Minutes for W-17-004, Day 2
The Ballard Coalition
Tuesday, November 28, 2017

Time: 8:30 a.m.
Examiner: Ryan Vancil
Assistant: Alayna Johnson

Party Representatives:

Pat Schneider, Josh Brower, and Danielle Granatt, for Appellant

Erin Ferguson and Tadas Kiselius, for Department

Matthew Cohen, for Intervenor

Date

11/28/2017 Location Large Hearing Room

Time	Speake Note
8:32:12 AM	Hearing Examiner opens day 2 of the hearing.
8:32:15 AM	Schneider continues direct examination of Hirschey and discussion of her report, exhibit A3.
8:37:49 AM	Hirshey describes what she observed when she visited the site of the project.
8:42:50 AM	Schneider concludes.
8:42:52 AM	Kiselius begins cross examination of Hirschey.
8:50:23 AM	Kiselius asks Hirschey about page 5 of her memo.
8:52:44 AM	Kiselius asks Hirschey about page 211, referring to conflict points of a contra-flow path.
9:01:07 AM	Schneider objects; Kiselius interrupting Hirschey. Sustained.
9:03:50 AM	Kiselius asks Hirschey about her testimony regarding driveway delay.
9:04:51 AM	Kiselius asks Hirschey about page 3-2 of the transportation discipline report in the tecnical appendices.
9:05:55 AM	EIS and executive summary will be entered as Exhibit R1.
9:08:06 AM	Comments and responses, volume 2 of the EIS, will be entered as R2.
9:08:12 AM	Technical appendices, volume 3 of the EIS, will be entered as R3.
9:08:37 AM	Kiselius continues cross-examination of Hirschey, referring to 3-3 and 3-4 in Exhibit R3.
<u>9:13:15 AM</u>	Kiselius asks Hirschey about page 10 of her memo.
9:16:52 AM	Kiselius asks Hirschey about Section 5.1 of tecnical appendicies.
9:18:49 AM	Schneider objects; Kiselius characterizing her testimony. Overruled; question already answered.
9:24:18 AM	Kiselius concludes.
9:24:24 AM	Cohen begins cross-examination of Hirschey.
9:27:09 AM	Cohen concludes.
9:27:12 AM	Examiner asks questions of Hirschey.
9:29:15 AM	Hirschey refers to Exhibit R3 page 3-7, safety analysis, and 5-19, preferred alternative safety analysis.
9:32:06 AM	Examiner asks Hirschey about relevance of lane widths.
9:36:44 AM	Examiner concludes.
9:36:47 AM	Schneider begins re-direct examination of Hirschey.
<u>9:37:45 AM</u>	Schneider asks Hirschey about page 18 of her report.
9:43:25 AM	Schneider concludes.
9:43:30 AM	Schneider offers Exhibit A3 and it is admitted. Exhibits A2, R1, R2, and R3 are admitted.
9:45:22 AM	Appellant calls Scott Evan Anderson as a witness.
9:45:46 AM	Anderson is sworn in.
9:45:53 AM	Granatt begins direct examination of Anderson, of CSR Marine.
9:49:17 AM	Anderson describes what CSR Marine does.
9:51:45 AM	Granatt references photographs in volume 4 of Appellants' Exhibits; A-325.1.
9:52:24 AM	Granatt offers three photographs of A-325.1 as one exhibit, and it will be marked as Exhibit A4.

