

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER
CITY OF SEATTLE

In the Matter of the Appeal of:)	Hearing Examiner File:
)	
)	MUP-17-001
EPIC, et al.,)	
)	
Appellants.)	SDCI'S RESPONSE ON EPIC'S MOTION
)	FOR RECONSIDERATION
From a Department of Construction and)	
Inspections decision.)	
)	

Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections ("SDCI") agrees with the position articulated in Appellant's Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Reconsideration. SDCI asks the Examiner to grant the motion.

Given the number of issues raised in the motion to dismiss, and the absence of a hearing on the motion, SDCI understands why Appellants did not marshal a fuller argument of this jurisdictional issue until the motion for reconsideration. It would be unfair to ignore the more complete review of the relevant code provisions presented by Appellant. Fairness suggests the Examiner should grant the motion. *See* HER 3.20(a)(1).

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

2 I certify that on this date, I electronically filed a copy of SDCI's Response on EPIC's
3 **Motion for Reconsideration** with the Seattle Hearing Examiner using its e-filing system.

4 I also certify that on this date, a copy of the same document was sent via email to the
5 following parties:

6 Knoll Lowney
7 Claire E. Tonry
8 Meredith Crafton
9 Katherine Brennan
10 knoll@igc.org
claireret@igc.org
meredithc@igc.org
katherineb@igc.org
Attorneys for Appellant

11 Cristy Craig
12 cristy.craig@kingcounty.gov
13 Monica Erickson, Paralegal
monica.erickson@kingcounty.gov
Attorneys for King County

14 John McCullough
15 Courtney A. Kaylor
16 Laura D. Counley
jack@mhseattle.com
courtney@mhseattle.com
laura@mhseattle.com
17 *Attorneys for Applicant*

18 the foregoing being the last known address of the above-named parties.

19 Dated this 20th day of March, 2017, at Seattle, Washington.

20 
21 ALICIA REISE, Legal Assistant