

1
2
3
4 BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER
5 FOR THE CITY OF SEATTLE

6 In the Matter of the Appeal of

7 **NEIGHBORS ENCOURAGING**
8 **RESPONSIBLE DEVELOPMENT**

9 From a decision by the Director, Department of
10 Planning and Development, regarding a Master
11 Use Permit

Hearing Examiner file:
MUP-14-006

Department Reference:
3013303

Request for More Definite Statement

12 The Hearing Examiner's Notice of Prehearing Conference indicated that it would, among
13 other things, consider "identification, clarification, and simplification of the issues."

14 With respect to that question, the Applicant asks that the Appellant, at the Prehearing
15 Conference, clarify the following questions raised in Appellant's notice of appeal:

16 Paragraph 4(a). This claim states that the Design Review decision is based on faulty
17 premises. It identifies two: Height and FAR calculations. Please identify whether Appellant
18 claims that there are any other faulty premises that were improperly utilized.

19 Paragraph 4(b). Please identify all code provisions upon which Appellant relies for the
20 proposition that the DRB proceedings were not conducted in compliance with Code, were not
21 reached in a legally permissible manner, and were not drafted or issued in compliance with Land
22 Use Code requirements.

23 Paragraph 4(c). Please identify all design review guidelines and policies which Appellant
24 claims the Decision fails to properly implement.
25
26
27
28

1 Paragraph 4(d). It is unclear what if any Code provision Appellant claims was violated
2 with respect to the issue of geotechnical impacts. Please identify any Code provisions upon
3 which Appellant relies in making its claim.

4 Dated this 11th day of June, 2014.

7 Respectfully submitted,

8 McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, P.S.

9
10 

11 G. Richard Hill, WSBA 8806
12 Attorneys for Applicant

13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28 MCCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, P.S.

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600
Seattle, WA 98104
206.812.3388
206.812.3389 fax