1		
2	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER CITY OF SEATTLE	
3		
4	In the matter of the appeal of	Matter No. FOW 25-001
5		
6	NOEL POVLSEN and LYNN REED	APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
7 8	from a decision by the Director, Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections	
9	I. RELIEF REQUESTED	
10	Come now appellants Noel Povlsen and Lynn	Reed, through the undersigned attorney
11	of record and seeks summary judgment confirming the	
12		C C
13	20 <sup>th</sup> Avenue West, Seattle, Washington 98119, should	d be verified by the City of Seattle as a
14	floating on-water residence pursuant to SMC § 23.60	A.203.
	II. STATEMENT	OF FACTS
15	This appeal involves the application to verify	a floating on-water residence submitted
16	with respect a floating structure situated as 4266 20 <sup>th</sup>	Avenue West, Seattle, Washington
17	98119 ("LITTLE BLUE"). On information and belie	f, LITTLE BLUE has been moored
18	within the City of Seattle since 1921, and it was desig	gnated and used as a floating home until
19	2012. Povlsen Declaration ¶2.	
20	When appellants acquired LITTLE BLUE, it	was moored at 2420 Westlake Avenue
21	North #12, Seattle, Washington 98109. <i>Id</i> . Appellar	ts acquired LITTLE BLUE from its
22	former owners, Kevin and Jessica Vanderzanden, who were issued a Shoreline Substantial	
23	Tormer owners, icevin and Jessica vandelZandell, wil	o were issued a Shorenne Substantial
24	APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 1 Case No. FOW 25-001	R. Shawn Griggs Attorney at Law 130 Nickerson street, suite 201 seattle, washington 98109 telephone (206) 745-3805 facsimile (206) 745-3806

1 Development Permit (Permit No. 3009553) to replace LITTLE BLUE with a newly 2 constructed floating home in 2009. Griggs Declaration, Ex. F-G. Permit No. 3009553 3 required that the existing floating home at that site (*i.e.*, LITTLE BLUE) be demolished. *Id.* 4 Consequently, on May 13, 2010, the Vanderzandens gifted LITTLE BLUE to appellants. 5 Griggs Declaration, Ex. B. Appellants thereafter relocated LITTLE BLUE to 2540 Westlake 6 Avenue North, Seattle, Washington 98109, where it remained until December of 2012. 7 Povlsen Declaration ¶3. 8 On May 15, 2012, Povlsen applied for a Shoreline Substantial Development Permit to 9 relocate LITTLE BLUE to commercial moorage located at 1609 Fairview Avenue East, 10 Seattle, Washington 98102. Griggs Declaration, Ex. C-D. LITTLE BLUE was moved to 11 1609 Fairview Avenue East in December of 2012. Povlsen Declaration ¶3. And the 12 Shoreline Substantial Development Permit authorizing that move (Permit No. 3012170) was 13 approved on March 11, 2014. Griggs Declaration, Ex. C. In its written decision 14 recommending issuance of Permit No. 3012170, the Department of Planning and 15 Development (now SDCI) noted that "[t]he proposed float will be utilized as a workshop and 16 office for the proposed marine sales and service use, minor vessel repair." Griggs 17 Declaration, Ex. D, p. 2, li. 6–8. LITTLE BLUE remained at 1609 Fairview Avenue East until December of 2021. Povlsen Declaration ¶6. It is currently moored at 4266 20<sup>th</sup> Avenue 18 19 West, Seattle, Washington 98199. 20 In 2015, Seattle amended its Shoreline Master Program ("SMP"), which is now 21 codified as Title 23.60A of the Seattle Municipal Code. The 2015 SMP revisions included 22 provisions authorizing the verification of floating on-water residences ("FOWR"), which was 23 a new designation established by amendment of the Shoreline Management Act in 2014. See **R. SHAWN GRIGGS** 24 APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEY AT LAW SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2 130 NICKERSON STREET. SUITE 201 Case No. FOW 25-001 EATTLE, WASHINGTON 98109

