### RECEIVED BY

# LAND USE/SEPA DECISION APPEADR -2 PM 4: 19

OFFICE OF HEARING EXAMINER

### APPELLANT INFORMATION

### 1. Appellant

Name: Citizens Advisory Committee members Patrick Angus, Maja Hadlock, Dean Paton, and James Schell, as well as former Citizens Advisory Committee member Nicholas Richter.

## 2. Authorized Representative

Name: Dean Paton

733 16<sup>th</sup> Avenue Seattle, WA 98122

206 323 1263

dgpaton@me.com (email)

### **DECISION BEING APPEALED**

The decision being appealed is DPD # 3012953, the property address is listed as 500 17<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

The elements of the decision being appealed include: Adequacy of conditions; Adequacy of EIS, and Major Institution Plan

### APPEAL INFORMATION

Appellants' interest in this decision: Appellants are members of the Citizens Advisory Committee for the Major Institution Master Plan (and in the case of Nicholas Richter a former member) and residents of the neighborhoods in which the institution is proposing the MIMP. (Nicholas Richter was an original member of the CAC participating for most of the course of the consideration of the MIMP, but became unable to continue as an active member because of out-of-town employment.)

Appellants' objections to the decision:

A. The Environmental Impact Statement is inadequate. The EIS is not a complete and unbiased document which analyzes alternatives that might avoid or mitigate the environmental impacts of the actions proposed by the institution. The decision makers are entitled to more information in order to allow a fully informed decision regarding environmental impacts, including the following:

- 1. The height, bulk, and scale of the proposed plan is not reasonably compatible with policies of the City of Seattle and the Environmental Protection Act.
- 2. The uses of the proposed plan are not reasonably compatible with and consistent with policies of the City of Seattle and the Environmental Protection Act.
- 3. The increased parking demands of the proposed development are not consistent with policies of the City of Seattle and the Environmental Protection Act.
- 4. The proposed plan is not compatible with the policies of the City of Seattle regarding the protection of public views.
- 5. The proposed plan is not compatible with the policies of the City of Seattle and the Environmental Protection Act regarding traffic and transportation.
- B. The proposed Major Institution Master Plan fails to adequately protect the vitality and livability of the surrounding neighborhoods as required by the policies of the City of Seattle. The attached pages are incorporated in this appeal.

### RELIEF REQUESTED

It is requested that the Environmental Impact Statement be found inadequate and in error. The Hearing Examiner is asked to require the preparation of an adequate Environmental Impact Statement in order that a proposed MIMP can be evaluated with all required information and analysis.

April 2, 2015

Dean Paton