5/5/2022 Deposition Excerpts: Newway Forming 30(b)(6) Kwynne Forler-Grant

EXHIBIT A
TO DECLARATION OF CINDI WILLIAMS

DEEQUE MILE HEADING EV	Page
BEFORE THE HEARING EX	AMINER
OF THE CITY OF SEA	TTLE
In the Matter of the Appeal of:)
Baja Concrete USA Corp., Newway)
Forming and Antonio Machado,)
) No. LS-21-002, 003, 0
From a Final Order of the Decision	1)
issued by the Director, Seattle)
Office of Labor Standards.)
ZOOM DEPOSITION UPON ORAL OF KWYNNE FORLER-GRANT 3	
9:00 a.m.	
May 5, 2022	

REPORTED BY: Pat Lessard, CCR #2104

	Page 2		Page 3
1	APPEARANCES	1	APPEARANCES
2	FOR BAJA CONCRETE USA CORP:	2	MITEMMNEED
3	MR. ALEX LARKIN	3	FOR ANTONIO MACHADO:
4	MDK Law Associates	4	MS. SARA KINCAID
5	777 108th Avenue NE, Suite 2000	5	Rocke Law Group
6	Bellevue, WA 98004	6	500 Union Street, Suite 909
7	425.455.9610	7	Seattle, WA 98101-4052
8	alarkin@mdklaw.com	8	206.652.8670
9		9	sara@rockelaw.com
10	FOR CITY OF SEATTLE OFFICE OF LABOR STANDARDS:	10	Sura & Tockelaw.com
11	MS. ERICA FRANKLIN	11	
12	MS. LORNA SYLVESTER	12	
13	Seattle City Attorney	13	
14	701 Fifth Ave., Suite 2050	14	
15	Seattle, WA 98104	15	
16	206.684.8200	16	
17	erica.franklin@seattle.gov	17	
18	oncentument Country go	18	
19	FOR NEWWAY FORMING, INC:	19	
20	MR. JASON WANDLER	20	
21	Oles Morrison Rinker & Baker	21	
22	701 Pike Street, Suite 1700	22	
23	Seattle, WA 98101	23	
24	206.682.6254	24	
25	wandler@oles.com	25	
25	walder e descon		
	Page 4		Page 5
1	EXAMINATION	1	EXHIBIT INDEX
2	ATTORNEY PAGE	2	No. DESCRIPTION PAGE
3	BY MR. LARKIN: 6	3	Exhibit 9 5/33/20 letter from Seattle Office 40
4	BY MS. FRANKLIN: 43	4	of Labor Standards to Antonio
5	BY MR. WANDLER: 109	5	Machado and others re Seattle
6	BY MR. LARKIN: 112	6	Labor Standing Ordinances
7	BY MS. KINCAID: 118	7	violation.
8	BY MS. FRANKLIN: 119	8	Exhibit 10 Summary invoices sept/oct 2020 99
9	EXHIBITINDEX	9	Exhibit 11 Kwynne Grant email to Nancy Chin 100
10	No. DESCRIPTION PAGE	10	re Baja and PNW Shotcrete.
11	Exhibit 1 City of Seattle and Baja Concrete 9	11	Exhibit 12 9/17/19 email from Kwynne Grant to 102
12	USA Corp. Notice of Rule 30(b)(6)	12	Tom Grant re meeting new supers.
13	Deposition to Newway Forming, Inc.	13	Exhibit 13 9/18/19 email from Kwynne Grant re 104
14	Exhibit 2 Newway Organizational Chart 1120 10	14	new supers/managers.
15	Denny Way.	15	Exhibit 14 1/14/21 email from Kwynne Grant to 106
16	Exhibit 3 Time Cards. 16	16	Dan DeLue and Inga Shigetani
17	Exhibit 4 Time Cards. 17	17	forwarding Labor and Industry
18	Exhibit 5 Baja invoices. 19	18	Claims.
19	Exhibit 6 Newway Crane and/or Rigging 28	19	Exhibit 15 Organization chart. 107
20	Accident/Incident Notification.	20	
21	Exhibit 7 Baja billing backup for invoices. 35	21	
22	Exhibit 8 1120 Denny Way Baja Concrete 39	22	
23	billing to Newway Forming.	23	
24		24	
25		25	
1		I	

2 (Pages 2 to 5)

	Page 6		Page 7
1	KWYNNE FORLER-GRANT, being duly sworn, testified	1	Let's see here. I'm supposed to ask this
2	upon oath, as follows:	2	question I don't like it but are you on any
3	EXAMINATION	3	medications or have any medical conditions that might
4	BY MR. LARKIN:	4	impair your ability to answer questions today?
5	Q. So Ms. Grant, I'm Alex Larkin. I'm one of	5	A. No.
6	the lawyers for Baja Concrete USA in this case.	6	Q. Would you please provide your full name and
7	Just a few basic ground rules for the	7	spell it. And your business address or work address.
8	deposition. We have to be careful keep in mind	8	A. Okay. It's Kwynne, KWYNNE, Forler, FO
9	you're under oath so when responding to questions keep	9	R L E R, hyphenated Grant, G R A N T. 133 164th
10	that in mind.	10	Street Southwest, Suite 204, Lynnwood, 98087.
11	We both need to be careful to avoid talking	11	Q. And have you ever been deposed before in a
12	over each other, even if it seems obvious what it's	12	civil case?
13	going to be. When you're answering a question I have	13	A. No.
14	to be careful not to talk over you and that's so the	14	Q. So what is your position or relationship
15	court reporter can get a good clear transcript of	15	with Newway Forming, Inc.?
16	this.	16	A. Senior manager.
17	Try to answer with a clear "Yes" or "No"	17	Q. And how long have you been in that position?
18	where appropriate rather than, you know, "Uh-huh" or	18	A. I've moved up since receptionist, 22 years.
19	something like that. Again, so the record can be made	19	Q. You've been with the company for 27 years?
20	clear.	20	A. Twenty-two years, yes.
21	We can take breaks anytime except when there	21	Q. How long have you been a senior manager?
22	is a question pending. So if I've asked a question	22	A. Probably the last ten.Q. Describe, if you would, your typical job
23	then you do need to respond to the question before	23	duties, just a summary.
24	taking a break. But other than that, anytime you want	25	A. Everything. Shipping back orders over the
25	to take a break we can do that.	23	A. Everydning, Shipping back orders over the
	Page 8		Page 9
1	border, moving equipment to the jobsites, trucking off	1	Q. Okay.
2	the jobsites. Oh, gosh.	2	A. Very close.
3	All paperwork, insurance, workers comp,	3	Q. But the other two are complete?
4	everything. All the superintendents report to me,	4	A. Yes.
5	call me when they need things.	5	Q. So a bit of an off-the-wall question, to
6	Basically take care of the subcontractors on	6	your knowledge has Newway Forming, Inc. ever been the
7	the sites, anything they need.	7	subject of a wage claim prior to this case?
8	Q. So are you familiar with the project jobsite	8	A. No.
9	at 1120 Denny Way in Seattle?	9	Q. So I'm going to introduce the first exhibit.
10	A. Yes.	10	I'll share screen. Just give me a moment here.
11	Q. Are you familiar with the project site at	11	(Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 1.)
12	707 Terry Avenue in Seattle?	12	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Do you see this
13	A. Yes.	13	document, Ms. Grant?
14 15	Q. And one more. Are you familiar with the jobsite at 2014 Fairview Avenue in Seattle?	14 15	A. Yes. Q. Have you seen this before?
15 16	A. Yes.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. And you're generally familiar with or are	17	A. Tes. Q. I'll scroll through. It's four pages.
18	you familiar with the work that Baja Concrete USA, the	18	So on the third page where it says Exhibit A
19	service they may have provided at those project sites?	19	and it lists items one through eight, have you read
20	A. Yes.	20	over that list prior to this deposition?
21	Q. We'll get into that a little bit more later.	21	A. Yes.
22	And those three project sites, are those	22	Q. Okay. Can you confirm that you are prepared
23	projects all complete? As far as construction are	23	to answer questions about each of those topics that
24	those properties complete?	24	are listed on this page of the document?
25	A. Not 707 Terry.	25	A. Yes.

Kwyn	ne Forler-Grant 30(b)(6)		May 5, 202
	Page 10		Page 11
1	Q. And do you see near the top of this page	1	during the relevant period of time, this was Newway's
2	where it lists those three project sites that we	2	chart or an organization chart for that project site?
3	already mentioned and that says the relevant time	3	A. Yes.
4	period is February of 2018 through August of 2020?	4	Q. Now I'll just scroll down. There's a list
5	Do you see that?	5	of well, let's start near the top. So Tom Grant,
6	A. Yes.	6	Senior PM.
7	Q. Okay. So for this deposition, and when	7	Where would you be on this organizational
8	we're asking you questions about these items, we're	8	chart? I don't see your name on it.
9	referring to that as the relevant time period.	9	A. I'm on the organizational chart for the
10	Now we can move on to Exhibit 2.	10	company. I'm not on the jobsite.
11	(Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 2.)	11	Q. Okay. So for these three project sites,
12	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I will introduce that.	12	well, primarily 1120 Denny Way, did you say you were
13	Do you see this document?	13	familiar with the project sites?
14	A. Yes.	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. And I think it's two pages or two separate	15	Q. Did you visit them did you visit that
16	documents that are put together as one. So we're	16	site or those sites, say, regularly when the work was
17	looking at the first page and now the second page.	17	going on?
18	Back to the first page of Exhibit 1, what is	18	A. I used to in the beginning.
19	this document?	19	Q. Okay. So on this first page of Exhibit 2
20	A. It's for the 1120 Denny Way project and it's	20	can you say or are you able to say whether every name
21	showing our staff.	21	that we see on here was a Newway employee?
22	Q. And it looks like it's titled "Newway	22	A. Yes.
23	Organizational Chart," right?	23	Q. Yes. Okay.
24	A. Yes.	24	And I'll scroll down to page two of the same
25	Q. So is this correct that, at least maybe	25	exhibit. I guess this must just be a slightly
	Page 12		Page 13
1	different period of time I guess for the same	1	A. I think mostly they delegated that to the
2	organizational chart, is that correct?	2	leads.
3	A. Yes. It appears to be, yes.	3	Q. Okay. So the leads are the people we see a
4	Q. And again, all these names that we see on	4	little bit lower on the chart, right?
5	page two, were all of those during the relevant	5	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
6	time period, at least, were all of those employees of	6	Q. If you don't mind, if you could just
7	Newway Forming?	7	describe again briefly what does a lead do. I see a
8	A. Yes.	8	carpenter lead, finishing lead, laborer lead.
9	Q. And here we see it looks like a president	9	What do leads do?
10	and a vice president at the top of this chart,	10	A. They would go to the office in the mornings
11	correct?	11	and they would be instructed where their crews needed
12	A. Yes.	12	to go throughout the building during that day.
13	Q. Are those people still with the company?	13	Q. Okay. For each day would they also direct
14	A. Yes.	14	subcontractors' employees?
15	Q. Describe just briefly again, we see foreman.	15	A. We leave that up to the subcontractors and
16	Tony Machado was general foreman. Would you describe	16	their oversight staff, their superintendent. But they
17	just briefly what his duties would have been on this	17	do work closely with our leads because they do need to
18	1120 Denny Way project?	18	know where their crew needs to be and those are
19	A. He would have oversaw everybody on that	19	morning meetings every day.
20	list.	20	Q. Okay. So those are morning meetings every
20	not.	20	Q. Okay. So those are morning meetings every

So would these be morning meetings -- would

these be meetings of not only Newway Forming but would

you say Baja Concrete workers if they were there and

other subcontractors' employees, would they all be

21

22

23

24

25

employees?