TELEPHONE (206) 745-3805 FACSIMILE (206) 745-3806

1	RCW 90.58.270(6). The 2015 SMP also required that floating homes become registered with	
2	the City within six months of its implementation. SMC 23.60A.202.G.1.	
3	On September 1, 2024, appellants submitted an application to SDCI requesting that	
4	LITTLE BLUE be verified as a FOWR. SDCI denied that application on March 27, 2025 (the	
5	"Decision"). The sole basis cited for denying the application was that LITTLE BLUE is	
6	already established as a floating home and therefore ineligible to be verified as a FOWR.	
7	This appeal challenges that determination and seeks confirmation that LITTLE BLUE should	
8	be verified as a FOWR pursuant to SMC 23.60A.203.	
9	III. STATEMENT OF ISSUES	
10	1. Is LITTLE BLUE a floating home and therefore prohibited from being verified	
11	as a FOWR?	
12	2. Should LITTLE BLUE verified as a FOWR per SMC 23.60A.203 because it (a)	
13	is designed or used primarily as a residence; (b) has detachable utilities; (c) was the subject of	
14	a lease, sublease, or ownership interest in a marina within the City of Seattle as of July 1,	
15	2014; and (d) was used as a dwelling unit within the City of Seattle prior to July 1, 2014?	
16	IV. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON	
17	This motion is based on the files of record herein, including without limitation, the	
18	original FOWR verification application for LITTLE BLUE and the Declaration of Noel	
19	Povlsen submitted to SDCI in connection therewith (both of which were submitted into the	
20	record at the time the appeal was filed), as well as the Declaration of R. Shawn Griggs filed	
21	herewith.	
22		
23		
24	APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 3 Case No. FOW 25-001	

#### V. AUTHORITY AND ARGUMENT

LITTLE BLUE has not been a floating home since December of 2012, when it was relocated to commercial moorage at 1609 Fairview Avenue East. Permit No. 3012170, which authorized LITTLE BLUE's relocation and modified its use, precludes SDCI's current sestion that LITTLE BLUE is a floating home. Furthermore, the current configuration and physical attributes of LITTLE BLUE are inconsistent with a determination that it is a floating home. Consequently, the application for verification of LITTLE BLUE as a FOWR should not have been denied, and Decision should be reversed.

9

A.

1

#### SUMMARY JUDGMENT STANDARD AND BURDEN OF PROOF.

10 Summary judgment is appropriate "if the pleadings, depositions, answers to 11 interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any, show that there is 12 no genuine issue as to any material fact and that the moving party is entitled to a judgment as 13 a matter of law." CR 56(c). A fact is "material" only if it is one upon which the outcome of 14 the litigation depends in whole or in part. Boguch v. Landover Corp., 153 Wn. App. 595, 15 608, 224 P.3d 795 (2009). A genuine issue of material fact exists only where reasonable 16 minds could reach different conclusions. Michael v. Mosquera-Lacy, 16 Wn.2d 595, 601, 200 17 P.3d 695 (2009).

Once the party seeking summary judgment demonstrates there are no genuine issues
of material fact, the burden shifts to the non-moving party to demonstrate that material issues
of fact remain. *Bonneville v. Pierce County*, 148 Wn. App. 500, 509-10, 202 P.3d 309 (2008).
To do so, the non-moving party "may not rely on speculation or on argumentative assertions
that unresolved factual issues remain." *White v. State*, 131 Wn.2d 1, 929 P.2d 396 (1937).
Rather, the non-moving party must "set forth specific facts sufficient to rebut the moving

24 APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 4 Case No. FOW 25-001

party's contention and disclose that there is a genuine issue for trial." *Michael*, 165 Wn.2d at
 601-02.

3 The City bears the burden of establishing that LITTLE BLUE does not qualify as a
4 FOWR. See SMC 23.60A.203(D)(4).

5

**B**.

## LITTLE BLUE IS NOT A FLOATING HOME.

6 A floating home cannot be verified as a FOWR. The SMP defines a FOWR as "any 7 floating structure, other than a floating home, that is designed or used primarily as a 8 residence, has detachable utilities, and is the subject of a lease or sublease at a marina, or 9 whose owner or predecessor in interest had an ownership interest in a marina, as of July 1, 2014." SMC 23.60A.912 (emphasis supplied). A floating home is "a structure designed as 10 11 a dwelling unit constructed on a float that is moored, anchored or otherwise usually 12 secured in waters, and is not a vessel, even though it may be capable of being towed." *Id.* 13 To satisfy its burden and proof and uphold the Decision then, SDCI must establish, based 14 on a preponderance of the evidence, that LITTLE BLUE is a floating home, as 15 contemplated by SMC 23.60A.912 and SMC 23.60A.202.

16

17

# *LITTLE BLUE's status as a floating home was terminated by Permit No. 3012170.*

On both July 1, 2014 (FOWR qualification date) and June 15, 2015 (SMP amendment date), LITTLE BLUE was permitted and configured for use as a floating office and workshop and moored in commercial moorage. When relocated to 1609 Fairview Avenue East, LITTLE BLUE was converted from a floating home to a workshop and office. Griggs Declaration, Ex. D. Permit No. 3012170, which was issued by SDCI on March 11, 2014, legally established LITTLE BLUE's use as an office and workshop for

24 APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 5 Case No. FOW 25-001

1.

1	marine sales and service use. Id. Consistent with that designation, the plans for LITTLE	
2	BLUE's relocation reflect its internal configuration for marine sales and service use.	
3	Griggs Declaration, Ex. G. And SDCI knowingly allowed LITTLE BLUE to relocate to a	
4	marina that was not a floating home moorage and was not eligible to become one. Griggs	
5	Declaration, EX. C—D. Permit 3012170 therefore extinguished LITTLE BLUE's status as	
6	a floating home, as contemplated by SMC 23.60A.912 and SMC 23.60A.202, rendering it	
7	a floating structure that was subject to permitting requirements outside the regulatory	
8	framework applicable to floating homes. The Decision is therefore in error insofar as it	
9	relies on LITTLE BLUE's status as a floating home and should be reversed.	
10	2. LITTLE BLUE was not legally established as a floating home and did not occupy an established floating home moorage on June 15, 2015.	
11	Within six months of the implementation of the SMP, existing floating homes had	
12	to be registered with SDCI. And to qualify for registration, a floating home had to both be	
13	legally established and occupy an established floating home moorage on June 15, 2015.	
14	SMC 23.60A.202.A.1.a.1; SMC 23.60A.202.A.1.b. On June 15, 2015, LITTLE BLUE	
15	complied with neither of these provisions. For example, and as discussed <i>supra</i> , from	
16	March 2014, onward, LITTLE BLUE was permitted and therefore established as a floating	
17		
18	office and workshop with a marine sales and service use designation. Griggs Declaration,	
19	Ex. C—D. Moreover, on June 15, 2015, LITTLE BLUE was moored in a commercial	
20	marina that did not qualify as an established floating home moorage site. <i>Id</i> .; Povlsen	
21	Declaration ¶3. Consequently, when the 2015 SMP amendments took effect, LITTLE BLUE	
22	did not meet the threshold qualifications for registration as a floating home, as articulated by	
23		
24	APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 6 Case No. FOW 25-001	

SMC 23.60A.202.A.1. It is therefore not a floating home for present purposes and the
 Decision should be reversed accordingly.

3

3.

#### LITTLE BLUE lacks the necessary characteristics of a floating home.

The SMP further defines floating homes by imposing requirements on their 4 5 construction and use. First and foremost, a floating home must be moored in a designated 6 floating home moorage. SMC 23.60A.202.D.1. LITTLE BLUE has not occupied a 7 floating home moorage site since it was moved to 1609 Fairview Avenue East in December 8 of 2012. Griggs Declaration, Ex. C—D; Povlsen Declaration ¶6. A floating home must 9 also be "connected to sewer service for all wastewater including black and grey water 10 discharge." SMC 23.60A.202.D.3. LITTLE BLUE is not connected to the sewer and has 11 not been connected city sewer service since at least December of 2012. Instead, LITTLE 12 BLUE has an onboard blackwater tank and discharges graywater into Lake Union as 13 FOWRs do. Povlsen Declaration ¶11. Indeed, all of LITTLE BLUE's utility connections 14 are made via quick-connect disconnectors, as is required for FOWRs and as is atypical for 15 floating homes. See SMC 23.60A.912 (FOWRs must have detachable utilities); Povlsen 16 Declaration ¶11. LITTLE BLUE was therefore not physically configured as a floating 17 home on June 15, 2015, when the current SMP took effect. Nor is it currently configured 18 as a floating home. It is therefore not a floating home, as defined by SMC 23.60A.912 and 19 SMC 23.60A.202, and the Decision should be reversed.

20

#### C. LITTLE BLUE IS A FOWR.

LITTLE BLUE satisfies all of the criteria necessary to be verified as a FOWR. A
FOWR must: (a) be designed or used as a residence; (b) have been used as a dwelling unit
within the City prior to July 1, 2014; (c) have detachable utilities; and (d) have been the

24 APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 7 Case No. FOW 25-001

subject of a lease or sublease at a marina, or whose owner or predecessor in interest had an
 ownership interest in a marina, as of July 1, 2014. SMC 23.60A.912, 23.60A.203(B). Each
 of these criteria is addressed below.

4

1.

