EIS.
8:35:45 AM	Cohen describes a hedonic model.
8:38:11 AM	Brower asks Cohen about Appellant exhibit A-350.64 and it is marked as Exhibit A15.
8:41:04 AM	Five minute break.
8:49:24 AM	Back on the record.
8:49:29 AM	Brower continues direct examination of Cohen, discussing Exhibit A15.
8:55:26 AM	Cohen objects; questions are not about the preferred alternative.
8:55:42 AM	Brower responds.
8:57:18 AM	Overruled.
8:57:41 AM	Ferguson objects to testimony by counsel; sustained.
9:00:12 AM	Brower moves to admit Exhibit A15. It is admitted.
9:00:23 AM	Appellant Exhibit A-350.64 is marked as Exhibit A16.
9:00:54 AM	Brower asks cohen about Exhibit A16 and A15.
9:07:54 AM	Brower asks Cohen about the significant impacts to Ballard Marina and Salmon Bay Sand and Gravel mentioned on page 4-12 of the draft EIS.
9:10:44 AM	Brower moves to admit A16. It is admitted.
9:12:05 AM	Appellant exhibit A-350.66, economic considerations report from the final draft of the EIS is marked as Exhibit A17.
9:14:36 AM	Brower asks Cohen about language changes on Page 4-11 of Exhibit A16.
9:17:59 AM	Brower asks Cohen about page 4-9 of Exhibit A17.
9:19:28 AM	Brower moves to admit Exhibit A17 and it is admitted.
9:27:33 AM	Brower asks about speaking to affected businesses about potential projects.
9:30:57 AM	Brower asks about flaws in the data analysis presented in the EIS for economic impacts.
9:35:16 AM	Brower asks Cohen about changes in the data between the draft and the final EIS.
9:36:57 AM	Brower asks Cohen which versoin of the EIS most accurately represents the economic impacts.
9:38:46 AM	Brower asks Cohen if the missing link would create more than moderate adverse impacts on the businesses in the area.
9:39:23 AM	Brower concludes.
9:39:32 AM	Ferguson begins cross examination of Cohen.
9:39:50 AM	Ferguson asks if the economic study is adequate for a SEPA review.
9:44:39 AM	Ferguson asks if it is possible to isolate impacts of the trail from other broader shifts in the area.
9:46:10 AM	Brower objects; question in deposition is not the same as question being asked.

11/29/2017 2 of 7

9:49:34 AM	Ferguson asks if any business will fail due to the construction of the trail.
<u> </u>	,
9:52:01 AM	Ferguson asks about "more than moderate adverse impacts" on the business environment.
9:56:24 AM	Ferguson asks about the changes from the draft EIS to the final EIS.
9:59:04 AM	Brower objects; mistating prior testimony. Overruled.
10:01:53 AM	Ferguson asks if the best practices described by Cohen are required by SEPA.
<u>10:02:51 AM</u>	Ferguson concludes.
<u>10:02:55 AM</u>	M. Cohen begins cross examination of S. Cohen.
<u>10:05:54 AM</u>	Brower objects; asked and answered.
<u>10:06:13 AM</u>	Overruled; question is different.
<u>10:09:53 AM</u>	Cohen concludes.
<u>10:09:57 AM</u>	Examiner asks questions of Cohen.
<u>10:15:46 AM</u>	Examiner concludes.
<u>10:15:52 AM</u>	Brower begins re-direct examination of Cohen.
<u>10:16:43 AM</u>	Cohen objects, Brower clarifies; objection withdrawn.
10:19:37 AM	Cohen objects; questions not related to cross. Sustained.
10:22:35 AM	Brower concludes.
10:22:58 AM	Break. Back at 10:45.
10:46:01 AM	Back on the record.
10:46:10 AM	Appellant calls Paul Merdrum as a witness and he is sworn in.
10:46:41 AM	Brower begins direct examination of Merdrum, vice president of Salmon Bay Sand and Gravel.
10:52:39 AM	Ferguson objects; relevance.
<u>10:53:54 AM</u>	Overruled.
<u>10:54:41 AM</u>	Brower asks about wages earned by Merdrum's employees.
10:55:07 AM	Ferguson objects, Kiselius shares objection; leading questions. Sustained.
10:58:18 AM	Brower asks Merdrum about Exhibit A1, Bishop's auto-turn analysis.
11:02:28 AM	Ferguson objects; calls for speculation.
<u>11:02:43 AM</u>	Sustained; rephrase question.
11:04:59 AM	Ferguson objects; not related to EIS. Question had already been answered.
11:06:03 AM	Brower asks Merdrum about the hourly wage of his employees.
11:07:23 AM	Brower asks Merdrum about what he would need to pay flaggers and how that would affect his business.
11:07:53 AM	Ferguson objects; overruled.
11:08:52 AM	Cohen objects; lack of foundation.
11:09:08 AM	Brower responds.
<u>11:10:46 AM</u>	Sustained. Question rephrased.
11:21:31 AM	Brower asks Merdrum about the rail lines in the area.
11:24:11 AM	Ferguson objects; relevance of the railroad.
11:25:23 AM	Overruled.

