1			
2			
3			
4			
5	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER CITY OF SEATTLE		
6	CITT OF SEATTLE		
7	In the Matter of the Appeal of:	Hearing Examiner File	
8	THE BALLARD COALITION	W-17-004	
9	Of the adequacy of the Final Environmental	MOTION TO INTERVENE	
10	Impact Statement, prepared by the Seattle Department of Transportation for the Burke-		
11	Gilman Trail Missing Link Project,		
12	Appellants.		
13			
14			
15	The Cascade Bicycle Club ("Cascade" or "the Club") moves to intervene in this		
16	proceeding.		
17	Under Hearing Examiner Rule 3.09 a motion to intervene must identify the interests of		
18	the intervenor, how it is affected by the proceeding and why its interests are not otherwise		
19	adequately represented. The Examiner is to consider whether intervention would unduly delay		
20	the proceedings, expand the issues beyond those in the appeal or prejudice the rights of the		
21			
22	parties to the action. Under these criteria, Cascade's motion to intervene should be granted.		
23	I. Intervenor's Interests in This	Proceeding	
24	Founded in 1970, the Cascade Bicycle Club is a statewide organization formed to		
25	promote bicycling, for transportation, recreation and human health. Cascade seeks to accomplish		
26			

1	tims objective inrough education, through special events, through involvement in public affairs,		
2	such as its work on Seattle's Master Bicycle Plan, and through public information, such as		
3	through its newsletter. Cascade is the largest organization in the state that promotes bicycling		
4	and bicycle safety. It presently has more than 17,000 active members.		
5 6	Many of Cascade's members use the Burke-Gilman Trail for commuting, recreation and		
7	general transportation, including the portions of the trail broken by the "Missing Link," the		
8	subject of this action. Completing the Burke-Gilman Trail to Golden Gardens has long been a		
9	high priority for Cascade. The Club has advocated for completion of the trail through Ballard at		
10	least since the mid-1990s. The current proceeding is the fourth administrative appeal filed by		
11	Ballard business interests challenging the City's compliance with the State Environmental Policy		
12	Act ("SEPA"), Chapter 43.21C RCW. In each of the previous proceedings Cascade moved to		
13 14	intervene, and in each instance the Hearing Examiner granted Cascade's motion. See Prehearing		
15	Order, Hearing Examiner File W-12-002 (June 6, 2012); Order on Motion to Intervene, Hearing		
16	Examiner File W-11-002 (Mar. 16, 2011), Prehearing Order, Hearing Examiner File W-08-007		
17	(Jan. 16, 2009), Exhibits A, B and C to the Declaration of Matthew Cohen.		
18	Cascade also commented on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the Missing		
19	Link. Letter of July 28, 2016 from Elizabeth Kiker to Scott Kubly, Ex. D to the Cohen		
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>	Declaration.		
22	II. Reasons for Intervention		
23	Cascade seeks to intervene because it does not believe that its interests would be		
24	adequately represented by the parties to this proceeding. While Cascade believes that the Seattle		
25	Department of Transportation will vigorously defend the Final Environmental Impact Statement		

26

1	("FEIS"), the City's interests are not the same as those of the Club. By representing bicycling	
2	users of the existing streets and the prospective users of the completed trail, the Club brings the	
3	perspectives of those directly impacted by the present unsafe conditions of the existing	
4 5	circulation system and those who would benefit from the completed trail. In granting	
6	intervention in environmental cases, our courts have recognized that intervention by private	
7	parties is appropriate even where the private group seeks the same relief as a governmental party	
8	because "the state's general duty to protect the public's interest does not sufficiently protect the	
9	narrower interests of private groups." Pub. Util. Dist. No. 1 of Okanogan County v. State, 182	
10	Wash.2d 519, 342 P.3d 308 (2015); see also Loveless v. Yantis, 82 Wash.2d 754, 759, 513 P.2d	
11	1023 (1973) ("the county must consider the interests of all the residents of the county," whereas	
12 13	"the affected property owners represent a more sharply focused and sometimes antagonistic	
14	viewpoint to that of the county as a whole").	
15	The Club's intervention would not unduly delay the hearing process, expand the issues,	
16	or prejudice the rights of the parties. The Club seeks to intervene in defense of the FEIS and	
17	raises no new issues. Other than adding another party to this proceeding, which is inherent in	
18	any request for intervention, the involvement of the Cascade Bicycle Club would not prejudice	
19	the interests of the other parties or cause undue delay.	
20	Finally, allowing intervention would be consistent with previous Examiner decisions	
21		
22	granting intervention to Cascade in appeals by Ballard business interests of SEPA threshold	
23	determinations involving the same action.	
24	For these reasons, the request to intervene should be granted.	
25		

26

1	Dated this 19th day of June, 2017.	
2	STOEL RIVES LLP	
3	Marthare Ore	
4 5	Matthew Cohen, WSBA #11232 Rachel H. Cox, WSBA #45020	
6	600 University Street, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98101	
7	Phone: (206) 386-7569 Fax: (206) 386-7500	
8	Email: <u>matthew.cohen@stoel.com</u> Email: <u>rachel.cox@stoel.com</u>	
9	Attorneys for Intervenor Cascade Bicycle Club	
10		
11		
12 13	VERIFICATION	
14	I, Blake Trask, the Senior Policy Director of the Cascade Bicycle Club, declare under	
15	penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the statement of intervenor's	
16	interests in part II of this motion is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.	
17	Signed in Seattle, Washington this 19th day of June, 2017.	
18		
19		
20	Little Landerson	
<ul><li>21</li><li>22</li></ul>	Blake Trask	
23	Senior Policy Director Cascade Bicycle Club	
24		
25		
26		

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE 1 2 I certify that on this date of June 19, 2017, I filed a copy of the foregoing document, 3 **MOTION TO INTERVENE**, with the Seattle Hearing Examiner via hand delivery. I also certify 4 that on this date I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoing on the following 5 persons in the manner listed below: 6 Joshua C. Brower 7 Danielle N. Granatt ☑ Via E-mail Leah B. Silverthorn josh@verislawgroup.com 8 danielle@verislawgroup.com Veris Law Group PLLC 1809 Seventh Ave., Suite 1400 leah@verislawgroup.com 9 Seattle, WA 98101 megan@verislawgroup.com 10 Tel: 206-829-9590 Via Fax Fax: 206-829-9245 Via Overnight Delivery 11 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Petitioner 12 The Ballard Coalition 13 Patrick J. Schneider 14 Foster Pepper PLLC ☑ Via E-mail 1111 3rd Ave., Suite 3000 pat.schneider@foster.com 15 Seattle, WA 98101-3292 brendab@foster.com Tel: 206-447-2905 Via Fax П 16 Fax: 206-749-1915 Via Overnight Delivery 17 Attorneys for Plaintiff/Petitioner 18 The Ballard Coalition 19 Erin E. Ferguson Asst. Seattle City Attorney ☑ Via E-mail 20 Land Use Section - Civil Division erin.ferguson@seattle.gov Seattle City Attorney's Office alicia.reise@seattle.gov 21 701 Fifth Ave., Suite 2050 Via Fax 22 Seattle, WA 98104 Via Overnight Delivery Tel: 206-684-8615 23 Attorney for Defendant 24 City of Seattle Department of Transportation 25

-5-

MOTION TO INTERVENE W-17-004

26

1	I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the	
2	foregoing is true and correct.	
3	DATED: June 19, 2017, at Seattle, Washington.	
4		
5		Judy 2. Shore
6		Judy A. Shore, Practice Assistant STOEL RIVES LLP
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		