Q. Everybody that we see listed on this page?

Q. Would he also oversee subcontractors, like

other employees there that were not Newway Forming

21

22

23

24

25

day. That's interesting.

	Page 18		Page 19
1	Q. And is it fair to say there must have been a	1	A. Yes.
2	lot more than what we see here in these Exhibits 3 and	2	Q. Okay. Are there any steps in between that
3	4 we just looked at?	3	we're leaving out, like a summary of hours worked?
4	A. Yes, there are.	4	A. No, there isn't.
5	Q. Okay. And was there an approval process for	5	Q. Well, you did say there must be a lot more
6	these, all these timecards?	6	than just the few timecards we see in these Exhibits 3
7	A. Yes. Tom Grant wouldn't sign the invoices	7	and 4, correct?
8	submitted by Baja until we had backup. And that	8	A. Yes.
9	therefore my Canadian office would not pay bills until	9	Q. Maybe we have them. I'm not sure.
10	that was done.	10	MR. WANDLER: They have been produced.
11	So these were they wanted everybody to	11	MR. LARKIN: They were produced?
12	come to the office, clock in. And Roberto Soto	12	MR. WANDLER: Yes.
13	Contreras would come in once a week and sit down with	13	MR. LARKIN: I think you sent me that. I'll
14	Tom Grant and they would go through these.	14	take a look again later.
15	And then Roberto would make his invoice.	15	So with that I'll move on to Exhibit 5.
16	Q. So Mr. Soto Contreras and Tom Grant would	16	(Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 5.)
17	sit down together and review, I guess, all of the	17	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll down.
18	timecards for the week, correct?	18	Do you recognize what we see here?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. Yes. Invoices.
20	Q. And they would do this every week during the	20	Q. Yeah. I see it may be cut off a little bit
21	relevant period of time?	21	at the end. Sorry about that.
22	A. Yes.	22	Is it your understanding that this would
23	Q. And then if I understood you correctly,	23	have been an invoice from Baja Concrete to Newway
24	Mr. Soto Contreras would then, with that information,	24	Forming?
25	he would prepare Baja's invoices, is that correct?	25	A. Yes.
	Page 20		Page 21
1		1	
1	Q. Let me scroll through the document because	1	would work in the overall system, Tom Grant and
2 3	this is 13 pages. We've got Newway Bates stamps on	2 3	Mr. Soto Contreras would get together, go through all the timecards, group them, and Mr. Soto would prepare
4	here starting with 2078. And some are upside down. That's how we	4	these invoices.
5	received them.	5	So this invoice we see here likely was
6	A. Yes.	6	prepared by Mr. Soto, correct?
7	MR. WANDLER: I promise we didn't do that on	7	A. Yes.
8	purpose.	8	Q. And then who was responsible for paying the
9	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'm scrolling through the	9	invoices for Newway Forming?
10	whole thing here.	10	A. In the beginning we sent everything to
11	It goes down to Bates stamp Newway 2090.	11	Canada, B.C., FedEx. And then we did a course in 2019
12	I'll scroll back to the top again.	12	in our Canadian office and started using their
13	So at the top of this, on page one of this	13	software and checks were out of our Lynnwood office.
14	Exhibit 5, is that that's not an invoice, right?	14	Q. So for the first, say, year and a half or
15	That's a record of payment, right?	15	two years of these projects, the checks for Newway
16	A. It is. I don't know I can't see the	16	Forming to Baja Concrete were actually coming out
17	invoice, so I'm not sure why the text is smaller but	17	of is it the Vancouver office?
18	that is one of their invoices, yes.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. But this other part of the same page	19	Q. Is that the headquarters of Newway Forming?
20	here would have been maybe it wasn't, maybe it was	20	A. Yes.
21	related to a different invoice but it would have	21	Q. Okay. So looking at the second page of
22	been a record or was a record of Newway's payment to	22	Exhibit 5, it looks like maybe there's a match here,
23	Baja Concrete, right?	23	the amount listed on the invoice and the amount of the
24	A. Yes.	24	check stub there, is that correct?
25	Q. So again, just to be clear, the way this	25	A. Yes.

3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And looking at that same page it looks like we see some kind of approval down at the bottom of the page. A. Yes. Q. Now is that Newway Forming's approval of 	1 2 3	projects? A. They were hired for cement finishing.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we see some kind of approval down at the bottom of the page. A. Yes.		A. They were hired for cement finishing.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	page. A. Yes.	3	
5 6 7 8 9			Q. And anything else?
6 7 8 9 10	O Now is that Newway Forming's approval of	4	A. Not until later.
7 8 9 10	Q. Now is that New way Forming's approval of	5	Q. Later being beyond what we're calling the
8 9 10	that invoice so it can be paid?	6	relevant time period?
9 10	A. That's our in-house coding to be paid.	7	A. No, within the time period.
10	Q. Okay. And do you know whose initials or	8	Q. Okay. So what else were they hired for
	signature that is on there?	9	later during the time period?
1 1	A. Tom Grant.	10	A. Labor, laborers.
11	Q. Okay. Scrolling down again. We're moving	11	Q. Okay. But even when they were hired as
12	right along today.	12	cement finishers, that's also a laborer, right?
13	So tell me about Baja Concrete USA Coup.	13	A. General labor and cement finishing, cement
14	Are you familiar with that business?	14	finishing is more of a skilled trade.
15	A. Yes.	15	Q. Okay.
16	Q. And how are you familiar with it?	16	A. Patching, grinding.
17	A. They are a subcontractor of Newway Forming,	17	Q. And roughly, if you can recall, how many
18	Inc.	18	laborers were onsite let's just kind of focus on
19	Q. Well, so they were a subcontractor of Newway	19	the Denny Way project site. How many laborers
20	Forming for the three project sites during the	20	provided by Baja Concrete would typically be working
21	relevant time period we've been talking about,	21	at that 1120 Denny Way site?
22	correct?	22	A. About the scope, I guess, six to twelve.
23	A. Yes.	23	Q. Six to twelve pretty much every day that
24	Q. And how would you describe their scope of	24	work was going on?
25	services that they provided to Newway Forming on those	25	A. It could have varied. It depends on the
	Page 24		Page 25
1	needs of the site	1	Q. Okay. So Baja Concrete laborers that worked
2	Q. Okay.	2	onsite, who would direct their actual work activities
3	A where we were working.	3	day to day?
4	Q. So how did Baja Concrete know how many	4	A. Our lead would go to Roberto and inform them
	workers or laborers to send to the site on a daily	5	where they needed to be.
	basis?	6	Q. I didn't quite catch it. Who would go to
7	A. They would discuss that with Roberto. It	7	Roberto?
	would probably be Tom Grant.	8	A. Our lead.
9	Q. Tom Grant would decide how many laborers,	9	Q. Okay. Gotcha. So Newway Forming's lead
	how many cement finishers were needed today for this	10	would inform Roberto of how many laborers, how many
	work, something like that?	11	cement finishers they needed?
12	A. Yes. He was most familiar with the	12	A. Yes.
	schedule.	13	Q. So then when the Baja Concrete laborers were
14	Q. And then he would inform just trying to	14	onsite at the project sites who would actually direct
	be consistent Mr. Roberto Soto, correct?	15	their work?
16	A. Yes.	16	A. They were in constant contact with Roberto.
17	Q. And then when the Baja Concrete laborers	17	I think everything pretty much went through him.
	would come to the worksite do you happen to know how	18	Q. To your memory, to your knowledge, did Tony
	they arrived? Did someone give them a ride or did	19	Machado direct the work of Baja Concrete?
	they have their own transportation, do you recall?	20	A. Tony was way above, right? I don't think he
21	A. I didn't find out until later but I guess	21	would do the lower end instructing.
	they came in a van.	22	Q. So who would decide when the laborers would
23	Q. But that's something you learned later, not	23	take a break or, you know, have their lunch? Who
	during the relevant time period?	24	would make those decisions?
25	A. No. When the investigation began.	25	A. Roberto would make the breaks and the lunch,

Page 26 Page 27 1 but on that particular jobsite I think it was pretty 1 approve them, was there any other mechanism in place 2 standard that everybody took lunch at the same time 2 for Newway Forming to report laborers' hours worked to 3 Baja Concrete? because of the food trucks. 3 4 Q. Okay. Because of the timing of when the 4 A. I don't understand that. Can you repeat 5 food trucks would come? 5 that? 6 A. Yes. 6 Q. Yes, sure. I'm trying to make sure we have 7 Q. Can you describe any more -- we talked about 7 the whole picture. 8 it some, but the overall business relationship between 8 So Tom Grant and Roberto Soto would sit down 9 Newway Forming and Baja Concrete USA? 9 weekly to review the timecards, approve them, and then 10 A. Subcontractor. 10 Mr. Soto would use the results of that approval 11 Q. Baja Concrete being the subcontractor, 11 process to prepare Baja Concrete's invoices to Newway 12 correct? 12 Forming. 13 A. Yes. 13 Was there any other process or mechanism in 14 Q. My next question may have been answered 14 place by which Newway Forming reported laborers' hours 15 already. Did Newway Forming report the laborers' 15 to Baja Concrete? 16 hours to Baja Concrete? 16 A. No. 17 A. No. 17 Q. Did Newway Forming and Baja Concrete agree, 18 Q. And is that because -- you described earlier 18 say prior to the invoicing being done, did they agree 19 that Tom Grant would sit down with Roberto and they 19 on what the hourly rates were going to be for the 20 would review and approve the hours and then workers? 20 21 Mr. Roberto Soto would, I guess, prepare the invoices And I don't mean their hourly wage yet I 21 2.2 to be issued by Baja Concrete to Newway Forming? 22 mean the fee that Baja Concrete would charge Newway 23 A. Yes. 23 Forming for those hours? 2.4 Q. So other than those two sitting down weekly 24 A. No. That was already negotiated before they 2.5 or whenever it was to review all the timecards and 25 came down from the higher-ups. Page 28 Page 29 Q. And who exactly would be responsible for 1 A. Yes, I do. 1 Q. What is this document? 2 2 Newway Forming as far as those negotiations? 3 A. The stand-down site safety. A load was lost A. Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office and Carlos, 3 4 I can't pronounce his last name, Ibarra. 4 and replacing them. 5 Q. Okay. But they were both -- if I understand 5 MR. WANDLER: I'm going to for the record 6 correctly, you're saying that the hourly rates that 6 object as being outside the scope of the 30(b)(6) 7 Baja Concrete USA charged Newway Forming, Inc. for 7 8 these project sites in Seattle for labor, those hourly 8 And I only do that -- and it's okay if 9 rates were determined by people in Canada? 9 Kwynne testifies. I only do that because this may be 10 A. Yes. 10 more from personal knowledge than as a representative 11 Q. By the people you named? 11 of Newway, that she didn't really go back through and 12 A. Yes. 12 look at this issue. 13 Q. Let me -- I'm still sharing screen. I'll 13 And as a 30(b)(6) deponent I want to caution 14 14 pull up the next exhibit which is Exhibit 6. that this may be personal knowledge and not the (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 6.) company's knowledge. There may be more out there. 15 15 16 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Again, we're kind of going 16 MR. LARKIN: Okay. 17 out of order here if that's okay. 17 MR. WANDLER: But you can go ahead. 18 I'll scroll through this. It's 16 pages. 18 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So again -- okay, I don't 19 It's got some Seattle Bates stamps on them but the 19 really want to ask about whatever this accident or 20 numbers got cut off a little bit. 20 incident may have been. I'm just asking about this

group of documents, what was it used for.