11/29/2017 3 of 7

11:25:59 AM	Objection; leading witness. Sustained.
11:31:39 AM	Brower asks Merdrum about impacts of delay on business.
11:32:19 AM	Brower asks Merdrum if his business may fail due to the trail.
<u>11:34:39 AM</u>	Brower concludes.
11:34:43 AM	Ferguson begins cross examination of Merdrum.
11:36:32 AM	Ferguson asks if Merdrum recalls a conversation with an SDOT consultant.
44.00 55 414	
11:39:55 AM	Ferguson concludes.
11:39:59 AM	Cohen begins cross examination of Merdrum.
11:45:30 AM	Brower objects; relevance.
11:45:36 AM	Cohen responds.
11:46:32 AM	Brower replies.
11:46:35 AM	Overruled.
<u>11:55:13 AM</u>	Brower objects; mischaracterizing. Overruled.
11:57:40 AM	Brower objects; outside the scope of EIS.
<u>11:58:12 AM</u>	Cohen responds.
<u>11:59:34 AM</u>	Sustained.
11:59:47 AM	Cohen concludes.
11:59:51 AM	Examiner asks questions of Merdrum.
12:01:28 PM	Examiner concludes.
12:01:29 PM	Brower begins re-direct examinaion of Merdrum.
12:02:16 PM	Cohen objects; lack of foundation. Already answered.
12:04:57 PM	Cohen objects; beyond scope of cross. Brower responds.
12:05:43 PM	Sustained.
12:06:09 PM	Cohen objects; same line of questioning.
12:06:18 PM	Sustained.
12:06:36 PM	Brower asks about number of employees and trucks at his business.
12:06:55 PM	Brower concludes.
12:08:25 PM	Appellant reserves ability to call Claire Hoffman as a witness in the future.
12:09:30 PM	Break for lunch. Back at 1:30.
1:30:41 PM	Back on the record.
1:30:47 PM	Kiselius gives brief outline of how they will present their case.
1:31:52 PM	Respondent calls Erinn Ellig as a witness and she is sworn in.
1:32:04 PM	Kiselius begins direct examination of Ellig, from Parametrics.
1:34:50 PM	Kiselius asks Ellig about her experience working on other non-motorized
	transportatoin plans.
1:36:53 PM	Kiselius offers Ellig's resume as an exhibit. It will be marked as Exhibit R4.
1:37:49 PM	Exhibit R4 is admitted.
1:43:55 PM	Kiselius asks Ellig about the transportation discipline report in Exhibit R3.
1:47:55 PM	Ellig refers to Table 4-1.
1:50:50 PM	Ellig refers to Appendix B and C, and Table C-1.