11/28/2017 2 of 6

0.55.00.414	
9:55:03 AM	Kiselius objects; asking technical and opinion based testimony about future conditions that are better answered by an expert.
9:55:33 AM	Kiselius objects; lay witnesses cannot give opinion testimony.
<u>9:56:11 AM</u>	Granatt responds.
9:56:25 AM	Kiselius replies.
9:56:49 AM	Overruled; there will be overlap between witnesses, provided there is not too much speculation.
9:58:12 AM	Kiselius objects; asking a lay person to testify to an expert's report. Sustained. Question should be rephrased.
<u>9:59:55 AM</u>	Granatt asks Anderson about A-325.2.
10:03:13 AM	Granatt offers three photographs into the record. Exhibit A4 is admitted.
10:04:21 AM	Granatt asks Anderson about A-325.8, a video. Kiselius objects that it was not provided.
10:07:24 AM	Break to resolve dispute regarding appellant's video exhibit. Back at 10:25.
10:25:42 AM	Back on the record.
10:26:15 AM	Revised video will be prepared so that what is submitted will match how it is presented in the hearing. It will be marked as Exhibit A5.
10:27:31 AM	Granatt continues direct examination of Anderson.
10:37:24 AM	Kiselius objects; potential impacts of future condition.
10:39:42 AM	Granatt responds. Objection was sustained and question should be
	rephrased. Kiselius objects again on the same basis; overruled. Adverse impacts is a technical term but Anderson would not view it in that light.
10:39:47 AM	Granatt continues.
10:40:49 AM	Granatt concludes.
10:40:53 AM	Kiselius begins cross examination of Anderson.
10:44:32 AM	Kiselius concludes.
10:44:35 AM	Examiner asks Anderson about regarding the curfew for trucks on City
40.45.05.414	streets.
10:45:27 AM	No re-direct.
10:45:46 AM	Appellant calls Tim Olstad as a witness and he is sworn in.
10:46:11 AM	Brower begins direct examination of Olstad, of Salmon Bay Sand and Gravel.
<u>10:49:10 AM</u>	Kiselius objects; testifying on behalf of the witness. Sustained.
11:05:29 AM	Kiselius objects; asking for opinion not related to his experience. Sustained; question rephrased.
11:06:06 AM	Kiselius objects; making generalizations rather than describing experience. Overruled; all testimony based on his experience.
11:11:35 AM	Kiselius objects; venturing beyond personal observation to speculation. Overruled; tesitmony allowed in context of witness's own personal experience.
11:13:49 AM	Brower concludes.
11:13:53 AM	Kiselius begins cross examination of Olstad.
11:19:52 AM	Kiselius concludes.
<u>11:19:56 AM</u>	Cohen begins direct examination of Olstad.

11/28/2017 3 of 6

11:25:47 AM	Cohen concludes.
11:25:52 AM	Brower begins re-direct examination of Olstad.
11:26:30 AM	Brower asks Olstad about a figure in Exhibit A1.
11:28:44 AM	Kiselius requests that counsel be able to review Olstad's notes.
11:29:54 AM	Brower concludes.
11:30:02 AM	Appellant calls Michael Walker as a witness and he is sworn in.
11:30:38 AM	Brower begins direct examination of Walker.
11:34:27 AM	Kiselius objects; speculation about economic impacts.
11:35:11 AM	Sustained.
11:36:09 AM	Kiselius objects; lay witness being asked for expert economic testimony.
11.00.00 7 (W)	Thousand despote, lay manage soming added for expert economic technicity.
11:37:07 AM	Sustained/overruled; testimony allowed as long as it relates to his personal
	knowledge and experience.
11:40:16 AM	Walker explains CSA scores for drivers with commercial drivers licenses
11:41:20 AM	(CDL). Kiselius objects on the basis of relevance.
11:41:30 AM	Overruled; limited to this question.
11:42:04 AM	Kiselius objects; straying from the question. Sustained.
11:44:03 AM 11:44:56 AM	Brower concludes.
11:44:59 AM	No cross-examination or re-direct.
11:45:31 AM	Break for lunch. Back at 1 p.m. Back on the record.
1:01:56 PM	
1:02:00 PM	Appellant calls Scott Kuznicki as a witness.
1:02:14 PM	Brower begins direct examination of Kuznicki, of Transpo Group, establishing his qualifications and background, and experience with design
	for signage.
1:18:50 PM	Kuznicki testtifies about his experience designing bicycle trail projects for
	SDOT, Sound Transit, and Tacoma.
1:23:30 PM	Kuznicki discusses his experience with parking studies and the one he wrote for this FEIS.
1:25:57 PM	Brower asks Kuznicki about his CDL and experiences in the area at issue.
1:28:37 PM	Brower asks about Kuznicki's review of the FEIS.
1:38:04 PM	Kuznicki describes the difficulties associated with pavement markings in the area.
1:41:51 PM	Brower asks Kuznicki about his analysis of blind spots and their affect on
1.41.011111	truck driving in the area, appellant exhibit A-313.1.
1:43:15 PM	Kuznicki describes the diagram shown in the exhibit.
1:54:22 PM	Brower moves to admit Appellant exhibit A-313.1.
1:54:52 PM	A-313.1 is marked as Exhibit A6 and is admitted.
1:55:10 PM	Brower continues direct examination of Kuznicki.
1:58:34 PM	Kuznicki discusses the "warning area" mentioned in the EIS.
2:06:18 PM	Brower asks Kuznicki about the drone footage he created for the area.
2:10:01 PM	Brower shows the first video created by Kuznicki (Appellant exhibit A-313.2), of a double trailer cement truck pulling into an unloading area (driveway 9D).
	or a double trailer cernefit truck pulling into air unloading area (driveway 9D).
L	

