## **Capacity Assumptions Comparisons**

NO DENSITY LIMIT FOR COBE MEETII		SCENARIO 1 (4/0	4/05/2010) SCENARIO 2 (4/05/2010) SCENARIO 2A (4/15/201		05/2010) SCENARIO 2A (4/15/2010)		IO 2 (4/05/2010) SCENARIO 2A (4/1		15/2010)
ZONE	ASSUMED DENSITIES	ZONE	ASSUMED DENSITIES	ZONE	ASSUMED DENSITIES	ZONE	ASSUMED DENSITIES		
LR1	1/1350	LR1 (LDT + L1	1/1800	LR1 (LDT + L1	1/1800	LR1 (LDT + L1	1/1800		
(LDT only)	(assumes apartments	outside DGA)	20005	outside DGA)	postr	outside DGA)	TI OVI		
318 acres	allowed)	825 acres		825 acres		825 acres			
LR2	316 0	LR2 (L2 outside		LR2 (all L2 zones		LR2 (all L2 zones			
(all L1 zones and L2 outside DGA	1/1080	DGA)	1/1320	+ L1 inside DGA)	ehe.	+ L1 inside DGA)	bos sono		
1,272 acres		523 acres							
LR2 (L2 inside DGA)	1/930	LR2 (L2 inside DGA + L1 inside	1/1070	1,124 acres	1/1110	1,124 acres	1/1110		
		DGA)		1.2	65	FR2 (1.2 Hs)			
359 acres	M250	601 acres	1/1/200	287 octor	KD111	DGA + Life DGA)	u la Creata		
LR3		LR3 (L3 outside		LR3 (L3 outside		LR3 (L3 outside			
(L3 and L4 outside DGA)	1/885	DGA + L4 outside DGA)	1/957	DGA + L4 outside DGA) 874 acres	1/1038	DGA + L4 outside DGA) 874 acres	1/957		
874 acres	218,1	874 acres		7,619 acres		BZ4 scree			
LR3 (L3 and L4 inside DGA)	1/575	LR3 (L3 inside DGA + L4 inside DGA)	1/670	LR3 (L3 inside DGA + L4 inside DGA)	1/670	LR3 (L3 inside DGA + L4 inside DGA)	1/670		
959 acres	211	959 acres		959 acres		959 acres			
TOTAL CAPACITY	53,654	TOTAL CAPACITY	<b>7:</b> 38,903	TOTAL CAPACITY	38,180	TOTAL CAPACITY	38,980		

		YTIDA9AD JATOT	30,375	A9AD JATOT	CITY: 35,327	DAYAD LATOT	179,15:97	TOTAL CAPAC	TY: 26,800
929 acres		959 acres		211 acres		211 acres		211 acres	069 total
R3 L3 and L4 inside (A∋0)	0 <del>1</del> 9/1	LR3 (L3 + L4 inside DGA)	029/1	100V + 104 A	009/1	124 / 14 MEIOC	009/1		1/800
74 acres		874 acres		1,619 acres		1,619 acres		1,619 acres	3.4 30.4
L3 and L4 outside (A-D()	096/1	DGA)	1/1038	Den	008/1	(Gv)	006/1	67	0001/1
. ЕЯ.	timbride in	ГК3	1279	F3	17677	ГЗ		F3 . apreno e	1.0 % 2000 8
29 acres	ibelies .	DGA)		882 acres		882 acres		882 acres	755 HOLS
SA. (AƏQ əbizni S.)	0001/1	LR2 (L2 inside DGA + L1 inside	0701/1	77	1/1200	L2 (A2)	1/1200	77	0041/1
SPIDE STS,		523 acres	1/111	749 acres	11/210	749 acres		749 acres	182 2 heads
all L1 zones and ADU ebistue DGA	1/1200	DGA)	1/1320	-	0091/1	23 3015	1/1725	6.180.6%	1/1800
R2		LR2 (L2 outside	(CW)	4 71 MART	BUESO	(B) [7]		ness II	198 01 308
18 acres	5000	318 acres	OUR?	318 acres		318 acres		318 acres	F1-6
r ج (Vlno TG)	0081/1	ראו (רסז)	1/2000	TOT	1/2000	nasos nas <b>10</b> 7	1/2000	тал	1/2000
1808 1520 153 153	DENSITIE S	75100	DENSITIES	794 (954)	DENSITIES		DENSILIES		DENSILIES
ONE	ASSUME	SONE	ASSUMED	SONE	ASSUMED	SONE	ASSUMED	SONE	ASSUMED
ETAIN SOME DENSITY MITS: ALTERNATVE FOR OBE MEETING 2/24		DPD PROPOSAL 2010 RUN		1989 EIS ASS 2010 RUN – A DENSITIES	DOPTED	1989 EIS ASSUI 2010 RUN – ADJ ASSUMED DEN	USTED	2010 ESTIMATE CAPACTIY UND CURRENT ZON OBESREVED D	ER NG USING ENSITIES

A more conservative interpretation of assumptions related to capacity analyzed in 1989 and the zoning actually adopted would be reflected in the following projected densities.

LDT: 1/2000

L1: 1/1725

L2: 1/1200

L3: 1/900

T4: 1/000

The resulting capacity with these assumed densities is 31,671 units.

While adopted densities more directly reflect the densities proposed in Alternative 2, many of the development standards, especially coverage, were more consistent with the proposals of Alternative 1, suggesting that the projected densities considered may be higher than what was assumed for Alternative 2 because it would have been easier to achieve the permitted densities, even though they were lower than the proposed densities of alternative 2.