11/29/2017 4 of 7

Lis2:40 PM	1:52:20 PM	Kiselius asks about the sufficiency of the data collected on the driveways.
1.54:13 PM	1:52:40 PM	
1:54:36 PM Objection; offering testimony beyond the scope of the question. 1:56:26 PM Sustained. 1:56:08 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about methodology and data collected for analysis. 2:00:13 PM Ellig refers to Chapter 4 of the transportation discipline report, page 431, figure 4-10. 2:02:08 PM Brower objects; no foundation for testimony and use of "we" in testimony. 2:03:00 PM Overruled. 2:05:46 PM Kiselius asks about impacts for various forms of transportation. 2:11:19 PM Kiselius asks about impacts for various forms of transportation. 2:11:19 PM Kiselius asks about business interviews that were conducted for the EIS. 2:14:29 PM Ellig refers to Interview with Paul Merdrum in Appendix B of the transportation discipline report. 2:16:24 PM Kiselius asks about video data taken for driveways. 2:17:14 PM Ellig refers to chapter 3 of the Transportation Discipline Report, page 3-2, Figure 3-1. 2:23:17 PM Kiselius asks about current conditions regarding truck turns, bicycle traffic, and pedestrians. 2:24:01 PM Kiselius asks about design level. 2:27:46 PM Kiselius asks about design level. 2:27:46 PM Objection; foundation. 2:29:07 PM Overruled. 2:29:07 PM Overruled. 2:29:07 PM Discovery issue will be brought in a motion. 2:43:26 PM Kiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis. 2:45:18 PM Ellig refers to chapter 1 of the Transportation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5. 2:48:56 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendics, marked as Exhibit R7.	1:54:13 PM	
1:55:47 PM Sustained. 1:58:08 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about methodology and data collected for analysis. 2:00:13 PM Ellig refers to Chapter 4 of the transportation discipline report, page 431, figure 4-10. 2:02:08 PM Brower objects; no foundation for testimony and use of "we" in testimony. 2:03:00 PM Overruled. 2:05:46 PM Kiselius asks how the methodology was created for this ElS. 2:08:17 PM Kiselius asks about impacts for various forms of transportation. 2:11:19 PM Kiselius asks about business interviews that were conducted for the ElS. 2:14:29 PM Ellig refers to Interview with Paul Merdrum in Appendix B of the transportation discipline report. 3:16:24 PM Kiselius asks about video data taken for driveways. 2:17:14 PM Ellig refers to chapter 3 of the Transportation Discipline Report, page 3-2, Figure 3-1. 3:23:17 PM Kiselius asks about current conditions regarding truck turns, bicycle traffic, and pedestrians. 3:2:24:01 PM Kiselius asks about design level. 3:2:7:46 PM Kiselius asks about design level. 3:2:7:46 PM Cobjection; foundation. 3:2:29:07 PM Coverruled. 3:2:29:07 PM Coverruled. 3:2:29:01 PM Discovery issue Brower objects to Ellig as an expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses. 3:2:29:14 PM Discovery issue will be brought in a motion. 3:43:26 PM Kiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis. 3:44:13 PM Kiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis. 3:45:56 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7. 3:55:00 PM Ellig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		
1:56:26 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about methodology and data collected for analysis. 2:00:13 PM Ellig refers to Chapter 4 of the transportation discipline report, page 431, figure 4-10. 2:02:08 PM Brower objects; no foundation for testimony and use of "we" in testimony. 2:03:00 PM Overruled. 2:05:46 PM Kiselius asks how the methodology was created for this EIS. 2:08:17 PM Kiselius asks about impacts for various forms of transportation. 2:11:19 PM Kiselius asks about business interviews that were conducted for the EIS. 2:14:29 PM Kiselius asks about video data taken for driveways. 2:16:24 PM Kiselius asks about video data taken for driveways. Ellig refers to chapter 3 of the Transportation Discipline Report, page 3-2, Figure 3-1. 2:23:17 PM Kiselius asks about current conditions regarding truck turns, bicycle traffic, and pedestrians. Kiselius asks about impacts to freight traffic, referring to chapter 5 of the Transportation Discipline Report. Kiselius asks about design level. 2:27:46 PM Kiselius asks about design level. 2:27:46 PM Objection; foundation. 0:29:07 PM Overruled. 2:29:07 PM Discovery issue will be brought in a motion. 2:40:01 PM Discovery issue will be brought in a motion. Kiselius asks about derice examination of Ellig. Kiselius asks about sake fired examination of Ellig. Kiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis. 2:44:13 PM Kiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis. 2:45:18 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R5. Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.		Objection; offering testimony beyond the scope of the guestion.
1:58:08 PM		
figure 4-10.		Kiselius asks Ellig about methodology and data collected for analysis.
2:03:00 PM	2:00:13 PM	figure 4-10
Cio5:46 PM	2:02:08 PM	Brower objects; no foundation for testimony and use of "we" in testimony.
Cios:17 PM Kiselius asks about impacts for various forms of transportation.	2:03:00 PM	Overruled.
Citation	2:05:46 PM	Kiselius asks how the methodology was created for this EIS.
Citation	2:08:17 PM	Kiselius asks about impacts for various forms of transportation.
