BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER
CITY OF SEATTLE

8 In the Matter of the Appeal of: 9 BAJA CONCRETE USA CORP., 9 NEWWAY FORMING INC., and ANTONIO MACHADO

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

From a Final Order of the Decision issued by) the Director, Seattle Office of Labor Standards)

Hearing Examiner File: No: LS-21-002 LS-21-003 LS-21-004

MACHADO'S RESPONSE TO BAJA CONCRETE USA CORP'S PREHEARING MOTION TO EXCLUDE MACHADO'S EXHIBIT 22

Antonio Machado hereby exercises his prerogative to respond to Baja Concrete USA Corp's prehearing motion in writing, pursuant to the agreement the parties reached at the May 26, 2023, conference call involving all counsel. By doing so, Mr. Machado does not waive his right to engage in oral argument.

Baja seeks to exclude Machado's Exhibit 22, an email from Daron Williams to attorneys Jason Wandler, Alex Larkin and Mark Kimball dated 2/24/2021. Baja argues that this email is correspondence constituting settlement negotiations, therefore it is inadmissible under ER 408; further, Baja argues, this exhibit is irrelevant, immaterial and has no probative value. Baja is incorrect on both counts.

ER 408 does not exclude evidence offered for another purpose.

ER 408 renders statements made in compromise negotiations inadmissible generally, however, the Rule "does not require exclusion when the evidence is offered for another purpose." Mr. Machado does not intend to use Exhibit 22 to demonstrate that the parties were willing to engage in settlement discussions. Nor does he intend to ask any witness about what

MACHADO'S RESPONSE TO BAJA'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EX 22

ROCKE | LAW Group, PLLC 500 Union Street, Suite 909 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 652-8670

– Page 1

1

2

3

happened in those discussions, if discussions did in fact occur. Rather, Mr. Machado intends to use this exhibit to demonstrate that, as of February 24, 2021, "OLS' position [was] that Baja Concrete USA Corp and Newway Forming, Inc. share joint and several liability for the violations..." By omission, then, OLS's position was that Mr. Machado did <u>not</u> share joint and several liability.

HER 5.10.05 does not apply either.

Although Baja did not raise the issue, it should be noted that mediation communications are privileged under HER 5.10.05. But mediation communications are communications made for purposes of considering, conducting, etc., a mediation. *Id.* OLS was not suggesting mediation here. Rather, it was trying to negotiate a resolution without the help of a mediator.

Machado's Exhibit 22 is relevant, material and probative.

Mr. Williams' failure to mention Mr. Machado in this email speaks volumes. This exhibit is not just relevant and material, it is tantamount to an admission of a party-opponent. It is probative of the fact that OLS had not developed sufficient evidence as of February 24, 2021, to determine that Mr. Machado was a joint employer. Mr. Machado must be permitted to ask Mr. Williams what changed between that date and the date the Determination was issued.

If OLS believed that Mr. Machado was a joint employer within the meaning of the Seattle Municipal Code upon the completion of its investigation, Mr. Williams would have written that the goal was "to negotiate and execute a formal settlement agreement between Baja Concrete USA Corp, Newway Forming, and OLS" *and Antonio Machado*. He did not.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 9th day of June, 2023.

ROCKE | LAW Group, PLLC

A.

Allen McKenzie, WSBA No. 48703

MACHADO'S RESPONSE TO BAJA'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EX 22

ROCKE | LAW Group, PLLC 500 Union Street, Suite 909 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 652-8670

– Page 2

1	DECLARATION OF SERVICE
2	I caused a copy of the foregoing Appellant Antonio Machado's Response to Baja's Motion
3	to Exclude Exhibit 22 to be served to the following in the manner indicated:
4	<u>Via Email to:</u>
5	The Hon. Ryan Vancil, Hearing Examiner
6	Office of the Hearing Examiner 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 4000
7	Seattle, WA 98104
8	<u>nearnig.exammer.u/seattle.gov</u>
9	Mark D. Kimball Alex Larkin
10	MDK Law 777 18 th Avenue Northeast, Suite 2000
11	Bellevue, WA 98004
12	Telephone: (425) 455-9610 Email: <u>mkimball@mdklaw.com</u>
12	alarkin@mdklaw.com Attorneys for Appellant Baja Concrete
14	Nicole E. Wolfe
15	Oles Morrison Rinker & Baker LLP
16	701 Pike Street, Suite 1700 Seattle, WA 98101
17	Email: wolfe@oles.com Attorneys for Appellant Newway Forming, Inc.
18	Lorna Sylvester
19	Cindi Williams
20	City of Seattle 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2050
20	Seattle, WA 98104 Email: Lorna.Sylvester@seattle.gov
22	cindi.williams@seattle.gov Attorneys for Respondents
22	Autorneys for Respondents
24	On today's date.
25	
26	
	MACHADO'S RESPONSE TO BAJA'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EX 22 ROCKE LAW Group, PLLC
	500 Union Street, Suite 909 Seattle, WA 98101
	– Page 3 (206) 652-8670

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the state of Washington that the
2	foregoing is true and correct to the best of my belief.
3	Signed and DATED this 9th day of June 2023 in Seattle, Washington.
4	
5	<u>s/ Elena Maltos</u>
6	Elena Maltos, Paralegal
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	MACHADO'S RESPONSE TO BAJA'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EX 22 Souther and the second street, suite 909 Souther WA 08101
	- Page 4 Seattle, WA 98101 (206) 652-8670