11/28/2017 4 of 6

2:16:34 PM	Brower shows second video (Appellant exhibit A-313.3) created by Kuznicki, truck turning left into driveway 9 (with superimposed animation of proposed trail).
2:23:03 PM	Brower shows third video created by Kuznicki (Appellant exhibit A-313.4), truck making right turn out of driveway (with superimposed illustration of proposed path).
2:29:45 PM	Brower moves to admit Exhibits A7, A8, and A9, and A10 (Appellant exhibit A-313.5). They are admitted.
2:30:31 PM	Brower continues direct examination of Kuznicki.
<u>2:31:19 PM</u>	Kiselius objects on the basis that it is unrelated to this site.
2:31:28 PM	Brower responds.
2:32:42 PM	Overruled.
2:33:11 PM	Kuznicki discusses studies of signage and how they effect bicyclists.
2:35:24 PM	Kiselius objects; witness stated that Tort liability is more at issue than signage. Sustained.
2:40:44 PM	Kuznicki discusses Appellant exhibit A-309.
2:43:22 PM	Brower asks about Kuznicki's study of parking impacts (Figure 3-1 in the Parking Discipline Report in Exhibit R3).
2:44:20 PM	Kuznicki gives opinion on the effects of the trail on parking.
2:54:23 PM	Brower offers appellant exhibit A-309. It is marked as Exhibit A11 and it is admitted.
2:57:24 PM	Brower concludes.
2:57:27 PM	Kiselius begins cross examination of Kuznicki.
3:07:29 PM	Kiselius asks Kuznicki about his previous deposition answers.
3:08:27 PM	Brower objects; Kiselius clarifies.
3:10:09 PM	Kuznicki reads from previous deposition.
3:15:12 PM	Kiselius asks Kuznicki about Figure 4.2A and Exhibits A7, A8, and A9.
3:19:54 PM	Kiselius asks Kuznicki about Exhibit A8.
3:21:56 PM	Brower objects; mischaracterization of prior testimony. Overruled.
3:23:55 PM	Kiselius asks Kuznicki about the blind spot diagram.
3:27:08 PM	Kiselius asks Kuzniki about design vehicles.
3:28:58 PM	Kuznicki reads portion from previous deposition.
3:29:49 PM	Break. Return at 3:45.
3:45:33 PM	Back on the record.
3:46:25 PM	Kiselius continues cross examination of Kuznicki.
3:48:36 PM	Kiselius asks Kuznicki to read portion of deposition.
3:51:07 PM	Kiselius asks Kuznicki about signage and safety.
3:51:44 PM	Brower objects; design plans are not relevant to the adequacy to the EIS.
3:52:00 PM	Kiselius responds.
3:52:22 PM	Overruled.
3:53:56 PM	Brower objects; discussing a different design.
3:54:15 PM	Overruled.
	i

11/28/2017 5 of 6

3:55:03 PM	Brower objects; 2011 design is being directly compared to current design.
3:55:18 PM	Kiselius responds.
3:55:34 PM	Objection withdrawn.
3:57:52 PM	Brower objects; comparison of 2011 design to current design.
3:58:17 PM	Kiselius responds.
3:59:20 PM	Sustained; directs witness to answer question.
4:02:16 PM	Kiselius asks Kuznicki about Exhibit A11, page 9.
4:06:29 PM	Kiselius concludes.
4:06:33 PM	Cohen begins cross-examination of Kuznicki.
4:18:50 PM	Cohen asks Kuznicki about Exhibit A8.
4:28:17 PM	Cohen concludes.
4:28:23 PM	Examiner asks questions of Kuznicki regarding Exhibit A6 and Figure 7.1A.
4:40:15 PM	Examiner asks Kuznicki about his earlier answer regarding adverse impacts.
4:44:20 PM	Examiner concludes.
4:44:23 PM	Brower begins re-direct.
4:47:02 PM	Kiselius objects; level of design was not discussed on cross-examination.
4:47:41 PM	Overruled.
4:48:29 PM	Brower concludes.
4:48:43 PM	Appellant calls Spencer Cohen as a witness.
<u>4:49:41 PM</u>	Cohen is sworn in.
4:50:05 PM	Coehn works for Community Attributes.
4:50:23 PM	Brower asks Cohen about his qualifications, including education and work background.
4:51:46 PM	Cohen describes his typical work at Community Attributes.
4:52:57 PM	Cohen comments on adverse economic impacts.
4:55:12 PM	Cohen describes what documents he reviewed.
4:56:29 PM	Brower asks Cohen about Appellant exhibit A-312.3, marked as Exhibit A12,
4:58:40 PM	economic impact study conducted by Cohen. Brower refers to page 003, the executive summary.
5:02:40 PM	Brower refers to page 603, the executive summary. Brower refers to Appellant exhibit A-312.2, marked as Exhibit A13.
	Brower moves to admit; Ferguson objects until relevance is proven.
<u>5:03:55 PM</u>	brower moves to admit, i ergusori objects until relevance is proveil.

11/28/2017 6 of 6