1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	BEFORE THE HEA	RING EXAMINER	
8	CITY OF		
9	In the Matter of the Appeal of:	Hearing Examiner File	
10	THE BALLARD COALITION	W-17-004	
11	of the adequacy of the Final Environmental	DECLARATION OF LEAH B.	
12	Impact Statement, prepared by the Seattle Department of Transportation for the Burke	SILVERTHORN IN SUPPORT OF THE BALLARD COALITION'S RESPONSE IN	
13	Gilman Trail Missing Link Project	OPPOSITION TO SDOT'S SECOND MOTION IN LIMINE	
14			
15			
16	I, Leah B. Silverthorn, declare and state a		
17	1. I am an attorney for the Ballard C	oalition (the "Coalition").	
18	2. I am over the age of 18, am licer	nsed to practice law in the state of Washington,	
19	am competent to testify in a court of law, and this declaration is based on my personal		
20	knowledge.		
21	3. Attached as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the transcript of		
22	Claire Hoffman in this matter.		
23	4. Attached at Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Coalition's Revised Final		
24	Witness List, dated November 15, 2017.		
25	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.		
	DECLARATION OF LEAH B. SILVERTHORN IN SUPPORT OF THE BALLARD COALITION'S RESPONSE IN 1 OPPOSITION TO SDOT'S SECOND MOTION IN LIMINE Veris Law Group PLLC 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400 Seattle, Washington 98101 tel 206.829.9590 fax 206.829.9245		

1	EXECUTED at Seattle, Washington, this 15 th day of November, 2017
2	Livideo Ted at Seattle, washington, this 13 day of November, 2017
3	/s/ Leah B. Silverthorn Leah B. Silverthorn, WSBA No. 51730
4	Lean B. Silverthorn, WSBA No. 51/30
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	DECLARATION OF LEAH B. SILVERTHORN IN SUPPORT OF THE BALLARD COALITION'S RESPONSE IN 2 OPPOSITION TO SDOT'S SECOND MOTION IN LIMINE Veris Law Group PLLC 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400 Seattle, Washington 98101 tel 206.829.9590 fax 206.829.9245



In the Matter of the Appeal of:) THE BALLARD COALITION) Hearing Examine) File W-17-004 of the adequacy of the Final Environmental Impact Statement, prepared by the Seattle) Department of Transportation for the Burke Gilman Trail Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400 Seattle, Washington	BEFORE THE HEARING E	
) File W-17-004 of the adequacy of the Final) Environmental Impact Statement,) prepared by the Seattle) Department of Transportation) for the Burke Gilman Trail) Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	In the Matter of the Appeal of:)
) File W-17-004 of the adequacy of the Final) Environmental Impact Statement,) prepared by the Seattle) Department of Transportation) for the Burke Gilman Trail) Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400)
of the adequacy of the Final) Environmental Impact Statement,) prepared by the Seattle) Department of Transportation) for the Burke Gilman Trail) Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	THE BALLARD COALITION) Hearing Examine
Environmental Impact Statement,) prepared by the Seattle) Department of Transportation) for the Burke Gilman Trail) Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400) File W-17-004
prepared by the Seattle) Department of Transportation) for the Burke Gilman Trail) Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	of the adequacy of the Final)
Department of Transportation) for the Burke Gilman Trail) Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	Environmental Impact Statement,)
for the Burke Gilman Trail) Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	prepared by the Seattle)
Missing Link Project) DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	Department of Transportation)
DEPOSITION UPON ORAL EXAMINATION OF CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	for the Burke Gilman Trail)
CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN 9:08 a.m. October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	Missing Link Project)
October 24, 2017 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400		
1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400	9:08 a.m.	
	October 24, 20	17
Seattle, Washington	1809 Seventh Avenue, S	uite 1400
	Seattle, Washing	ton

		Page 2
1		APPEARANCES
2		
3	FOR THE APPELLANT:	JOSHUA C. ALLEN BROWER
4		Veris Law Group
5		1809 Seventh Avenue
6		Suite 1400
7		Seattle, WA 98101
8		206 829 9590
9		Josh@VerisLawGroup.com
10		
11	FOR THE RESPONDENT	ERIN FERGUSON
12	DEPARTMENT OF	Seattle City Attorney
13	TRANSPORTATION:	701 Fifth Avenue
14		Suite 2050
15		Seattle, WA 98104
16		206 684 8615
17		Erin.Ferguson@Seattle.gov
18		
19	* *	* * * * *
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

