## BEFORE THE CITY OF SEATTLE OFFICE OF HEARING EXAMINER

CASE NO. MUP-15-022;S-15-003

NEIGHBORS ENCOURAGING REASONABLE DEVELOPMENT

Appellant,		REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS TO SEATTLE DEPARTMENT OF		
v.		PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT		
PLANNING.	SEATTLE DEPARTMENT OF AND DEVELOPMENT, and JAY APPLICANT	(Project Nos. 3014342, 3015697, and Interpretation DPD No. 13-005)		
	Respondents.			
TO:	Andy McKim, Jerry Suder and Seat	tle DPD		
Please produce the following documents/materials (including electronic materials) as				
discovery within the next 30 days:				
1.	All Code Interpretations DPD has issued involving Director's Rule 7-83.			
	Response:			
2.	All e mails (including attachments)	concerning DPD Interpretation No. 13-005.		
	Response:			
3.	All drafts of Interpretation 13-005.			
	Response:			
4.	All materials showing how and why	$ ilde{v}$ it was determined that frequent transit service		
requirements were met including how frequent transit service was calculated.				

13-005	5. 5.	All materials concerning the Response:	court decisions referred to in DPD Interpretation No.
	Augus	t <u>21</u> , 2015	Paul Haury for NERD
true.	I have	read the above responses to	Appellant's Requests and they are true accurate and
	•	, 2015	
		, 2015	Andy McKim
			Jerry Suder

Response: