City of Seattle

ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

A. BACKGROUND:

1. Name of proposed project, if applicable:

Land Use Code amendments pertaining to regulation of Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences.

2. Name of Applicant:

City of Seattle

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development 700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000 P.O. Box 34019 Seattle, Washington 98124-4019

Contact: Geoff Wentlandt, 206-684-3586

4. Date checklist prepared:

September 18, 2013

5. Agency requesting checklist:

City of Seattle Department of Planning and Development

6. Proposed timing or schedule (include phasing if applicable):

The proposed code amendments will be reviewed by City Council and discussed in public hearings in fall of 2013.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansions, or further activities related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain:

No, the proposal is a non-project action that is not dependent upon any further action.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal:

A SEPA environmental checklist and environmental determination and related information are prepared for this proposal.

9. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain:

This is a non-project action that does not affect any specific individual properties. However, land use and building permit applications are currently pending for micro-housing and congregate residence development projects under existing regulations.

10. List any governmental approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:

The proposal requires approval by the City Council. No other agency approvals are anticipated.

Page 2

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site.

Proposal Description

The proposal for Land Use Code amendments consists of the following actions:

- Establish new definitions for Micro-Housing, and Micro, within the Land Use Code. (SMC 23.84A).
- Modify design review thresholds to apply design review to any development with Micro-Housing or to Congregate Residences based on the amount of non-exempt gross square footage (GSF) in the building.
- Add a size minimum to the shared kitchen/common areas in a Micro-Housing dwelling unit, and a minimum size requirement for common areas in Congregate Residences.
- Add certain development standards for Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences limiting the configuration of kitchen features.
- Prohibit new developments with Micro-Housing in Single Family zones.
- Adjust refuse collection area standards for buildings with Micro-Housing and for Congregate Residences. (SMC 23.54)
- Adjust required vehicle and bicycle parking quantities for Micro Housing and for Congregate Residences. (SMC 23.54)
- Increase the required affordability levels for participation in incentive zoning for affordable housing for projects with micro-housing or congregate residences, and for small studio apartments. (SMC 23.58A)

Potential environmental impacts for the following actions are also evaluated as a part of the proposal, although the draft ordinance does not include these items as part of the legislation:

- Add development standards to set a minimum square foot size for Micros within Micro-Housing and for sleeping rooms in Congregate Residence, between 185 and 220 square feet.
- Prohibit construction of micro-housing in the Lowrise 1, Lowrise 2, and Neighborhood Commercial 1 zones.

This is a non-project action: there is no specific site or development proposal.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

Land Use Code Amendments Pertaining to Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences SEPA Environmental Checklist

Page 3

This is a non-project action. The proposal's affect would be citywide. The proposal would affect any location where multi-family residential development is allowed by zoning. It would also affect single family zones in that it prohibits Micro-Housing within single family zones. The most direct affect would be in Lowrise and Midrise Multifamily zones where Micro-Housing and Congregate Residence development has been most prevalent.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS:

Per Washington Administrative Code provisions (WAC 197-11-315) Part B of the checklist is not filled out for non-project actions.

- 1. Earth
 - a. General description of site (circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

e. Describe the purpose, type and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction or use? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

2. Air

a. What type of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, automobile, odors, industrial, wood smoke) during construction and when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

3. Water

a. Surface Water:

1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

2) Will the project require any work over, in or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn or will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground for septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. Water Runoff (including storm water):

1) Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

 d. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground or runoff water impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

4. Plants

This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed. The range of sites that could be affected by the proposal could include those plants checked on the lines above.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

5. Animals

a. Circle any birds and animals that have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

Birds:

Mammals:

Fish:

Other:

b. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None are known. No threatened or endangered animal species are known to be in or near urban centers and station area overlays within the City of Seattle.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

None are known. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

Not applicable.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing etc.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

1) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

2) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed. The zoning categories where Micro Dwelling Units have been most prevalent are Lowrise Multifamily and Midrise Multifamily.

f. What is current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. This proposal would have the most direct effect on areas designated Multifamily and Commercial/Mixed Use on the Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map.

- g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- h. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, specify.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

- i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

I. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed. The proposal would clarify, and increase certain requirements for

Land Use Code Amendments Pertaining to Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences SEPA Environmental Checklist

Page 9

affordable housing under the city's multi-family tax exemption program and existing incentive zoning provisions, in certain Micro-Housing developments and Congregate Residences.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

- b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

12. Recreation

- a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- b. Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

13. Historical and Cultural Preservation

a. Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe.
 Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe the proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

c. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

d. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

e. Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.

g. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: None are proposed.

15. Public Services

- a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.
- b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any. Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

16. Utilities

a. Utilities currently available at the site: electricity, natural gas, water, refuse service, telephone, sanitary sewer, septic system, other.

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed:

Not applicable. This is a non-project action. There is no specific site or project location. No construction is proposed.

C. SIGNATURE

Signature provided following section D below.

D. SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NON-PROJECT ACTIONS

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment.

When answering the questions, be aware of the extent of the proposal, or the types of activities likely to result from the proposal, would affect the item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented. Respond briefly and in general terms.

 How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? The proposed changes would result in no direct impacts, and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative impacts related to water, air, noise, or toxic/hazardous substances.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: None proposed.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish or marine life?

The proposed changes would result in no direct impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative impacts related to plant, animal, fish or marine life. The changes are not expected to significantly alter the pace or scale of new multi-family multifamily development that would otherwise be allowed in the absence of the proposed land use code changes.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are: None proposed.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposed changes would result in no direct negative impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative impacts related to energy or natural resources. The changes are not expected to significantly alter the pace or scale of new multi-family multifamily development that would otherwise be allowed in the absence of the proposed land use code changes. If there is any cumulative effect of the proposed land use code changes it is likely to be a very minor slowing of the pace of new multi family development that includes Micro-Housing Units or Congregate Residences – due to some increased development standard requirements, including a minimum micro or sleeping room size, and some increased permit process requirement of design review for some projects. The potential to prohibit location of Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences from Lowrise 1 and Lowrise 2 zones, and Neighborhood Commercial 1 zones would have minimal cumulative effect because very few projects are located in those zones under existing regulations.

Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences are compact forms of multi-family development most commonly located in Urban Village and Urban Center locations near the city's richest transit, bicycle infrastructure, and most walkable neighborhoods. Therefore, construction of the housing type has the indirect effect of reducing impacts on energy and natural resources by encouraging more widespread use of transit and non-motorized transportation instead of automobile travel for those users occupying the housing. Effects of the legislation that could cause minor cumulative slowing of the pace of development of Micro-Housing or Congregate Residences could result in minor lessening of these environmental benefits in the area of energy or natural resource depletion.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are: None.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened, or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposed changes would result in no direct impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative impacts related to environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated for governmental protection. For natural environmental features listed above, this is due to the fact that the areas potentially effected are already in intensely developed urban environments and no significant environmentally sensitive areas are designated. The proposed changes would not alter allowances for new development that could otherwise occur in or near environmentally sensitive areas under existing regulations.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

None are proposed. The existing regulatory framework, i.e., the Land Use Code, The Shoreline Master Program, Environmentally Critical Areas Ordinance, Landmarks Preservation Ordinance and the City's SEPA ordinance will address impacts during review of development proposals on a project-specific basis.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land and shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposed changes would continue to allow and encourage land uses compatible with the existing Comprehensive Plan and neighborhood plans. The proposal is intended to implement Comprehensive Plan land use goals and policies for a broad range of multi-family development in appropriately designated locations. For example Land Use Goal 12 (LUG12) states: Promote a

Land Use Code Amendments Pertaining to Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences SEPA Environmental Checklist Page 13

residential development pattern consistent with the urban village strategy, with increase availability of housing at densities that promote walking and transit use near employment concentrations, residential services and amenities. The proposal also is intended to implement various Comprehensive Plan Housing goals and policies such as Housing Goal 4 (HG4): Achieve a mix of housing types that are attractive and affordable to a diversity of ages, incomes, household types, household sizes, and cultural backgrounds.

However, some aspects of the proposal could be perceived by some to be incompatible with certain goals and policies in the Comprehensive Plan. For example Land Use Policy 80 (LU80) states: Provide for predictability about the allowed intensity of development with appropriate development standards and density limits for each zone to accommodate a range of housing types and achieve development that meets the policy intent for each zone. It could be posited that the proposal does not provide for predictability about the allowed density limit, or could lead to a level of density inappropriate for some of the lower density lowrise zones.

The proposed land use code changes would add the additional requirement of design review for some projects with Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences. Design Review provides an opportunity for evaluation of specific projects according to citywide and neighborhood design guidelines, which can help ensure consistency with local plans and provide additional opportunity for local residents to provide comment on specific development projects. On balance, the indirect, long-term cumulative impacts on land uses would be positive in that the proposed land use code changes further the preferred land use pattern as expressed in Comprehensive Plans, Transportation plans and various policies and goals of the City of Seattle.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

None are proposed. Development above SEPA thresholds will continue to be reviewed on a project-specific basis and any land use related impacts identified and mitigated as part of the project's SEPA decision.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

The proposed changes would result in no direct impacts and are unlikely to result in indirect or cumulative negative impacts related to transportation or public services/utilities. Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences are a compact form of multi-family development most commonly located in Urban Village and Urban Center locations near the city's richest transit, bicycle infrastructure, and priority areas for highest levels of utilities, public services, and parks/open spaces. Therefore, construction of the housing type, which locates a relatively high number of residences in these locations (as opposed to other potential locations outside of Urban Villages and Urban Centers), is an efficient and beneficial use of the existing transportation, public service and utility capacity. If there is any cumulative effect of the proposed land use code changes it is likely to be a very minor slowing of the pace of new multi family development that includes Micro Housing or Congregate Residences – due to increased development standard requirements and the increased permit process requirement of design review for some projects.

It is not practical to predict how the number of vehicle, transit and non-motorized trips would be affected by the proposal. Minor slowing of the pace of development with Micro Housing and Congregate Residences could be associated with minor lessening of the beneficial effects of high transit use and non-motorized modes associated with the form of housing. The proposal also includes increased requirements for minimum quantity of vehicle parking in locations outside of urban centers and villages with frequent transit service. An increase in vehicle parking could be associated with increased demands for vehicle trips. On the other hand, the proposal includes

Land Use Code Amendments Pertaining to Micro-Housing and Congregate Residences SEPA Environmental Checklist Page 14

increased requirements for bicycle parking in all locations citywide – which will further facilitate non-motorized trips. Therefore the proposal has minor and incremental affects on the vehicle and non-motorized transportation infrastructures in both positive and negative directions.

All areas potentially affected by the proposal are within already urbanized areas with fully developed utility infrastructures. No acute infrastructure deficiencies or particular capacity constraints are known for the areas potentially affected by the proposal.

At the time of any project-specific development proposal, it is the responsibility of the project proponent to sufficiently upgrade existing utility infrastructures to service proposed development. These arrangements must be in place at the time of permit approval for new development.

In sum, the potential for significant adverse additional impacts on transportation and utility infrastructure is negligible.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demands are: None proposed.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

It is believed that the proposal would not result in conflicts with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for protection of the environment.

SIGNATURE:			
understood tha	t the lead agency may withdraw any de	lge the above information is true and complete. claration of non-significance that it might issue it misrepresentation or willful lack of full disclose	n
Signature:	On File Geoff Wentlandt Senior Urban Planner		
Date Submitted	d:		
	On File William K. Mills Senior Land Use Planner	Date:	