			Page 3
1		E X H I B I T I N D E X	
2	NUMB	ER	PAGE
3	1	FEIS Chapter 13: List of Preparers	14
4	2	E-mail, 6/3/16, Hagenow to Carr, et al.	27
5	3	FEIS Chapter 4: Land Use	30
б	4	E-mail exchange, 1/15/16, Ferris/Adolfson	36
7	5	Updates & Errata to the Land Use	
8		Discipline Report, Appendices	47
9	6	FEIS excerpt with comment from MM8	55
10	7	Updates & Errata to the Land Use	
11		Discipline Report, Technical	
12		Appendix A	57
13			
14			
15	EXAM	INATIONS	PAGE
16			
17	Mr.	Brower	4
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Page 4 1 Seattle, Washington; Tuesday, October 24, 2017 2 9:08 a.m. 3 4 CLAIRE E. HOFFMAN, witness herein, being first duly sworn on oath, deposed 5 6 and said as follows: EXAMINATION 7 8 BY MR. BROWER: 9 Good morning, Ms. Hoffman. My name is Ο. Josh Brower. How are you today? 10 11 A. Good morning. Good morning. Have you ever had your 12 0. deposition taken before? 13 14 A. I have not. 15 Ο. Not. So let me kind of go over some of the ground rules. I'm going to be asking you a 16 17 series of questions this morning, and if you could please answer with a full word, not a shake of the 18 19 head or a nod of the head, because it's hard for the 20 court repoter, Peggy --21 Α. Yes. -- to take down information. Thank you. 22 23 I'd also appreciate -- I'm going to do my best to follow this rule as well, which is please allow me to 24

finish speaking before you start speaking, and I'll

- 1 Q. To supplement the Yakima Valley water
- 2 supply?
- 3 A. That is correct, exactly.
- 4 Q. Energize Eastside, the City of Bellevue is
- 5 the lead agency?
- 6 A. Yeah. So there's a partnership with the
- 7 Eastside cities, and so it's actually City of
- 8 Kirkland, City of Bellevue, City of Renton, City of
- 9 Newcastle, and Bellevue. And Bellevue, being the
- 10 largest, is taking more of the lead. So it's
- 11 actually -- they have an agreement between the five
- 12 cities. They're technically all lead agencies, but
- 13 Bellevue is being the lead for most of the project.
- 14 So it's Puget Sound Energy is the applicant, and it's
- an upgrade of transmission lines from 115 to 230
- 16 kilovolts from Redmond -- sorry, I missed Redmond --
- 17 Redmond to Renton.
- 18 Q. In the K project, who is the project
- 19 applicant?
- 20 A. I'm not sure. I can't remember. It's
- 21 like three years ago.
- Q. On the Burke-Gilman trail, can you
- 23 describe your role and responsibility on the EIS
- 24 team.
- 25 A. Yeah. So I, again, played multiple roles.

- 1 You can call me the assistant assistant project
- 2 manager, because Mark and Lisa were in those roles.
- 3 So I did a lot of schedule management. I worked with
- 4 the other authors to make sure there was consistency
- 5 throughout the document. I concentrated on maybe the
- 6 elements that were not quite as controversial. So
- 7 plants and animals, recreation, geology and soils.
- 8 So I worked primarily with those authors. I wrote I
- 9 think we called them plants, animals and trees,
- 10 something like that, I wrote that section.
- 11 And then also I would review various sections
- 12 when they'd come in, depending on who they were from.
- 13 I didn't actually review -- I occasionally would
- 14 review transportation, but more as an editor, not
- 15 really -- more the technical review of those was
- 16 somebody else.
- 17 I did a lot of coordination with our
- 18 subconsultants, reminding them when their deadlines
- 19 were. I also worked with our graphics person,
- 20 facilitating again scheduling issues. I wrote the --
- 21 so we had the comments on the scoping. The scoping
- 22 comments were actually managed by a different
- 23 consultant. So I summarized the scoping comments,
- 24 read them all, wrote the scoping summary document.
- What else did I do? I helped kind of make