### LITTLE BLUE is designed or used primarily as a residence.

5 Notwithstanding its legally established use as an office/workshop, LITTLE BLUE is 6 designed and was used primarily as a residence. It contains a bathroom and sleeping area 7 leftover from its former life as a floating home. Povlsen Declaration ¶11. It also has a 8 break room/kitchen area that qualifies as a food preparation area and is considered evidence 9 of a dwelling unit under the SMP. Id.; see also SMC 23.60A.908 (definition of dwelling 10 unit). And, as Mr. Povlsen's declaration and the attached photographs confirm, LITTLE 11 BLUE was actually used primarily as a residence for extended periods following 12 termination of its floating home status. For example, Victor White's tenancy spanned from 13 December 2012 until March 2013. Povlsen Declaration ¶7. Artist Rick Klu resided on 14 LITTLE BLUE from July 2013 until March 2015, and then again in 2020–2021. Id. And 15 Don Peterson resided on LITTLE BLUE intermittently between 2015 and 2020. Id. 16 LITTLE BLUE is therefore considered to be both designed primarily as a residence and was 17 used as a residence, qualifying it for verification as a FOWR pursuant to SMC 23.60A.912 and SMC 23.60A.203. 18

19

## LITTLE BLUE was used as a dwelling unit within the City of Seattle prior to July 1, 2014.

As discussed *supra*, LITTLE BLUE was used as a residence by artists Victor White
 and Rick Klu for substantial periods between December 2012 and July 1, 2014. LITTLE
 BLUE was therefore "occupied as living accommodations independent from any other

24 APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 8 Case No. FOW 25-001

2.

household[,]" as contemplated by SMC 23.60A.908 (definition of dwelling unit). The
 residential use by White and Klu therefore satisfies the requirement that LITTLE BLUE be
 used as a dwelling unit within the City of Seattle prior to July 1, 2014. The Decision should
 therefore be reversed and LITTLE BLUE verified as a FOWR pursuant to SMC
 23.60A.203.

6

3.

4.

#### LITTLE BLUE has detachable utilities.

LITTLE BLUE is attached to city water and electricity via quick-connect attachments.
Povlsen Declaration ¶11. Moreover, LITTLE BLUE is not connected to city sewer. *Id.* All
utilities are therefore detachable (in contrast to the requirements of a floating home, which
must be permanently attached to utilities) in satisfaction of this element of the FOWR
verification criteria. LITTLE BLUE should therefore be verified as a FOWR pursuant to
SMC 23.60A.203.

13

14

23

# LITTLE BLUE was the subject of a lease or sublease at a marina as of July 1, 2014.

In December of 2012, appellants moved LITTLE BLUE to 1609 Fairview Avenue East, Seattle, Washington, 98102, and it remained there until December of 2021. Povlsen Declaration ¶6. During that period, LITTLE BLUE was the subject of a moorage lease agreement with Don Peterson, the marina owner. *Id.* ¶¶6, 10. Indeed, rent due to Peterson was offset from time to time to account for Peterson's personal use of LITTLE BLUE as a residence. Id. ¶10. LITTLE BLUE was therefore the subject of a lease or sublease at a marina as of July 1, 2014, in satisfaction of this requirement for FOWR verification.

24 APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 9 Case No. FOW 25-001

1	VI. CONCLUSION	
2	Summary judgment should be granted in favor of appellant because SDCI is unable	
3	to meet its burden of proof and establish that LITTLE BLUE does not qualify for verification	
4	as a FOWR. The uncontroverted facts establish that LITTLE BLUE is not a floating home,	
5	and has not been a floating home since well before July 1, 2014. Moreover, LITTLE BLUE	
6	satisfies each of the four qualifying criteria for FOWR status. Accordingly, appellant requests	
7	that the Hearing Examiner grant summary judgment in its favor, reverse the Decision, and	
8	confirm LITTLE BLUE's eligibility for verification under SMC § 23.60A.203.	
9	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of May, 2025.	
10	s/ R. Shawn Griggs	
11	<u>s/ R. Shawn Griggs</u> R. Shawn Griggs, WSBA #30710 Attorney for appellants Noel Povlsen and Lynn Reed	
12	130 Nickerson Street, Suite 201 Seattle, Washington 98109	
13	Telephone: (206) 745-3805 Facsimile: (206) 745-3806 E-mail: shawn@griggs-law.com	
14	E-mail: <u>snawn(@griggs-law.com</u>	
15	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
16	I hereby certify that on this 19th day of May, 2025 a true and correct copy of the foregoing was sent via e-mail to:	
17	Maxwell Burke	
18	Seattle City Attorney's Office 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98124-4769	
19	Phone: 206-684-7493 Fax: 206-684-8284	
20	Maxwell.Burke@seattle.gov	
21	s/ R. Shawn Griggs R. Shawn Griggs, WSBA No. 30710 130 Nickerson Street, Suite 201	
22	Seattle, Washington 98109 P: (206) 745-3805 F: (206) 745-3806	
23	e-mail: <u>shawn@griggs-law.com</u>	
24	APPELLANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 10 Case No. FOW 25-001	