BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER CITY OF SEATTLE

In the Matter of the Appeal of

NEIGHBORS ENCOURAGING REASONABLE DEVELOPMENT

from a decision and interpretation by the Director, Department of Planning and Development, on a Master Use Permit Hearing Examiner File: MUP-14-006(DR,W)

S-14-001

Department Reference:

3013303

ORDER AMENDING
CASE SCHEDULE AND
CONTINUING HEARING

Following issuance of a supplemental interpretation by the Department, the Appellant moved for an order amending the case schedule in this appeal. The motion was fully briefed, and a telephone conference was held on July 23, 2014, for argument on the motion. The Appellant, Neighbors Encouraging Reasonable Development, was represented by Peter J. Eglick, attorney-at-law. The Applicant, Northlake Group LLC, was represented by G. Richard Hill, attorney-at-law. The Director of the Department of Planning and Development was represented by William Mills, Senior Land Use Planner.

The case schedule established by the Prehearing Order entered on June 17, 2014, is amended as follows:

June 24, 2014	The Appellant shall file and serv	ve its response to the
Applicant's Paguest for More Definite Statement		

Applicant's Request for More Definite Statement.

July 11, 2014 The Department shall issue the interpretation requested by the Appellant.

August 1, 2014

September 15, 2014 The Appellant shall file and serve its final witness¹ and

exhibit list and serve copies of the exhibits on the other

parties.2

September 19, 2014 The Appellant shall file and serve any motion concerning

procedural requirements for the proposal following issuance of the Department's supplemental interpretation.

August 8, 2014

September 22, 2014 The Applicant and Department shall file and serve their

final witness¹ and exhibit lists and serve copies of the

exhibits on the other parties.2

September 26, 2014 The Applicant and Department shall file and serve any responses to the motion concerning procedural requirements for the proposal following issuance of the Department's supplemental interpretation.

September 8, 2014

September 30, 2014

Hearing on the merits of the appeal at 9:00 a.m. September 9 and 10 October 1 and 2 have also been set aside on the calendar if needed for completion of the hearing.

Witness lists must include the names of witnesses and a brief summary of their expected testimony. If a witness will be testifying as an expert, a statement of qualifications must be included. Except for purposes of impeachment or rebuttal, only those witnesses and exhibits listed by the parties may be offered at the hearing.

²The parties agreed that regardless of the deadlines imposed for sharing exhibits, each would share its exhibits with the other parties as soon as the exhibits are ready.

Note: If the parties reach agreement on different deadlines for filing final witness and exhibit lists, the parties' written stipulation on the matter shall supersede the applicable provisions of this order.

The parties are reminded that Hearing Examiner Rule (HER) 2.05(a) requires that any electronically filed document more than 10 pages in length, including exhibits, must also be delivered to the Hearing Examiner in hard copy, and that HER 2.05(c) prohibits the filing of more than 15 pages with the Office of Hearing Examiner by electronic facsimile.

Entered this 23rd day of July, 2014.

Sue A. Tanner, Hearing Examiner

Office of Hearing Examiner

P.O. Box 94729

Seattle, Washington 98124-4729

Phone: (206) 684-0521 FAX: (206) 684-0536