- 1 sure everything kept going at ESA. Sometimes I'd be
- 2 reminding Mark and Lisa when they needed to have
- 3 things done. I did a -- I did review -- then when --
- 4 so Jenn, who you interviewed a couple days ago, last
- 5 week --
- 6 Q. Ms. Hagenow?
- 7 A. Yes. She had left -- I don't actually
- 8 remember when she left. Sometime between the draft
- 9 and the final. And so I took over as the author of
- 10 the land use section. So we were notified that -- so
- 11 we -- yeah, so I basically updated the land use
- 12 section for the final, which included -- I mean, we
- 13 can maybe go into detail. Do you want me to go into
- 14 detail about that?
- 15 O. Sure.
- 16 A. Well, updated it. I did editing to it.
- 17 There was some errors that were called out during the
- 18 scoping process that we updated those. I'm always
- 19 saying "we" because it's usually a team. There was a
- 20 GIS analysis that we did the analysis of. We updated
- 21 some -- the comp plan was adopted during -- between
- 22 the draft and the final. So we updated that. Any
- 23 elements related to that. Or any components that
- 24 were related to that. Elements is not the right word.
- 25 And then there was also the freight master

- 1 A. Too many to count.
- Q. You've been working on, I think you said,
- 3 approximately eight large EISes.
- 4 A. Yeah.
- 5 O. Did you mainly work on the same sections
- 6 that you described earlier?
- 7 A. I've worked on -- I don't think I've done
- 8 geology and soils. I don't -- I've worked on all
- 9 aspects of EISes. I do have a -- I do end up doing
- 10 more plants and animals.
- 11 Q. Because you're a biologist?
- 12 A. Because I'm a biologist, yeah.
- 13 (Exhibit 3 marked.)
- Q. Ms. Hoffman, you've been handed what's
- 15 been marked as Exhibit 3 to your deposition, which is
- 16 Chapter 4, the Land Use section in the Final
- 17 Environmental Impact Statement dated May 2017. Do
- 18 you recognize this document?
- 19 A. I do.
- 20 O. And is this the Land Use section that you
- 21 worked on as part of the Final EIS for the
- 22 Burke-Gilman Trail?
- 23 A. It is.
- Q. And if I remember your testimony earlier,
- 25 Ms. Hagenow had left ESA at that point, so you were

- 1 responsible for completing this section for the Final
- 2 EIS?
- 3 A. That is correct.
- 4 Q. So would you consider yourself to be the
- 5 lead author on this section for the Final EIS?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Were there any other authors who worked
- 8 with you on this section of the Final EIS?
- 9 A. I worked very closely with Mark. And we
- 10 used the draft. We didn't start from scratch. And
- 11 there was another junior planner, Malia Bassett, that
- 12 helped out on some aspects of the EIS.
- Q. But Ms. Bassett isn't listed in Chapter 13
- in the table of list of preparers?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. And why not?
- 17 A. She had a fairly minor role.
- 18 Q. What do you mean by "fairly minor"?
- 19 A. At ESA, there's a lot of people who work
- 20 there, and so there's people that will look up code,
- 21 provide some additional research to it, and we work
- 22 as a team, and so we -- she had done some initial
- 23 work on revising it, and then we decided that it was
- 24 better that I revise it, so --
- Q. I want to go back and make sure I



1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	BEFORE THE HEAI CITY OF S		
8		 	
9	In the Matter of the Appeal of:	Hearing Examiner File	
10	THE BALLARD COALITION	W-17-004	
11	of the adequacy of the Final Environmental Impact Statement, prepared by the Seattle	THE BALLARD COALITION'S REVISED FINAL DISCLOSURE OF WITNESSES	
12	Department of Transportation for the Burke Gilman Trail Missing Link Project	TIVAL DISCLOSURE OF WITNESSES	
13	Chillian Train Wissing Ellik Troject		
14			
15	The Ballard Coalition submits the following revised witness list:		
16			
17	 I. EXPERT WITNESSES 1. Victor H. Bishop, P.E., Traffic Engineer, VHB Consulting, PLLC. Mr. Bishop 		
18	will testify regarding the adequacy of the Final EIS for the proposed extension of the Burke-		
19		• •	
20	Gilman Trail (the Missing Link) through the Ballard maritime and industrial area on the		
21	following topics and subjects, including, without limit: alternatives considered and no		
22	considered in the DEIS and FEIS, autoTURN, bicycle facilities, business impacts, cumulative		
23	impacts, cycle tracks, Federal, State and local design standards and regulations, level/percent		
24	design used in the EIS and generally in SEPA, parking loss and impacts, the Preferred		
25	Alternative, driveways, protected bicycle facilitie	es, right of way standards, safety, sight distance	