discipline report. 2:16:24 PM Kiselius asks about video data taken for driveways. 2:17:14 PM Ellig refers to chapter 3 of the Transportation Discipline Report, page 3-2, Figure 3-1. 2:23:17 PM Kiselius asks about current conditions regarding truck turns, bicycle traffic, and pedestrians. 2:24:01 PM Kiselius asks about impacts to freight traffic, referring to chapter 5 of the Transportation Discipline Report. 2:27:46 PM Kiselius asks about design level. 2:27:51 PM Objection; foundation. 2:29:07 PM Overruled. 2:29:14 PM Brower objects to Ellig as an expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses. 2:29:58 PM Discussion of discovery issue. 2:40:01 PM Discovery issue will be brought in a motion. 4:43:26 PM Kiselius continues direct examination of Ellig. 4:44:13 PM Kiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis. 2:48:56 PM Ellig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5. 2:48:56 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted. 2:53:47 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7. 2:55:00 PM Ellig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.	<u>2:11:19 PM</u>	
Ellig refers to chapter 3 of the Transportatoin Discipline Report, page 3-2, Figure 3-1. 2:23:17 PM	2:14:29 PM	Ellig refers to Interview with Paul Merdrum in Appendix B of the transportation discipline report.
Figure 3-1.	2:16:24 PM	Kiselius asks about video data taken for driveways.
and pedestrians. Kiselius asks about impacts to freight traffic, referring to chapter 5 of the Transportation Discipline Report. Ciechies asks about design level. Ciechies ask about design level. Ciechies asks about design level. Ciechies ask about design level. Ciechies asks ask about design level. Ciechies asks ask and a supert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses. Ciechies ask alw/expert witnesses. Ciechies ask ask and a supert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses. Ciechies ask ask and as expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses. Ciechies ask ask and a supert witnesses and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses. Ciechies asks ask and expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses. Ciechies asks ask ask and expert witness and other witnesses that were listed asks and other witnesses that were listed asks and expert witnesses and other witnesses that were listed asks and other witnesses that were listed asks and other witnesses that were listed asks and other witnesses and other witnesses. Ciechies asks asks and expert witnesses. Ciech	2:17:14 PM	Figure 3-1.
Circle C	2:23:17 PM	Kiselius asks about current conditions regarding truck turns, bicycle traffic, and pedestrians.
2:27:46 PMKiselius asks about design level.2:27:51 PMObjection; foundation.2:29:07 PMOverruled.2:29:14 PMBrower objects to Ellig as an expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses.2:29:58 PMDiscussion of discovery issue.2:40:01 PMDiscovery issue will be brought in a motion.2:43:26 PMKiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.	2:24:01 PM	Kiselius asks about impacts to freight traffic, referring to chapter 5 of the
2:27:51 PMObjection; foundation.2:29:07 PMOverruled.2:29:14 PMBrower objects to Ellig as an expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses.2:29:58 PMDiscussion of discovery issue.2:40:01 PMDiscovery issue will be brought in a motion.2:43:26 PMKiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.	2:27:46 PM	
2:29:07 PMOverruled.2:29:14 PMBrower objects to Ellig as an expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses.2:29:58 PMDiscussion of discovery issue.2:40:01 PMDiscovery issue will be brought in a motion.2:43:26 PMKiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		
2:29:14 PMBrower objects to Ellig as an expert witness and other witnesses that were listed as lay/expert witnesses.2:29:58 PMDiscussion of discovery issue.2:40:01 PMDiscovery issue will be brought in a motion.2:43:26 PMKiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		Overruled.
2:29:58 PMDiscussion of discovery issue.2:40:01 PMDiscovery issue will be brought in a motion.2:43:26 PMKiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		
2:40:01 PMDiscovery issue will be brought in a motion.2:43:26 PMKiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.	2:29:58 PM	Discussion of discovery issue.
2:43:26 PMKiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		Discovery issue will be brought in a motion.
2:44:13 PMKiselius asks if the level of design was sufficient for analysis.2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		
2:45:18 PMEllig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent exhibit C-13. It is marked as Exhibit R5.2:48:56 PMKiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.2:51:58 PMKiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted.2:53:47 PMKiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7.2:55:00 PMEllig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		
2:51:58 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about respondent exhibit C-11. It is marked as Exhibit R6 and is admitted. 2:53:47 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7. 2:55:00 PM Ellig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.		Ellig refers to chapter 1 of the Tranpsortation Discipline Report, respondent
and is admitted. 2:53:47 PM Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Freight Master Plan with Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7. 2:55:00 PM Ellig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.	2:48:56 PM	Kiselius moves to admit Exhibit R5 and it is admitted.
Appendices, marked as Exhibit R7. 2:55:00 PM Ellig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.	2:51:58 PM	
2:55:00 PM Ellig refers to Appendix C of Exhibit R7.	2:53:47 PM	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	2:55:00 PM	
	2:55:13 PM	Kiselius moves to admit Exhibit R7 and it is admitted.