206.622.6661 * 800.657.1110 FAX: 206.622.6236

I'll scroll down a few pages to where it's

labeled or hand marked as page 63 in the lower right corner, what is this again and what would this be used

more useful today for us. The page that's hand

21

22

23

24

25

I'm scrolling through still. There's some

I'm on 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, on down to page 74.

I'll scroll back up.

handwritten page numbers in the lower right corner, so

Do you recognize what this document is?

21

22

23

24

25

time period, shouldn't we expect to see Baja Concrete listed as the company for some of those dates? MR_WANDLER: I'm going to object. It assumes facts not in evidence. We can't see all those signin sheets so we don't know if they signed in as Baja. But you can go ahead and answer. A. They should have signed in as Baja for the weekly safety meetings. I don't know why they were signing in is Newway. I had two verbal conversations with Roberto and three emails questioning this. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) (By Mr.		Page 34		Page 35
Sixed as the company for some of those dates?	1	time period, shouldn't we expect to see Baja Concrete	1	we've just looked at here.
a assumer facts not in oxidence. We can't see all those sign-in sheets so we don't know if they signed in as Baja. But you can go ahead and answer. A. They should have signed in as Baja for the weekd investing in as Neaway. I hour know if it has a signal in as Baja for the weekd jis staff more in as Baja for the weekd jis and that week directing you to where those are at MR LARKRN: Thanks, Jason. I'll take and the document in an as Baja for the week directing you to where those are at MR LARKRN: Thanks, Jason. I'll take and the document with for the week greatered to different documents in the torm of Canada, the following that first set for the document in the proving the staff more in Canada, the following this first before I as a first part you know the staff more in Canada, the following this first before I ask any more. It's ab	2		2	=
4 sastumes facts not in evidence. We can't see all those sign-in sheets so we don't know if they signed in as Baja. 7 But you can go abcad and answer. 8 A. They should have signed in as Baja for the weekly safety meetings. I don't know why they were signing in a Newway. I had two verbal conversations with Roberto and three emails questioning this. 12 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Newway Forming, you know, whether it's an safety 15 other meetings, whether it's a safety 16 of A. Yes. 17 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1331 Lymnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sur we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? 27 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started geing through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 26 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sory, 2195. 20 Whote signature is that, if you know? 21 Eabibit 7. I l looks like there's a signature. 22 Q. And again, this document, if I understood the week, right? 23 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 24 A. Yes. 25 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 25 A. I some point Newawy was questioning the	3		3	
sign-in sheets so we don't know if they signed in as Baja. But you can go ahead and answer. A. They should have signed in as Baja for the weekly safety meetings. I Jon't know why they were signing in as Newway. I had two verbal conversations with Roberto and three emails questioning this. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Newway Forming, you know, whether it's maintained here or in Canada, do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for other meetings, whether it's a safety - Ober meetings, whether it's a safety -	4	assumes facts not in evidence. We can't see all those	4	
8 A. They should have signed in as Baja for the 9 weekly safety meetings. I don't know why they were 10 signing in as Newway. I had two verbal conversations 11 with Roberto and three emails questioning this. 12 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Newway Forming, 13 you know, whether it's maintained here or in Canada, 14 do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for 15 other meetings, whether it's a safety — 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: All right. I'm going to move 25 or to Fachibit 7. 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. Would this have been prepared, as you've 28 tasted going through these invoices a little more 29 stringently. 20 Let me scroll through this first before I 29 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 29 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 20 Et as Would you look at the third page of this 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 Sates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 35 2213, sorry, 2195. 36 Would you look at the third page of this 46 A. Yes. 47 A. Yes. 48 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 49 Eating a signature is that, if you know? 40 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 41 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three and public with inverse of the week, right? 42 A. When the correctly is a summary of laborers and how many hours 44 were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? 45 A. Ok, I do not recognize that signature. 46 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 47 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 48 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 49 2213, sorry, 2195. 40 Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three and public were missing at least three and questioned hirm on it. 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. Okay. Meaning for a least three and questioned hirm on it. 42 Q. Okay. Meaning for a least three and you were missing at least th	5	sign-in sheets so we don't know if they signed in as	5	
8 A. They should have signed in as Baja for the 9 weekly safety meetings. I don't know why they were 10 signing in as Newway. I had two verbal conversations 11 with Roberto and three emails questioning this. 12 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Newway Forming, 13 you know, whether it's maintained here or in Canada, 14 do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for 15 other meetings, whether it's a safety — 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: All right. I'm going to move 25 or to Fachibit 7. 26 A. Yes. 27 Q. Would this have been prepared, as you've 28 tasted going through these invoices a little more 29 stringently. 20 Let me scroll through this first before I 29 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 29 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 20 Et as Would you look at the third page of this 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 Sates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 35 2213, sorry, 2195. 36 Would you look at the third page of this 46 A. Yes. 47 A. Yes. 48 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 49 Eating a signature is that, if you know? 40 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 41 A. Yes. 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three and public with inverse of the week, right? 42 A. When the correctly is a summary of laborers and how many hours 44 were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? 45 A. Ok, I do not recognize that signature. 46 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 47 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 48 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 49 2213, sorry, 2195. 40 Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three and public were missing at least three and questioned hirm on it. 41 A. Yes. 42 Q. Okay. Meaning for a least three and questioned hirm on it. 42 Q. Okay. Meaning for a least three and you were missing at least th	6		6	MR. LARKIN: Thanks, Jason. I'll take
8 A. They should have signed in as Baja for the 9 weekly safety meetings. I don't know why they were 10 signing in as Newway. I had two verbal conversations 11 with Roberto and three emails questioning this. 12 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Neways Forming. 13 you know, whether it's maintained here or in Canada, 14 do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for 15 other meetings, whether it's naintained here or in Canada, 16 do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for 17 of A. Yes. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 19 A. 1733 Lynawood office. 20 A. 1733 Lynawood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynawood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 27 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 28 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 29 2213, sorry, 2195. 29 Q. Would you look at the third page of this 20 Do you see that? 21 Do you see that? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 A. Yes. 25 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? 26 A. I flork ik now if it was so much the hours or 27 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 28 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 29 2213, sorry, 2195. 21 Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway 25 forming questioning? 26 A. Employees, yes. 27 Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway 28 Forming questioning? 29 A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. 30 Q. Okay. 31 A. Yes. 42 A. Composition Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the 31 Do you see that? 32 Q. Okay. 33 A. Yes. 44 Composition Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the 35 Correctly. 46 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 47 ask any more. It's about four page of this 48 Composition Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the 49 Composition Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature	7	· ·	7	·
weekly safety meetings. I don't know why they were signing in as Newway. I had two verbal conversations with Roberto and three emails questioning this. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Neway Forming, 12 with Roberto and three emails questioning this. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Neway Forming, 13 you know, whether it's an saintainated here or in Canada, 4 do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for other meetings, whether it's a safety— 6 A. Yes. 17 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 18 A. Yes, we do. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lymmvood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. Larkin: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 Deage 36 1 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? 2 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more who's onsite? If sa catually two twin towers, 44 6 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 8 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 9 2213, sorry. 2195. 0 Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. 10 Do you see that? 11 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 22 Q. D. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 23 Ar See. 24 A. This is Baja's billing backup, their invoice. 15 A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Fres. A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's naturally here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three comployees or workers you're saying that names were a	8		8	MR. WANDLER: And just a heads up, I think
signing in as Newway. I had two verbal conversations with Roberto and three emails questioning this. 2	9		9	
with Roberto and three emails questioning this. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okary. Does Newway Forming, you know, whether it's maintained here or in Canada, do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for other meetings, whether it's a safety to other meetings, whether it's a safety Q. Sorry. Go ahead. A. Yes., we do. Q. Where are they stored? Q. What is this document? A. Yes. Q. Would this have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto? A. 133 Lynnwood, Washington? Q. Washington? Q. Would this have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto? A. Yes. Q. And that would have been prepared, as you've testified earlier, based on the meetings between Tom Page 36 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. G. Q. Let me scroll through this first before 1 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 Mould you look at the third page of this bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming, and the properties of the week, right? A. Yes. A. Tom Grant was questioning 'How do I know who's onsite?' It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay, Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did no have timecards, is that correct? A. This is Baja's billing backup, their invoice. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was Questioning the epoble. And that's why they started going through	10		10	
12 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Okay. Does Newway Forming, you know, whether it's maintained here or it Chandad, do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for other meetings, whether it's a safety	11	with Roberto and three emails questioning this.	11	-
13 you know, whether it's maintained here or in Canada, 14 do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for 15 other meetings, whether it's a safety 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 27 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. 26 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 28 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. 30 Do you see that? 41 A. Yes. 42 A. No, I do not recognize that signature at the bottom. 42 Do you see that? 43 Do you see gain, this document? 44 A. Yes. 45 Q. What do you incoorgate this document? 46 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or stringently. 56 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 57 Show do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. 58 And so we asked Roberto Stot for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. 49 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 40 Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? 40 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 41 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 42 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 41 A. Store point Newway was questioning the even covered to have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Stoto or even I and I would have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Stoto? 42 A. Employees, yes. 43 D. What do you mean b	12		12	=
do they have these sign-in sheets stored somewhere for other meetings, whether it's a safety 16	13		13	
other meetings, whether it's a safety 16	14		14	(Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 7.)
16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 18 A. Yes, we do. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 27 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 28 A. Tes. 29 A. Yes. 20 Q. And that would have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto, correct? 20 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. 29 G. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 29 Bates stamp 2188, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22—8 Bates stamp 2188, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22—8 g. 2213, sorry. 2195. 210 Would this have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto? 21 A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's osnics!" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he starred. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. What is this document? 23 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 25 Soto? 25 A. Yes. 26 Q. And that would have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto? 27 A. Yes. 28 Q. And that would have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto? 29 A. Yes. 29 Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway 29 Forming questioning? 20 Who who's osnics!" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he starred. 29 Q. What is three and questioned him on it. 20 Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three and questioned him on it. 20 Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three and questioned him on it. 21 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 22 A. Yes. 23 A. Yes. 24 A. This as deal on the meetings weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019 you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been on the days recorded? How would you have do	15		15	
17 Q. Sorry. Go ahead. 18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 23 A. Yes. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? 2 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. 26 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 28 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 29 2213, sorry. 2195. 20 Would you look at the third page of this 11 Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. 21 Do you see that? 22 A. No, I do not recognize that signature. 12 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 23 were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? 24 A. Tom or and was were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? 25 Coto? 26 No. I don't recall seeing more than what 27 D. A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over i mid 12 2019. This is from 2018. 28 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 29 At some point Newway was questioning the looked at closely.	16		16	
18 A. Yes, we do. 19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 27 Dage 36 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. And that would have been prepared, as you've testified earlier, based on the meetings between Tom 29 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or 3 we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more 4 stringently. 4 started going through this first before I 5 g. Let me scroll through this first before I 6 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 7 ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 8 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 9 2213, sorry. 2195. 9 2213, sorry. 2195. 10 Would you look at the third page of this 11 Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the 12 bottom. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 16 A. No, I do not recognize that signature. 17 Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? 16 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 27 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 28 At some point Newway was questioning the 29 At some point Newway was questioning the 20 New that is this backup, their invocation invocation invocation invocation invocation invocation invocation invocation. 29 Q. What dis this kay the self invocation in the set summaries and actually been onsite to one the days recorded? How would you have done that? 20 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 21 At some point Neway was questioning the 22 Lock And that would have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Correct? 23 A. This is Baja's billidites have been prepared by Mr. Roberto 24 A. Employees, yes. 26 Q. Wha	17	Q. Sorry. Go ahead.	17	
19 Q. Where are they stored? 20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 27 Degree 36 Page 37 1 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? 2 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. 4 Started going through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 8 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 ye 2213, sorry, 2195. 4 Would you look at the third page of this 10 Would you look at the third page of this 21 Do you see that? 4 A. Yes. 21 Do you see that? 4 A. Yes. 22 Whose signature is that, if you know? 23 Something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 Soto? 27 Q. Would this have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto? 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. And that would have been prepared, as you've testified earlier, based on the meetings between Tom 29 Page 37 1 A. Employees, yes. 20 Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? 3 A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 3 floors. "So how do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 4 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. 4 And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. 4 Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? 4 A. This is from 2018. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? 4 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 4 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 4 A. Home the me		- · · · · ·		
20 A. 1133 Lynnwood office. 21 Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 Page 36 Page 37 1 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? 2 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. 4 Started going through these invoices a little more stringently. 5 Stringently. 6 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 8 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 9 2213, sorry. 2195. 9 2213, sorry. 2195. 10 Would you look at the third page of this bottom. 11 Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. 12 Do you see that? 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 16 A. No, I do not recognize that signature. 17 Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? 21 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 22 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 24 At some point Neway was questioning the link these were looked at closely. 25 Stot? 26 Soto? 27 A. Yes. 28 A. Yes. 29 Q. And that would have been prepared, as you've testified earlier, based on the meetings between Tom Page 37 A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newaya Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 floors "Was act	19	O. Where are they stored?	19	•
Q. Here in Lynnwood, Washington? A. Yes. Q. Okay. MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what Page 36 Page 37 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— Would this have been prepared by Mr. Roberto Soto? A. Yes. A. Yes. A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Do you see that? A. Yes. Do Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. A. No				
22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. 24 MR LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 25 discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what 26 Page 36 1 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? 2 A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. 26 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway 27 Roman word of the set stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— 28 2213, sorry. 2195. 29 2213, sorry. 2195. 30 Would you look at the third page of this bottom. 31 Do you see that? 4 A. Yes. 4 A. Yes. 5 Would you look at the third page of this bottom. 5 Do you see that? 6 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 7 workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? 8 A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over i mid you have done that? 8 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 9 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. 4 A. Some point Newway was questioning the	21			
Q. Okay. MR. LARKIN: I'm sure we requested these in discovery and I don't recall seeing more than what Page 36 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have done that? A. Uh-huh, Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. A some point Newway was questioning the		-		
Page 36 Page 37 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 — 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on the see summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. Yes. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the				
Page 36 Page 37 Carnt and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Description of the started. A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 – yeah, 22 – yeah, 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Do whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. C. A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. Uh-huh. Yes. C. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the		-		
Page 36 Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. D. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway ask any more. It's about four pages of this battes stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— Would you look at the third page of this bottom. Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. D. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood for reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. A. A some point Newway was questioning the ask in the product of the week and puestioned think hours in the picture, I think, until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.				
Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. G. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway as we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. A. Yes. Do you see that? Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the				tosinio tanto, oaset on the meetings convention
A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more tstringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22— Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you		Dama 26		
we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 Would you look at the third page of this Would you look at the third page of this Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. A. Would you have done that? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "Bo how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were		Page 36		Page 37
4 started going through these invoices a little more 5 stringently. 6 Q. Let me scroll through this first before I 7 ask any more. It's about four pages. Neway 8 Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 9 2213, sorry. 2195. 9 Would you look at the third page of this 11 Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the 12 bottom. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 16 A. No, I do not recognize that signature. 17 Q. And again, this document, if I understood 18 correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours 19 were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? 20 C. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago 21 A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 22 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 21 G. A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 24 filoors. "So how do I know who's actually two twin towers, 44 25 dloors. "So how do I know who's actually there?" That was when he started. 26 And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. 20 Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. 2020. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 23 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the	1		1	
stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago A to so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.		Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or		A. Employees, yes.
Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago Something interesting. A tis hand so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the	2	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they	2	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning?
ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago A to swe swhen he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the	2	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they	2 3	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning?
Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 9	2 3 4	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently.	2 3 4	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44
9 2213, sorry. 2195. 9 his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we 10 Would you look at the third page of this 11 Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the 12 bottom. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? 16 A. No, I do not recognize that signature. 17 Q. And again, this document, if I understood 18 correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours 19 were reported to have been worked on different days of 20 the week, right? 21 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 22 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago 23 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 20 the week of this possible of the week of the point Newway was questioning the 20 the week of the point Newway was questioning the 20 the week of the point Newway was questioning the 20 this employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. 20 Okay. Meaning for at least three and questioned him on it. 20 Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were	2 3 4 5	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I	2 3 4 5	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44
Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood Correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid Q. And again, this document, if I understood To 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 something interesting. A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway	2 3 4 5 6	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That
Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid Q. And again, this document, if I understood Roorrectly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the A. Do Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started.
bottom. Do you see that? Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on the summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of
Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood Recorrectly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the 21 these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we
A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid Q. And again, this document, if I understood Roy Correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the 14 is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't 15 A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't 16 in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it.
Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood 17 Q. And again, this document, if I understood 18 correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours 19 were reported to have been worked on different days of 19 the week, right? 20 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 21 A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago 22 So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would 23 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 25 A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't 20 in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. 20 So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would 20 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 21 recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 23 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were 24 looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees
A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood 17 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would 19 were reported to have been worked on different days of 19 the week, right? 20 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 21 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 22 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago 23 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 20 in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 20 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would 20 you have verified whether each of these people on 21 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 22 A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 23 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were 24 looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on
Q. And again, this document, if I understood 17 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would 19 were reported to have been worked on different days of 19 the week, right? 20 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 21 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 22 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago 23 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 20 This is from 2018. 29 So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would 20 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 20 recorded? How would you have done that? 21 A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 22 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were 23 looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards,
correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the 2 Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct?
were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the something interesting. you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't
the week, right? 20 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 21 A. Uh-huh. Yes. 22 Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago 23 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 25 these summaries had actually been onsite on the days 26 recorded? How would you have done that? 27 A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 28 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were 29 looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid
A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting. At some point Newway was questioning the 21 recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018.
Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago 22 A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 23 something interesting. 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 25 Until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were 26 looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would
23 something interesting. 23 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were 24 At some point Newway was questioning the 24 looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on
24 At some point Newway was questioning the 24 looked at closely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that?
workers as opposed to hours, am I right? 25 Q. Okay. So it wasn't until the company	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Grant and Roberto Soto, correct? A. I don't know if it was so much the hours or we were questioning the people. And that's why they started going through these invoices a little more stringently. Q. Let me scroll through this first before I ask any more. It's about four pages. Newway Bates stamp 2158, I think that is, 2159. Yeah, 22 2213, sorry. 2195. Would you look at the third page of this Exhibit 7. It looks like there's a signature at the bottom. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Whose signature is that, if you know? A. No, I do not recognize that signature. Q. And again, this document, if I understood correctly, is a summary of laborers and how many hours were reported to have been worked on different days of the week, right? A. Uh-huh. Yes. Q. Thank you. And you just said a moment ago something interesting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Employees, yes. Q. What do you mean by that? What was Newway Forming questioning? A. Tom Grant was questioning "How do I know who's onsite?" It's actually two twin towers, 44 floors. "So how do I know who's actually here?" That was when he started. And so we asked Roberto Soto for a list of his employees, and I noticed right off the bat that we were missing at least three and questioned him on it. Q. Okay. Meaning for at least three employees or workers you're saying that names were appearing on these summaries for which you did not have timecards, is that correct? A. This was earlier on. The timecards weren't in the picture, I think, until Tom took over in mid 2019. This is from 2018. Q. So prior to the timecards, gosh, how would you have verified whether each of these people on these summaries had actually been onsite on the days recorded? How would you have done that? A. I would say that up until about mid 2019 until Tom Grant took over I don't think these were

	Page 38		Page 39
1	started using the time clock that was the moment in	1	person on it.
2	time, I guess, or point in time where the company	2	Q. Okay.
3	began to verify whether each of the employees were	3	A. It may be why Craig had to approve it.
4	actually onsite, correct?	4	Q. Okay. I'll pull up Exhibit 8.
5	A. Yes.	5	(Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 8.)
6	Q. Scrolling down to the last page of this	6	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) It looks like this is just
7	Exhibit 7, is this also it's kind of hard to see	7	one page, Exhibit 8.
8	but do you recognize this as also being a shorter	8	Do you recognize this document?
9	summary of hours?	9	A. Yes.
10	A. I don't it looks like maybe a	10	Q. That was "Yes"?
11	supplemental form, maybe somebody they missed or	11	A. Yes.
12	something. I'm not sure why there would just be one.	12	Q. I'm sorry. And again, I think we've seen
13	Q. Do you recognize who apparently signed it at	13	some before but describe what this document is.
14	the bottom?	14	A. This is Baja's billing to Newway.
15	A. I don't recognize the top signature but the	15	Q. Okay. And for this particular again,
16	superintendent at the time was Craig Kuchel.	16	this is only a one-page exhibit on this particular
17	Q. Okay. The superintendent employed by Newway	17	invoice it looks like it relates to the 1120 Denny Way
18	Forming, correct?	18	project, correct?
19	A. Yes.	19	A. Yes.
20	Q. At the top of this page, the fourth page of	20	Q. So the date there's a couple different
21	Exhibit 7, clearly it says "Baja Concrete USA	21	dates but it looks like May 26, 2020, correct?
22	Timesheet," right?	22	A. Uh-huh. Yes.
23	A. Yes. It looks like something might have	23	Q. Again, this would have been a summary
24	been supplemented; maybe they missed billing us for	24	well, based on the summary of hours prepared by or
25	somebody. This doesn't look right with just one	25	agreed to between Tom Grant and Roberto Soto, correct?
	Page 40		Page 41
1	Page 40 A. Yes.	1	Page 41 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this
1 2		1 2	
	A. Yes.		Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this
2	A. Yes.Q. And approved it looks like, again,	2	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your
2	A. Yes.Q. And approved it looks like, again,there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the	2 3	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document?
2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct?	2 3 4	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of thisExhibit 9, what is this letter? What's yourunderstanding of what this is, this document?A. This is when the City brought up this
2 3 4 5	 A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation.
2 3 4 5 6	 A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that 	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter
2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc.,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going to object again on the grounds this is outside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was the City of Seattle's notice to all of the parties
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going to object again on the grounds this is outside the scope of the 30(b)(6).	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was the City of Seattle's notice to all of the parties listed at the top of page three that it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going to object again on the grounds this is outside the scope of the 30(b)(6). You can certainly answer questions, but I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was the City of Seattle's notice to all of the parties listed at the top of page three that it was undertaking an investigation in this matter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going to object again on the grounds this is outside the scope of the 30(b)(6). You can certainly answer questions, but I just want everybody to be aware this may be personal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was the City of Seattle's notice to all of the parties listed at the top of page three that it was undertaking an investigation in this matter? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going to object again on the grounds this is outside the scope of the 30(b)(6). You can certainly answer questions, but I just want everybody to be aware this may be personal knowledge and not actually the knowledge of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was the City of Seattle's notice to all of the parties listed at the top of page three that it was undertaking an investigation in this matter? A. Yes. Q. And then scrolling down to the next page,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going to object again on the grounds this is outside the scope of the 30(b)(6). You can certainly answer questions, but I just want everybody to be aware this may be personal knowledge and not actually the knowledge of the company.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was the City of Seattle's notice to all of the parties listed at the top of page three that it was undertaking an investigation in this matter? A. Yes. Q. And then scrolling down to the next page, the fourth page, do you see a section titled "Initial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. Yes. Q. And approved it looks like, again, there's a kind of stamp here in the middle of the page. There's an approval there, correct? A. Yes. Q. And that's Newway Forming's approval so that it will pay that invoice, correct? A. Yes. Q. I'm going to stop the share screen. I don't think I need Exhibit 9. What was Exhibit 9? Since we have it let's just take a quick look at Exhibit 9. (Marked Deposition Exhibit No. 9.) Q. (By Mr. Larkin) I'll scroll through it. Let's go back to the first page of Exhibit 9. Do you recognize this document? A. Yes. MR. WANDLER: Just to interject, I'm going to object again on the grounds this is outside the scope of the 30(b)(6). You can certainly answer questions, but I just want everybody to be aware this may be personal knowledge and not actually the knowledge of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Q. (By Mr. Larkin) So on page one of this Exhibit 9, what is this letter? What's your understanding of what this is, this document? A. This is when the City brought up this investigation. Q. Okay. And is it correct that this letter I'll click the first page of this document which is the beginning of a letter and it's addressed to several individuals but also to Newway Forming, Inc., correct? A. Yes. Q. I guess just based on your knowledge, why would the City well, again, I'm not being very careful here. So let me scroll down to the third page of this exhibit which has a title of it or a section of it called "Notice of Investigation," correct? A. Yes. Q. So is it your understanding that this was the City of Seattle's notice to all of the parties listed at the top of page three that it was undertaking an investigation in this matter? A. Yes. Q. And then scrolling down to the next page,

	Page 46		Page 47
1	Newway Forming, since this is a 30(b)(6) deposition	1	during the relevant time period?
2	and you're speaking on behalf of the company.	2	A. Yes.
3	A. You'll have to clarify that.	3	Q. And what service was that?
4	Q. Oh, that was more just ground rules, not a	4	A. Cement finishing.
5	question.	5	Q. Any other services?
6	But when I say "you" I'm referring not to	6	A. Labor.
7	you personally but to Newway Forming.	7	Q. Okay. Anything else?
8	A. I understand.	8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. And I know this 30(b)(6) deposition	9	Q. When you say "labor" what do you mean by
10	is kind of weird, it's not intuitive.	10	that?
11	So I want to talk about Baja's business	11	A. Labor work.
12	relationship with Newway Forming. Can you please tell	12	Q. Did Baja provide people to do work for
13	me about that business relationship.	13	Newway?
14	 Baja is a subcontractor of Newway Forming. 	14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Did Baja and Newway have a formal business	15	Q. And when did the relationship between Baja
16	relationship?	16	Concrete and Newway begin?
17	A. Can you elaborate?	17	A. Well, they'd worked together in Edmonton and
18	Q. Was there a formal agreement that Baja would	18	Calgary, Alberta prior to them coming down here.
19	serve as a subcontractor for Newway?	19	Q. Do you know when they first began working
20	A. No.	20	together in Canada?
21	Q. And I think you said before there were no	21	A. No, I don't.
22	contracts whatsoever between written contracts	22	Q. And do you know how the relationship between
23	between Baja and Newway, correct?	23	Newway and Baja came about?
24	A. Yes.	24	A. From Canada, no, I don't.
25	Q. Did Baja provide any service to Newway	25	Q. So you said that there was no written
	Page 48		Page 49
		1	
1	agreement stating that Baja would provide a service to	1	Q. Okay. Was Newway privy to Baja's
2	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect?	2	incorporation as a company or not?
2	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes.	2 3	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said
2 3 4	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement?	2 3 4	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos
2 3 4 5	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in	2 3 4 5	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe
2 3 4 5 6	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office.	2 3 4 5 6	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle."
2 3 4 5 6 7	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a personal relationship?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects in Seattle."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a personal relationship? A. I don't believe so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects in Seattle." Q. Okay. Did any individuals at Baja have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a personal relationship? A. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know for sure?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects in Seattle." Q. Okay. Did any individuals at Baja have a personal relationship with any individuals in Newway
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a personal relationship? A. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know for sure? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects in Seattle." Q. Okay. Did any individuals at Baja have a personal relationship with any individuals in Newway at any point?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a personal relationship? A. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know for sure? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Newway ask Baja to incorporate as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects in Seattle." Q. Okay. Did any individuals at Baja have a personal relationship with any individuals in Newway at any point? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a personal relationship? A. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know for sure? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Newway ask Baja to incorporate as a company so that Baja could provide a service to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects in Seattle." Q. Okay. Did any individuals at Baja have a personal relationship with any individuals in Newway at any point? A. Yes. Q. And who are those individuals?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Newway. Was there a verbal agreement to that effect? A. Yes. Q. What exactly was the verbal agreement? A. It was between Carlos Ibarra and Joe Rigo in our Edmonton office. Q. Okay. And Edmonton is in Canada, right? A. Yes. Q. Is that your headquarters? A. No. Q. I'm sorry. I think you said where your headquarters were. Could you remind me one more time? A. The headquarters is in Vancouver. Q. Okay. A. And for jobs in Calgary, Alberta and Edmonton. Q. Got it. Did Carlos and Joe Rigo have a personal relationship? A. I don't believe so. Q. Do you know for sure? A. No. Q. Okay. Did Newway ask Baja to incorporate as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	incorporation as a company or not? A. I can elaborate the point that Joe Rigo said it was an offhanded comment in Edmonton about Carlos said he wanted to start in the United States and Joe Rigo said "We have jobs in Seattle." That's what I was informed. Q. And did Newway ask Baja to register in Washington in order to work with Newway in Seattle? A. Not to my knowledge. Q. So to your knowledge did Newway essentially bring Baja to Seattle? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that, please? A. They said that they wanted to work down here. Maybe not in Washington, maybe it was in Florida. And Joe just offered that "We have projects in Seattle." Q. Okay. Did any individuals at Baja have a personal relationship with any individuals in Newway at any point? A. Yes.