1	150
2	wa
3	up
4	
5	
6	tes
7	Tr
8	an
9	an
10	rel
11	an
12	pa
13	Pu
14	us
15	iss
16	of
17	
18	rel
19	

21

22

23

24

25

issues, traffic hazards, trail costs, trucks, and trail development. A copy of Mr. Bishop's resume
was previously provided and admitted into the Record as Hearing Examiner Exhibit 11 and an
updated copy was previously provided.

- 2. Claudia S. Hirschey, P.E., Senior Transportation Engineer. Ms. Hirschey will testify regarding the adequacy of the Final EIS for the proposed extension of the Burke-Gilman Trail (the Missing Link) through the Ballard maritime and industrial area on the following topics and subjects, including, without limit: alternatives considered and not considered in the DEIS and FEIS, autoTURN, bicycle facilities, business impacts, conflicts, conceptual design and its relationship to SEPA, cumulative impacts, cycle tracks, Federal, State and local design standards and regulations, project design level/percent design used in the EIS and generally in SEPA, parking loss and impacts, the Preferred Alternative, driveways, driveway counts, driveway usage, protected bicycle facilities, right of way standards, safety, safety analysis, sight distance issues, traffic hazards, traffic hazard analysis, trail costs, trucks, and trail development. A copy of Ms. Hirschey's resume was previously provided.
- 3. Barry S. Knight, P.E. Mr. Knight may testify regarding his work and opinion related to the design and constructability of an elevated structure to be used as a multi-use path for the Missing Link within a portion of the "study area" as that term is defined in the FEIS, and the approximate cost to do so.¹ A copy of Mr. Knight's resume was previously provided.
- 4. Scott O. Kuznicki, PE, Director of Safety and Mobility Solutions, transpogroup. Mr. Kuznicki will testify regarding his work and opinion related to performing autoTURN analysis for driveways along the Missing Link, parking, safety, trail design, and video and

¹ Mr. Knight is hereby also designated as a fact Witness and will not be listed below to avoid repetition.

animation exhibits all related the DEIS and the FEIS.² A copy of Mr. Kuznicki's resume was previously provided.

5. Spencer Cohen, Ph.D., Community Attributes Inc. Mr. Chohen will testify regarding land use; the Land Use sections of the DEIS and FEIS; land use compatibility; land use impacts from the Missing Link; and the Economic Discipline Report in the DEIS, FEIS, and drafts of that report. A copy of Mr. Cohen's resume was previously provided.

II. WITNESSES

- 1. Paul Nerdrum, Salmon Bay Sand & Gravel, Co. Mr. Nerdrum may testify regarding impacts to his businesses and properties arising from the project, including, without limit: traffic-hazards, traffic delays, safety impacts, safety concerns, traffic hazard concerns, access impacts, economic impacts to his business and properties, impacts to the use and maintenance of his properties, compatibility of the project with land uses that are unique to his properties, cumulative impacts, parking, the segment of the Burke-Gilman Trail located adjacent to and in front of his businesses and properties and to Ballard in general.
- 2. Warren Aakervik, Ballard Oil Company. Mr. Aakervik may testify regarding impacts to his businesses and properties arising from project, including, without limit: traffic-hazard impacts, traffic delays, safety impacts, safety concerns, traffic hazard concerns, access impacts, parking impacts, impacts to the use and maintenance of his properties; economic impacts to his business and surrounding land uses; compatibility with land uses that are unique to the segment of the Burke-Gilman Trail located adjacent to and in front of his businesses and

² Mr. Kuznicki is hereby also designated as a fact Witness and will not be listed below to avoid repetition.

properties and to Ballard in general; freight mobility in Seattle; the maritime industrial economic sector in Seattle; and how roadway design impacts his business and freight mobility in general.