11/29/2017 5 of 7

O.EC.4E DM	Kisalius saka Ellia about the City of Scottle Piavale Master Plan, respondent
2:56:15 PM	Kiselius asks Ellig about the City of Seattle Bicycle Master Plan, respondent exhibit C-8, marked as Exhibit R8.
2:57:40 PM	Kiselius asks about catalyst projects, page 40 of Exhibit R8.
2:58:25 PM	Kiselius moves to admit Exhibit R8 and it is admitted.
3:01:15 PM	Kiselius asks about safety of no-build versus preferred alternative.
3:01:52 PM	Safety of existing conditions are in chapter four of the Transportation Discipline Report, page 4-33.
3:04:00 PM	Ellig refers to chapter 5, page 5-1.
3:04:52 PM	Kiselius asks where barriers are discussed in the FEIS.
3:05:33 PM	Break.
3:22:52 PM	Back on the record.
3:23:12 PM	Discussion of discovery issue.
3:25:10 PM	Kiselius continues direct examination of Ellig.
3:25:48 PM	Ellig refers to page 5-18 of the Transportation Discipline Report.
3:27:50 PM	Ellig refers to Exhibit R1, page 129, Table 1-1.
3:32:46 PM	Kiselius asks Ellig about page 3-4 of the Transportaiton Discipline Report.
3:35:28 PM	Kiselius asks about chapter 5, page 5-20 and the risks of bicyclies moving in both directions and mitigation of those risks (page 1-5).
3:40:32 PM	Kiselius asks Ellig about the proposed alternatives in Figure 1-1.
3:41:27 PM	Brower objects; foundation. Sustained.
3:42:06 PM	Kiselius asks about mixing zones.
3:44:51 PM	Kiselius asks Ellig if the tesitmony of other witnesses has changed her
	opinion about the work she did on the EIS or the conclusions found in the EIS.
3:45:26 PM	Kiselius concludes.
3:47:13 PM	Brower begins direct examination of Ellig.
4:01:52 PM	Brower asks Ellig about sufficiency of design level.
4:07:28 PM	Brower asks Ellig about "would" versus "could."
4:09:16 PM	Kiselius objects; asked and answered. Sustained.
4:11:10 PM	Brower asks about freight impacts.
4:15:06 PM	Kiselius objects; attorney-client privlege. Overruled.
4:18:14 PM	Brower asks about Ellig's experience with safety analysis.
4:20:21 PM	Kiselius and Cohen object; mischaracterizing prior testimony and stating an usupported truism.
4:22:06 PM	Brower responds.
4:22:34 PM	Sustained; rephrase quesiton.
4:26:52 PM	Kiselius objects; asked and answered. Sustained.
4:27:10 PM	Brower asks about Ellig's interview with Merdrum.
4:31:58 PM	Kiselius objects; issue has been dismissed.
4:32:25 PM	Overruled; for limited purpose.
4:35:12 PM	Brower asks Ellig about Appellant exhibit A-250.11, page 3-5. Marked as Exhibit A18.
4:36:49 PM	Brower moves to admit A18. It is admitted.
4:37:19 PM	Brower asks Ellig about Appellant exhibit A-350.11 and it is marked as Exhibit A19.

11/29/2017 6 of 7

4:38:19 PM	Brower moves to admit Exhibit A19 and it is admitted.
4:50:08 PM	Brower concludes direct.
<u>4:51:45 PM</u>	Kiselius begins cross examination of Ellig.
<u>4:56:15 PM</u>	Kiselius concludes.
<u>4:56:19 PM</u>	Brower begins cross examination of Ellig.
4:57:33 PM	Brower asks Ellig about Appellant exhibit A-350.10, marked as Exhibit A20.
4:58:24 PM	Brower concludes.
4:58:34 PM	Brower moves to admit Exhibit A20 and it is admitted.
4:58:51 PM	Examiner asks questions of Ellig.
5:00:53 PM	Examiner asks Ellig to provide a list of the driveways used for the analysis.
<u>5:05:40 PM</u>	Examiner concludes.
<u>5:05:56 PM</u>	Examiner closes Day 3 of the hearing.

11/29/2017 7 of 7