	Page 50		Page 51
1	A. No.	1	information on those hourly rates.
2	Q. Okay. Can you tell me about the	2	Q. So who is PeopleReady? I'm sorry.
3	relationship between the personal relationship	3	A. It was our previous skilled trade labor
4	between Carlos and Tony, please?	4	company, subcontractor.
5	MR. LARKIN: I'll object based on I believe	5	Q. So what you're saying is that Newway, Joe
6	this is outside the scope of the $30(b)(6)$.	6	Rigo and Carlos agreed to use the same rates that
7	MS. KINCAID: Join.	7	Newway was previously paying to PeopleReady?
8	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer.	8	A. Carlos presented it and Joe approved it
9	I'll repeat my question.	9	based on knowledge of that rate.
10	Can you tell me about the nature of the	10	Q. Okay. Was that interaction in person or in
11	relationship between Carlos and Tony?	11	writing?
12	A. I believe friends for 30 years.	12	A. Not in writing.
13	MR. LARKIN: Same objection.	13	Q. Okay. Can you describe Newway's
14	And can I just have a standing objection,	14	relationship with Roberto Soto Contreras, please.
15	Erica, to any questions about these personal	15	A. He's the subcontractor foreman, a
16	relationships among the various people?	16	superintendent.
17	MS. FRANKLIN: Yes, thank you.	17	Q. At any point in time has anyone at Newway
18	MS. KINCAID: And I'll join the standing	18	had a personal relationship with Mr. Soto?
19	objection.	19	MR. LARKIN: Again, the same standing
20	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You mentioned that Joe	20	objection.
21	Rigo at Newway and Carlos Ibarra came up with the	21	MS. FRANKLIN: Right.
22	hourly rate that Newway would pay Baja for labor, is	22	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer the
23	that correct?	23	question.
24	A. I believe they asked for a PeopleReady	24	A. No.
25	invoice, another subcontractor, and based on	25	Q. Is anyone at Newway still in touch with
	Daga 52		Dage 52
	Page 52		Page 53
1	Mr. Soto at this point in time?	1	A. Through Roberto Soto.
2	A. Not that I'm aware of, no.	2	Q. And was that a verbal request?
3	Q. Okay. So you mentioned that Baja provided	3	A. A phone call, yes.
4	workers to Newway. Did Baja do so at Newway's	4	Q. Okay. And so was this a one-time occurrence
5	direction?	5	that Newway requested that Baja provide workers for
6	MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object to the	6	these specific tasks or did Newway make this request
7	form of the question but you can go ahead and answer.	7	on an ongoing basis?
8	A. Would you repeat that?	8	A. Ongoing basis.
9	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) I'm happy to rephrase.	9	Q. Okay. And did that take place throughout
10	Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers?	10	the relevant time period, February 2018 through August
11	MR. WANDLER: Are you asking for like a	11	of 2020?
12	specific number of workers or people to cover a	12	A. Yes.
13	specific scope of work? I think that is the confusion	13	Q. Okay. And did Newway inform Roberto how
13 14	on our end.	14	many workers he would need at different points in that
13 14 15	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up.	14 15	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period?
13 14 15 16	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first.	14 15 16	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered.
13 14 15 16 17	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific	14 15 16 17	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer.
13 14 15 16 17 18	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific scope of work?	14 15 16 17 18	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer. A. Yes.
13 14 15 16 17 18	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific scope of work? A. I guess they would have advised Roberto for	14 15 16 17 18 19	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer. A. Yes. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. So did workers at
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific scope of work? A. I guess they would have advised Roberto for cement finishing duties for that project.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer. A. Yes. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. So did workers at the relevant worksites who were on Baja's payroll take
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific scope of work? A. I guess they would have advised Roberto for cement finishing duties for that project. Q. Did Newway ask Baja to provide a specific	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer. A. Yes. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. So did workers at the relevant worksites who were on Baja's payroll take home the same amount of pay as workers on the relevant
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific scope of work? A. I guess they would have advised Roberto for cement finishing duties for that project. Q. Did Newway ask Baja to provide a specific number of employees?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer. A. Yes. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. So did workers at the relevant worksites who were on Baja's payroll take home the same amount of pay as workers on the relevant worksites who were not on Baja's payroll?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific scope of work? A. I guess they would have advised Roberto for cement finishing duties for that project. Q. Did Newway ask Baja to provide a specific number of employees? A. Not that I'm aware of.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer. A. Yes. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. So did workers at the relevant worksites who were on Baja's payroll take home the same amount of pay as workers on the relevant worksites who were not on Baja's payroll? A. I don't have any knowledge of their
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on our end. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Let me break it up. I guess I'll ask my first question first. Did Newway ask Baja to provide workers for a specific scope of work? A. I guess they would have advised Roberto for cement finishing duties for that project. Q. Did Newway ask Baja to provide a specific number of employees?	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	many workers he would need at different points in that relevant period? MR. WANDLER: Objection; asked and answered. You can go ahead and answer. A. Yes. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. So did workers at the relevant worksites who were on Baja's payroll take home the same amount of pay as workers on the relevant worksites who were not on Baja's payroll?

Page 54

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Tony Machado and Roberto Soto by which money was flowing out between those two individuals?

MS. KINCAID: I'll object to the form of the question as outside the scope of the 30(b)(6).

MR. WANDLER: Join in that objection.

MR. LARKIN: Join the objection.

MR. WANDLER: And it's already been asked and answered.

Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer that one.

Was there a relationship with money flowing between Tony Machado and Roberto Soto?

A. No.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Q. Okay. You mentioned that after the first year and a half of the project Newway started tracking time for the workers on Baja's payroll, correct?

A Ves

Q. And can you just tell me approximately what date that was, like when was the year and a half?

A. September 2019.

Q. So I want to know more about something you said before about Newway started tracking time because it needed to ensure that the people on the timesheets were actually working on the site, is that correct?

A. Yes.

Page 55

Q. And when did Newway first become aware that there might be people listed who weren't on the site?

A. I don't think that's where it started. It was just some irregularities that started showing up and that's when it was decided to ask Roberto Soto his list of employees.

And then when we cross-referenced the bills and people that we were getting billed for they were not on his list.

Q. Okay. And when you said irregularities, can you tell me what those irregularities were?

A. Somebody working two days and then leaving and the card was whited out and handed to another employee.

Q. And how much time passed between when you became aware of the irregularities and when you started tracking time yourself for Newway?

18 A. A couple weeks.

Q. Were there any other irregularities?

A. Gosh, I can't remember if -- there was three. I apologize.

Q. That's okay.

A. People that were on the timesheet and the employees that were unaccounted for, and I knew about one. That's how I caught it because this employee of

Page 56

Baja was injured and Roberto took him to the doctor.

And they recorded that he worked for Newway which was an untruth.

So they sent me -- L&I sent me this incident report and I went "Well, where is this guy on your list of employees that you've been billing us for him?"

So just those kind of questions we started having.

Q. Okay. Beyond tracking hours itself, did Newway do anything else to, I guess, to keep a closer eye on Roberto after you identified these initial irregularities?

A. That's why they started going through the timesheets together. It wasn't the hours, it was Tom wanted to know who was onsite when.

And he thought by asking our office to provide the time clock we had was to make sure physically they were clocking in and clocking out in

Q. Okay. Just to clarify, when they were clocking in and clocking out could Newway see how many hours that a given worker was working from those

24 records?

A. It would be my guess at the end of the week

Page 57

Roberto and Tom Grant.

Q. So maybe I can just ask the question a little differently.

So did Newway -- once it started tracking hours of workers on Baja's payroll, did Newway have its own records showing how many hours each worker worked?

A. I see what you're saying. No.

Q. But wouldn't the time clock records show that information?

A. It's just a manual that you put in there and it punches it. And then they go off the cards but the cards pretty much stayed down on the site.

Q. I'm sorry. They stayed where?

15 A. Down onsite.

O. And what does that mean?

17 A. The jobsite.

Q. Oh, okay. So from the timecards did that show how much a given worker was working?

A. Yes. They would punch in and punch out.

Q. Did workers who were working on Baja Concrete's payroll use the same punch in/punch out procedure as other workers working on the Newway sites?

A. On Newway, are you asking specifically how

15 (Pages 54 to 57)

1 2	Newway employees?		Page 59
		1	Q. So you just stated that there was never
_	Q. Yes.	2	really any question as to whether the hours that Baja
3	A. Newway employees we use a third party and	3	reported were correct, right?
4	they clock in and out on their phones.	4	A. Yes.
5	Q. So was the clock, the time clock, was that	5	Q. But did you also state that Newway was not
6	just for the employees on Baja's payroll?	6	independently tracking the hours of workers on Baja's
7	A. Yes.	7	payroll?
8	Q. And what about the timecards?	8	A. No. Not independently, no.
9	A. Yes.	9	Q. So if Newway was not independently tracking
10	Q. What about for other subcontractors? Did	10	that how did Newway know that the hours that Roberto
11	Newway keep track of their time?	11	was reporting were correct?
12	A. We didn't have any irregularities that we	12	A. Once Tom and he, Roberto, went through it
13	caught and no.	13	the billing was submitted. And there was no questions
14	Q. So when Tom Grant would sit down with	14	once Tom and he reviewed it.
15	Roberto to give him information so Roberto could make	15	Q. So tell me about the scope of that review.
16	the invoices, what information did Tom Grant provide	16	What exactly were he and Tom reviewing together?
17	to Roberto?	17	A. The timecards and the people.
18	A. Roberto already came with his own	18	Q. Did Tom and Roberto use the timecards to
19	information. I think that was reported back offsite.	19	verify that the number of hours on the Baja invoices
20	I don't think there was ever really a	20	were correct?
21	question about the hours, it was just the people.	21	A. Roberto typically had his own information.
22	Q. How did Baja know how did Newway know	22	We just used we just used that, I think, to
23	that there was no question about the hours if it	23	cross-reference. They could have had an issue with
24	wasn't checking the hours?	24	some hours here and there. That wasn't the
25	A. Would you rephrase, say that again?	25	implementation, the reasoning for the time clock.
	5. 60		D (1
	Page 60		Page 61
1	Q. So if Roberto had a problem he wasn't sure	1	Q. But you mentioned before that Roberto and
2	exactly how many hours were used, were worked by his	2	Tom would look at the time clock and timecard records
3	workers, could he look to Newway's time clock or	3	in going over things, right?
4	timecard references to check?	4	A. After Roberto would bring down his invoices
5	A. Yes.	5	then Tom would they would cross-reference and make
6	Q. Okay. So Newway did maintain records, its	6	sure they matched what we had. But he's always kept
7	own records that you could check against of how many	7	his own records.
8	hours people worked?	8	Q. Okay.
9	A. I mean I don't know. I guess I don't	9	A. How they got that information I don't know.
10	understand the question.	10	That's a question for them.
11	Q. So I would assume that if Roberto was able	11	Q. When Baja submitted timesheets with its
12	to use Newway's timecards and time clock records to	12	invoices, did somebody at Newway sign off on those
13	check how many hours a given worker worked, then those	13	timesheets?
14	time clock and timecard hours in fact showed how many	14	A. Every superintendent has to sign off on
15	hours workers worked, is that correct?	15	invoices or they won't be paid. We have to approve
16	MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. It	16	those.
17	mischaracterized the testimony as to what Roberto was	17	Q. And what does the superintendent do to
18	using the time cards for.	18	determine whether or not to sign off?
19	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Maybe we should	19	A. Peruses them. I don't know how they get
20	back up a step. What was Poberto using the timeserds for?	20	into them.
21	What was Roberto using the timecards for?	21	Q. Do they check the hours against Newway's own
22	A. He wasn't using the time he always had	22	records of worker hours?
	his own billings, this whole time he had his own	23	A. We didn't keep track of their hours other
23	hillings He was keeping track complexy And that's a	2/	than these time clock sheets that you know Doborto
23 24 25	billings. He was keeping track somehow. And that's a question for them. I don't know.	24 25	than these time clock sheets that you know, Roberto would do his invoice and Tom would just cross

	Page 62		Page 63
1	reference the people.	1	A. Roberto would go to Staples and he'd scan
2	Other than that, we don't do that. It's up	2	the invoices via email to myself and Nick Cheesa.
3	to the subcontractors to keep track of their hours.	3	And he had slots for each superintendent.
4	Q. So when a person punches in and out on a	4	He puts those invoices in there. When they come in
5	time clock, just generically what information is	5	weekly they go through all the invoices and they
6	available from the time clock?	6	approve them.
7	A. For our employees or are you talking	7	Q. Did Newway always pay Baja the full amount
8	about	8	that Baja invoiced for?
9	Q. Just in general, a person using the time	9	A. Not always.
10	clock that Newway brought into its office.	10	Q. When would Newway pay less than the amount
11	A. Oh, just the time clock. Would you ask your	11	invoiced for?
12	question again?	12	A. Things that were being charged for their
13	Q. What does that show when a person uses	13	employees to us.
14	the time clock what information does the time clock	14	Q. Can you give me an example?
15	sort of set out?	15	A. The tests.
16	A. Just in and out.	16	Q. Okay. Can you give me some tell me some
17	Q. Okay. If a person takes a break in the	17	examples, pleas.
18	middle of the day do they clock out or do they only	18	A. Scissor lift safety courses that weren't the
19	clock out at the end of the day?	19	norm.
20	A. End of the day.	20	Q. So you're saying Baja billed Newway for
21	Q. Okay. I want to talk a little bit more	21	that, for those items that Newway did not reimburse
22	about the invoices from Baja.	22	Baja?
23	Can you walk me through the process, please,	23	A. We had a third party safety company down on
24	from start to finish, from receiving, reviewing and	24	1120 Denny. They didn't know the difference, and if
25	paying invoices from Baja.	25	somebody came in and said "I need a scissor lift
	Page 64		Page 65
_			
1	course," I found that they were giving them to some of	1	Q. Was it Newway's understanding when it set
1 2	course," I found that they were giving them to some of Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay	1 2	Q. Was it Newway's understanding when it set that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would
2	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay	2	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would
2	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own.	2 3	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate
2 3 4	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off	2 3 4	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay?
2 3 4 5	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval.	2 3 4 5	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes
2 3 4 5 6	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where	2 3 4 5 6	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to
2 3 4 5 6 7	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway	2 3 4 5 6 7	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone. Q. To Newway's knowledge were the amounts that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears. Did the invoices from Baja include money
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone. Q. To Newway's knowledge were the amounts that Baja billed for workers actually going to those workers?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears. Did the invoices from Baja include money
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone. Q. To Newway's knowledge were the amounts that Baja billed for workers actually going to those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears. Did the invoices from Baja include money owed to Baja workers for meal and rest breaks?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone. Q. To Newway's knowledge were the amounts that Baja billed for workers actually going to those workers? A. No idea. You'd need to ask them. Q. Was Newway aware at any point during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears. Did the invoices from Baja include money owed to Baja workers for meal and rest breaks? A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone. Q. To Newway's knowledge were the amounts that Baja billed for workers actually going to those workers? A. No idea. You'd need to ask them.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears. Did the invoices from Baja include money owed to Baja workers for meal and rest breaks? A. No. Q. Did the invoices include money owed to Baja
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone. Q. To Newway's knowledge were the amounts that Baja billed for workers actually going to those workers? A. No idea. You'd need to ask them. Q. Was Newway aware at any point during the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears. Did the invoices from Baja include money owed to Baja workers for meal and rest breaks? A. No. Q. Did the invoices include money owed to Baja workers for overtime?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Baja's employees. And per the owner they were to pay for their own. But we paid the bill and we took it off their invoice with Roberto's approval. Q. Okay. Besides situations like that where they put something on the invoice, an item that Newway was not supposed to pay, were there other situations where Newway would not pay the full amount of a Baja invoice? A. No. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the number of hours submitted on a timesheet? A. I don't remember any time ever, no. Q. Did Newway ever dispute the rate submitted on a timesheet? A. No, that was already in stone. Q. To Newway's knowledge were the amounts that Baja billed for workers actually going to those workers? A. No idea. You'd need to ask them. Q. Was Newway aware at any point during the relevant period that workers on Baja's payroll were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that rate between Joe Rigo and Carlos that there would be that the rate would correspond to the rate and hours would correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object. Assumes facts not in evidence that those rates correspond to worker pay. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. I'm asking if there was an understanding that those rates did in fact correspond to worker pay? MR. WANDLER: Same objection. You haven't established that those rates do actually do that. MR. LARKIN: I'll join that objection. MS. KINCAID: Join. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer. A. Would you ask it again? Q. I'm going to shift gears. Did the invoices from Baja include money owed to Baja workers for meal and rest breaks? A. No. Q. Did the invoices include money owed to Baja workers for overtime? A. No.

	Page 66		Page 67
1	A. I have no idea what they paid their	1	have to look at it again. It just shows the flat
2	employees. So it's an agreed-upon rate, but that was	2	hours.
3	based on PeopleReady. I don't know what they paid out	3	Q. What do you mean flat hours?
4	of that to their employees.	4	A. Eight, nine.
5	Q. Did the rate change when Baja workers worked	5	Q. If you add up the hours in a given week do
6	more than 40 hours in a week?	6	they ever total more than 40?
7	A. I never saw the rate change that they billed	7	A. Yes.
8	us. We don't know what their base pay was. We don't	8	Q. Did Newway's own records reflect the fact
9	know what they included.	9	that workers in fact worked more than 40 hours in a
10	Q. Okay. But the rate that they billed you did	10	week?
11	not change?	11	A. We don't keep records of subcontractors.
12	A. No.	12	Q. Was Baja aware that workers were not being
13	Q. And did the invoices include money owed to	13	paid time and a half for overtime hours? I'm sorry,
14	workers for sick time?	14	was Newway aware that Baja workers were not getting
15	A. No. That would be on Baja.	15	time and a half for overtime hours?
16	Q. Did the invoices show that workers on Baja's	16	MR. LARKIN: Object to the form of the
17	payroll sometimes worked more than 40 hours per week?	17	question.
18	A. It was just a set standard hours the way	18	A. No.
19	Roberto did it.	19	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. And I just have a
20	Q. Did the hours vary week by week?	20	little bit about work shifts.
21	A. Yes.	21	Did the hours that workers on the relevant
22	Q. So in a given week if workers did work more	22	worksites worked, did those vary from day to day
23	than 40 hours, would the invoice show more than 40	23	during the relevant time period, the number of hours?
24	hours for that worker?	24	A. Yes.
25	A. I didn't look at the timesheet again so I'll	25	Q. Who determined how many hours these workers
	Page 68		Page 69
_	Page 68		Page 69
1	needed to work on a given day?	1	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer.
2	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent	2	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer.Q. But when he was offering them was it based
2	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know.	2	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer.Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway?
2 3 4	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who	2 3 4	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer.Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway?A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that
2 3 4 5	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant	2 3 4 5	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would
2 3 4 5 6	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked?	2 3 4 5 6	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have
2 3 4 5 6 7	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a circumstance requiring additional hours on a given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean they can they can gauge how many hours they want to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a circumstance requiring additional hours on a given day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean they can — they can gauge how many hours they want to work. If we get behind schedule we get behind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a circumstance requiring additional hours on a given day? A. A lead would tell him. It was voluntary.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean they can they can gauge how many hours they want to work. If we get behind schedule we get behind schedule.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a circumstance requiring additional hours on a given day? A. A lead would tell him. It was voluntary. Q. Would the lead instruct Roberto to offer his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean they can they can gauge how many hours they want to work. If we get behind schedule we get behind schedule. Q. If there were extra concrete to be poured,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a circumstance requiring additional hours on a given day? A. A lead would tell him. It was voluntary. Q. Would the lead instruct Roberto to offer his workers additional hours on such a day?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean they can they can gauge how many hours they want to work. If we get behind schedule we get behind schedule. Q. If there were extra concrete to be poured, for example, would everyone on the worksite have the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a circumstance requiring additional hours on a given day? A. A lead would tell him. It was voluntary. Q. Would the lead instruct Roberto to offer his workers additional hours on such a day? A. Would you say that again?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean they can they can gauge how many hours they want to work. If we get behind schedule we get behind schedule. Q. If there were extra concrete to be poured, for example, would everyone on the worksite have the opportunity to work extra hours, Baja workers and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	needed to work on a given day? A. That would be up to their superintendent and our lead, I guess. I don't know. Q. But was it always a Newway employee who determined how many hours the workers on the relevant worksites worked? A. No. Q. Can you elaborate on that? A. That would be Roberto Soto. Q. How did Roberto Soto determine how many hours workers needed to work on a given day? A. It was my understanding that it was eight hours every day. And then if there was a concrete pour late then Roberto would tell his people that they need to stay, his employees. Q. How would Roberto know that there was a circumstance requiring additional hours on a given day? A. A lead would tell him. It was voluntary. Q. Would the lead instruct Roberto to offer his workers additional hours on such a day? A. Would you say that again? Q. Would the lead instruct Roberto to offer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. He could offer them but it's all volunteer. Q. But when he was offering them was it based on information he received from someone at Newway? A. They would regularly ask anybody, when that situation arises, who wants to work. So they would ask Roberto if anybody wants to stay. They don't have to stay. Q. When you say they would ask Roberto, who is "they"? A. A lead. Q. A Newway lead? A. Yes. Q. Was the process the same for workers who were on Baja payroll and Newway payroll of determining how many hours a worker would work in a given day? A. They're their own subcontractor so I mean they can they can gauge how many hours they want to work. If we get behind schedule we get behind schedule. Q. If there were extra concrete to be poured, for example, would everyone on the worksite have the opportunity to work extra hours, Baja workers and Newway workers?

1 2 3 4	A. Yes.Q. And did that happen with Baja?	1	A. Newway, yes.
3 4		1	
4		2	Q. When you say Newway, did Newway leads tell
	A. I don't think that ever was an issue.	3	their units I guess did they tell subcontractors
	Q. So for Baja Newway prescribed the task and	4	what to do?
5 I	Baja would do it, is that correct?	5	A. Through their superintendents.
6	A. If Roberto agreed to it for his people and	6	Q. Okay. Was Roberto the superintendent for
7 I	his staff.	7	Baja?
8	Q. But you said previously you never had an	8	A. Yes.
9 i	issue with Baja rejecting a task from Newway, right?	9	Q. Let me think. Just a moment.
10	A. Not that I'm aware of.	10	So is there anything else that Newway leads
11	Q. So can you describe for me and I'm sorry,	11	were responsible for?
12 I	I don't know too much about construction sites just	12	A. Possibly just making sure people are
	the duties of a lead for Newway?	13	attending the safety meetings, their employees, their
14	A. They're a foreman.	14	staff underneath them.
15	Q. Is a lead the same as a foreman?	15	Q. Were Newway leads responsible for ensuring
16	A. Essentially.	16	that Baja workers attended the safety meeting?
17	Q. Is there a slight difference?	17	A. It's our policy that all subcontractors come
18	A. Not in Newway's terminology.	18	to the meetings but that would still be instructed
19	Q. Okay. So what were the duties you told	19	through Roberto.
20 r	me they're basically a foreman, but what were the	20	Q. Was there an instruction from Newway to
21 8	specific duties and tasks of a Newway lead?	21	Roberto that Baja workers needed to attend those
22	A. They work alongside with the employees but	22	trainings?
23 t	they are the head of their unit and they are the ones	23	A. Yes.
24 t	that would check in with the higher-ups.	24	Q. Did Newway leads supervise the day-to-day
25	Q. Do leads tell their units what to do?	25	work of their units?
	Page 80		Page 81
1	A. Yes.	1	A. I don't know but they would probably tell
2	Q. What were the duties of Antonio Machado?	2	Roberto. Are you mean something simple or egregious?
3	A. He was the main foreman.	3	Q. Either one.
4	Q. And what was his role in that capacity?	4	A. Okay. If somebody was showing improper
5	A. He oversaw he worked and he also oversaw	5	behavior then Roberto would be called.
6 a	all the leads.	6	Q. Okay. Was it Newway's job to make sure that
7	Q. Did he give direction to the leads?	7	Baja workers were doing things correctly?
8	A. Yes.	8	A. I would still deflect that to Roberto.
9	Q. And did he play a role in making sure things	9	Q. Sorry, go ahead.
	were being done correctly?	10	A. It would be deflected to I mean explained
11	A. Yes.	11	to Roberto and it would be up to them to deal with
12	Q. And did the leads do that as well?	12	their staff.
13	A. Yes.	13	Q. Okay. Was it part of the role of Newway in
14	Q. Did the leads tell workers on Baja payroll	14	managing its subcontractors to make sure the
	where they should be stationed throughout the day?	15	subcontractors were doing things correctly?
16	A. It goes to Roberto and Roberto would tell	16	A. Yes.
	them.	17	Q. Did Roberto have any discretion on what
18	Q. Okay. When a Baja worker finished a given	18	tasks his workers would be doing at any given time?
	task who instructed him on what to do next?	19	A. It's still basically following the schedule.
20	A. They would know for the whole day. That	20	Q. Well, was that schedule when you say the
	would be Roberto in the morning having meetings and	21	schedule do you mean a schedule dictated by Newway?
	they should know what they needed to do the entire	22	A. No. By Onni.
'17	day.	23	Q. Okay. So can you please describe Roberto's
		. 0/	don'to don interestions with Novinces staff? Von told
24	Q. Okay. What would happen if a Newway lead noticed that Baja was doing work incorrectly?	24 25	day-to-day interactions with Newway staff? You told me about that initial meeting in the morning. Did he