- 3. Scott Anderson, CSR Marine. Mr. Anderson may testify regarding impacts to his businesses and property arising from the project, including, without limit: traffic-hazards, traffic delays, safety impacts, traffic hazard impacts, safety concerns, access impacts, impacts to the use and maintenance of CSR's property, business and economic impacts to CSR, and compatibility of the Missing Link with land uses that are unique to the segment of the Burke-Gilman Trail located adjacent to and in front of CSR and to Ballard in general.
- 4. Mike Walker, Teamsters Union Local No. 174, Business Agent. Mr. Walker may testify regarding project-induced impacts including, without limit: impacts to Teamster Local 174 union members, Teamsters drivers, and union employment arising from traffic-hazards, safety impacts, lost parking and parking changes, construction, safety concerns, traffic hazard concerns, access, use and maintenance of properties where union members are employed, and compatibility with land uses that are unique to the segment of the Burke-Gilman Trail located along the route of drivers and other members of his union.
- 5. Nicole Grant or Katie Garrow, the Martin Luther King, Jr. County Labor Council of Washington, AFL-CIO. Ms. Grant or Ms. Garrow may testify regarding project-induced impacts to member unions and member union's members, including, without limit: economic and business related impacts, employment impacts, business operation impacts, land use impacts, safety concerns, traffic hazard concerns, the Preferred Alternative, other alternatives, trail usage, lost parking and parking changes, construction related impacts, access concerns, use and maintenance of properties on which businesses employing union members are located,

cumulative impacts, and compatibility with land uses that are unique to this area of Ballard and to Seattle in general.

- 6. James Forgette, Manager, Ballard Terminal Railroad Co. Mr. Forgette may testify regarding impacts to BTRR, its operation, and its rail line arising from the project, including, without limit: traffic-hazards, traffic delays, safety impacts, traffic hazard impacts, safety concerns, access impacts, impacts to the use and maintenance of BTRR's rail line and easement, business and economic impacts to BTRR, and compatibility of the Missing Link with land uses that are unique to the segment of the Burke-Gilman Trail located adjacent to BTRR and its railroad tracks and right-of-way and to Ballard in general.
- 7. Mara Garrity, Ballard Massage Center. Ms. Garrity may testify regarding project-induced impacts, including, without limit: access to and from Ballard Mill Marina and other marinas located near or adjacent to the Preferred Alternative, impacts to individuals who live-aboard boats and vessels at these marinas, impacts to the local live-aboard community in general, safety impacts, traffic hazard impacts, safety concerns, access impacts and concerns and land use compatibility impacts.
- 8. Timothy Olstad and/or Bill Herzberg, Commercial truck Drivers. Mr. Olstad and/Mr. Herzberg may testify regarding project-induced impacts, including, without limit: access, driveways, safety concerns, traffic hazards, traffic hazard concerns, traffic delays, access delays, vehicle operations, alternatives, business impacts, land use impacts, lost parking and parking changes, construction related impacts, access to/from, use and maintenance of SBS&G properties, conflicts with cyclists and trail users, and compatibility of the Missing Link with land

22

23

24

25

uses that are unique to the segment of the Burke-Gilman Trail located adjacent to and in front of SBS&G and its properties.

- 9. Mark Mazzola, Seattle Department of Transportation, Project Manager. Mr. Mazzola may be asked about SDOT's process to scope, choose alternatives, and complete the Draft Environmental Impact Statement and Final Environmental Impact Statement, working with SDOT's consultants, the community, comments, and the contents, analysis, and conclusions in the DEIS and FEIS.
- 10. Mark Johnson, Environmental Science Associates. Mr. Johnson may be asked about his expertise, his work, work-product, management, participation, findings, and SDOT's process to the draft and complete the DEIS and FEIS, including, without limit, working with SDOT, the community, comments, and the contents, analysis, and conclusions in the DEIS and FEIS.
- 11. Erinn Ellig, Parametrix. Ms. Ellig may be asked about her expertise, her work, work-product, participation, findings, and SDOT's process to the draft and complete the DEIS and FEIS, including, without limit, working with SDOT, the community, comments, and the contents, analysis, and conclusions in the DEIS and FEIS or any portion thereof.
- 12. Claire Hoffman, Environmental Science Associates. Ms. Hoffman may be asked about her expertise, her work, work-product, participation, findings, and SDOT's process to the draft and complete the DEIS and FEIS, including, without limit, working with SDOT, the community, comments, and the contents, analysis, and conclusions in the DEIS and FEIS or any portion thereof.

6

1	13. Morgan Shook, ECONorthwest. Mr. Shook may be asked about his expertise, his
2	work, work-product, participation, findings, and SDOT's process to the draft and complete the
3	DEIS and FEIS, including, without limit, working with SDOT, the community, comments, and
4	the contents, analysis, and conclusions in the DEIS and FEIS or any portion thereof.
5	14. Blake Trask and/or Kelsey Mesher, Cascade Bicycle Club ("Cascade"). Mr. Trask
7	and/or Ms. Mesher may be asked about Cascade's relationship with SDOT in regards to
8	completing the Burke-Gilman Trail Missing Link, developing and selecting alternatives,
9	selecting SDOT's Preferred Alternative, and preparing and completing the DEIS and FEIS.
10	15. The Coalition reserves the right to name and designate additional witnesses
11	identified during discovery, which is on-going.
12	16. The Coalition reserves the right to name and designation additional witnesses
13 14	identified on the City's and Cascade's preliminary witness and exhibit lists.
15	17. The Coalition reserves the right to name and designation additional witnesses as
16	rebuttal witnesses.
17	DATED this 15 th day of November, 2017.
18	DATED this 13 day of November, 2017.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	Veris Law Group PLLC

1	WEDIG LAW CDOUD DLLC
2	VERIS LAW GROUP PLLC
3	By <u>/s/ Joshua C. Brower</u> Joshua C. Allen Brower, WSBA #25092
4	Leah B. Silverthorn, WSBA #51730 Danielle Granatt, WSBA #44182
5	Veris Law Group PLLC 1809 Seventh Avenue, Suite 1400 Seattle, WA 98101
6	Telephone: (206) 829-9590 Facsimile: (206) 829-9245
7	josh@verislawgroup.com leah@verislawgroup.com
8	danielle@verislawsgroup.com
9	Attorneys for Appellant The Ballard Coalition
10	FOSTER PEPPER PLLC
11	By <u>/s/ Patrick J. Schneider</u> Patrick J. Schneider, WSBA #11957
12	Foster Pepper PLLC
13	1111 Third Avenue, Suite 3000 Seattle, Washington 98101-3292
15	Tel: (206) 447-4400
14	Fax: (206) 447-9700 pat.schneider@foster.com
15	Attorneys for Appellant The Ballard Coalition
16	Miorneys for Appendin The Banara Countion
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	DECLARATIO	N OF SERVICE
2	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that on this	
3	date I caused the foregoing document to be se	rved on the following persons via the methods
4	indicated:	
5	Peter S. Holmes Erin Ferguson	
6	Seattle City Attorneys 701 5th Avenue, Suite 2050	
7	Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: (206) 684-8615	Overnight Delivery via Fed Ex First Class Mail via USPS Hand Delivered via ABC Local Massanger
8	erin.ferguson@seattle.gov	Hand-Delivered via ABC Legal Messenger Facsimile E-mail / HE ECF
9	alicia.reise@seattle.gov Attorney for Respondent	E-man / HE ECT
10	Seattle Department of Transportation	
11	Matthew Cohen Rachel H. Cox	
12	Stoel Rives LLP 600 University Street, Suite 3600	Overnight Delivery via Fed Ex
13	Seattle, WA 98101-4109 Tel: (206) 386-7569	First Class Mail via USPS Hand-Delivered via ABC Legal Messenger
14	Fax: (206) 386-7500 matthew.cohen@stoel.com	Facsimile E-mail / HE ECF
15	rachel.cox@stoel.com	
16	Attorney for Intervenor Cascade Bicycle Club Tadas A. Kisielius	
17	Dale Johnson Clara Park	
18	Van Ness Feldman 719 2nd Avenue, Suite 1150	Overnight Delivery via Fed Ex
19	Seattle, WA 98104 Tel: (206) 623-9372	First Class Mail via USPS Hand-Delivered via ABC Legal Messenger
20	tak@vnf.com dnj@vnf.com	Facsimile E-mail / HE ECF
21	cpark@vnf.com map@vnf.com	
22	Attorney for Respondent City of Seattle	
23	Dated at Seattle, Washington, this 15 th da	ay of November, 2017.
24	<u>/s/</u>	Megan Manion gan Manion, Veris Law Group PLLC
25	Me	gan Manion, Veris Law Group PLLC