	Page 86		Page 87
1	Did you say that Newway or Baja was	1	conversations about getting workers to work on the
2	providing both labor and concrete finishing, is that	2	site?
3	correct?	3	A. No.
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. Was it a one-time conversation?
5	Q. Were some of the workers go ahead.	5	A. Roberto would just say he's got somebody and
6	A. They supplied whoever they had.	6	then they'd bring them to the site.
7	Q. Were some workers laborers and other ones	7	Q. What would prompt Roberto to tell Newway
8	concrete finishers?	8	that he had somebody?
9	A. Yes.	9	A. They wanted to use more people.
10	Q. Did Newway need a certain amount of laborers	10	Q. So would Newway communicate to Roberto that
11	and a certain amount of concrete finishers?	11	they needed more people?
12	A. No.	12	A. I don't believe it was ever that way. It
13	Q. Did it need any other skillsets in Baja	13	was the opposite way.
14	workers?	14	Q. Can you specify what you mean by that?
15	A. They were hired for cement finishing. And	15	A. Baja Roberto would say he has people,
16	then Roberto just offered that he had more people and	16	they need work and they'd go to Newway.
17	they could labor for us if they needed to. And they	17	Q. How did Roberto know that more workers were
18	were already site-oriented so it made more sense then	18	needed?
19	going to PeopleReady.	19	A. He didn't. We can go out and hire
20	Q. Did Newway and Roberto have ongoing	20	anybody Newway can go hire anybody we want to.
21	conversations about hiring workers to work on the	21	Roberto would say he has people and they need work
22	site?	22	and we hired them. He hired them to bring them on.
23	A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat the first name?	23	Q. When he did that did he give any information
24	I didn't hear it.	24	to Newway about the people he had?
25	Q. Sure. Did Newway and Roberto have ongoing	25	A. Like?
	Page 88		Page 89
1	Q. Skillset.	1	A. If it was noticed any subcontractor, if
2	A. Oh, skillset, maybe.	2	it's noted that somebody doesn't have the skillset
3	Q. And then could Newway accept or reject the	3	then it would be reported to their supervisor, super.
4	offer of additional people?	4	In this case Roberto Soto.
5	A. Yes.	5	Q. Okay. Were Baja workers on the relevant
6	Q. Is there a type of worker who would not be a	6	worksites ever fired during the relevant time period?
7	good fit for the relevant worksite in the relevant	7	A. I wouldn't know that. You'd have to ask
8	time period?	8	Baja.
9	MR. WANDLER: I'm going to object to the	9	Q. Did Newway play any role in firing workers?
10	form of the question.	10	A. For Baja?
11	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) You can still answer.	11	Q. Yes.
12	A. Would you repeat that again?	12	A. No.
13	Q. Was there a type of worker who would not be	13	Q. But Newway might notice that a worker did
14	a desirable worker to have on the site?	14	not have the right qualifications and inform the
15	A. At that point I think anybody they would	15	subcontractor's lead person, right?
16	have hired anybody.	16	A. Right. But they didn't directly hire or
17	Q. They just needed bodies?	17	fire anybody. A lot of times if we get a carpenter
18	A. Yeah, they needed bodies. And then it's up	18	that doesn't have the skillset they are moved to labor
19	to them working. Even with the union, when we'd bring	19	because the union took them in improperly. They
20	in the union people, it would be up to that foreman to	20	weren't the level that they should have been.
21	see if they have the correct skillset. That's for	21	I don't know what Baja did with somebody
0.0	Newway.	22	that the foreman said that they didn't have the
22	•	22	-1-111
23	Q. But when Baja brought on people did anyone	23	skillset. I'm not sure.
23 24	Q. But when Baja brought on people did anyone at Newway ever determine whether the people Baja was	24	Q. I'm going to ask you generally about all
23	Q. But when Baja brought on people did anyone		

	Page 90		Page 91
1	disciplined?	1	A. We're concrete highrise subcontractors.
2	A. Two writeups, on a third, termination.	2	Q. So what does that mean? What are the
3	Q. And what about Baja workers, was that the	3	responsibilities associated with that?
4	same process?	4	A. All the concrete to build the building.
5	A. We didn't have any control over their	5	Seaco was in charge of the slabs and we're in charge
6	workers for hiring or firing.	6	of the vertical.
7	Q. If a Baja worker needed a writeup, would	7	Q. Anything else?
8	someone from Newway communicate that to Roberto?	8	A. No. We're a concrete subcontractor.
9	A. I suppose so. It would be Roberto.	9	Q. And did Newway have a contract with Onni
10	Q. If Roberto fired a worker would Newway be	10	requiring it to do these things?
11	notified?	11	A. Yes.
12	A. No.	12	MR. WANDLER: Just to clarify, the contract
13	Q. What about I'm sorry, I didn't mean to	13	with Onni we're only talking about the Denny site.
14	talk over you. Go ahead and finish.	14	MS. FRANKLIN: Okay. Thank you for the
15	A. No, go ahead.	15	clarification.
16	Q. If Roberto disciplined a worker would anyone	16	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Did Newway have any
17	at Newway be notified?	17	contracts for the other two site?
18	A. No.	18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. So now I want to step back a little	19	Q. Who were those with?
20	bit and ask you about the work that was being done on	20	A. Graham at 707 Terry and Axiom is 2014
21	this worksite. And again you're going to have to	21	Fairview.
22	excuse me because I don't know much about construction	22	Q. Okay. Thanks for that clarification.
23	work.	23	So let's go piece by piece, so let's talk
24	So what was Newway brought on to the	24	about 1120 Denny.
25	relevant worksites to do?	25	What was Baja's role relative to let me
1	Page 92 see if I can rephrase that.	1	Page 93 Q. Okay. Now let's talk about the other
2	So you said that so Baja was the	2	worksites.
3	subcontractor of Newway, correct?	3	So for the 707 Terry, was Newway's role the
4	A. Yes.	4	same in its contract there?
5	Q. What was Baja's role in helping Newway with	5	A. Yes.
6	the tasks that it was doing for Onni?		
ı		6	Q. And was Baja's role the same?
7	A. Concrete finishing.	6 7	Q. And was Baja's role the same?A. They were only brought on for one week, but
	A. Concrete finishing.MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the		A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah.
7 8 9	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer.	7 8 9	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah.Q. And what were they brought on to do in that
7 8 9 10	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing.	7 8 9 10	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah.Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week?
7 8 9 10 11	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just	7 8 9 10 11	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah.Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week?A. I believe labor, which would be setting up
7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding	7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in.
7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends up finishing.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we have Baja.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends up finishing. Q. Okay. Could Newway have done its job for	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we have Baja. Q. Okay. And what about 2014 Fairview Avenue,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends up finishing. Q. Okay. Could Newway have done its job for Onni without somebody fulfilling the role that Baja	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we have Baja. Q. Okay. And what about 2014 Fairview Avenue, was Newway's contractual task the same?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends up finishing. Q. Okay. Could Newway have done its job for Onni without somebody fulfilling the role that Baja was playing?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we have Baja. Q. Okay. And what about 2014 Fairview Avenue, was Newway's contractual task the same? A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends up finishing. Q. Okay. Could Newway have done its job for Onni without somebody fulfilling the role that Baja was playing? A. We have cement finishers on staff.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we have Baja. Q. Okay. And what about 2014 Fairview Avenue, was Newway's contractual task the same? A. Yes. Q. And what about Baja's?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends up finishing. Q. Okay. Could Newway have done its job for Onni without somebody fulfilling the role that Baja was playing? A. We have cement finishers on staff. Q. But is cement finishing essential to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we have Baja. Q. Okay. And what about 2014 Fairview Avenue, was Newway's contractual task the same? A. Yes. Q. And what about Baja's? A. Yes.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. WANDLER: I don't think she got the answer. A. Cement finishing, concrete finishing. Q. (By Ms. Franklin) Okay. Can you just explain again I'm just not really understanding construction how did cement finishing fit into the job that Newway was being asked to do? A. We poured the concrete and they patch it, sand it, that kind of thing, build forms to pour the concrete in. And then that would be labor force ends up finishing. Q. Okay. Could Newway have done its job for Onni without somebody fulfilling the role that Baja was playing? A. We have cement finishers on staff.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A. They were only brought on for one week, but yeah. Q. And what were they brought on to do in that one week? A. I believe labor, which would be setting up the forms to pour the concrete in. Q. Okay. Is that something that needed to happen in order for Newway to complete its tasks at 707 Terry? A. Yes, but we can hire out of the union. We can hire PeopleReady. It wasn't imperative that we have Baja. Q. Okay. And what about 2014 Fairview Avenue, was Newway's contractual task the same? A. Yes. Q. And what about Baja's?

	Page 94		Page 95
1	A. I think Tony talked to Roberto and Roberto	1	worksites?
2	said that he could send a crew over.	2	A. I'm not sure how that came about.
3	Q. And how were you mentioned these tasks	3	Q. Okay. Just a minute.
4	could be done by many different companies.	4	MS. FRANKLIN: Let's take a five-minute
5	Why did Tony Machado select Baja?	5	break, if we could.
6	A. I'm not sure. I mean I could be incorrect,	6	Can we come back on the record at, I guess
7	it could be the opposite way. I'm just not sure.	7	we'll say 11:47?
8	Q. And how were workers dispatched to 2014	8	MR. WANDLER: Could we do 11:50, just to
9	Terry? How did that come to be Baja workers?	9	round up?
10	A. Roberto.	10	MS. FRANKLIN: We can do 11:50 to round up.
11	Q. And do you know why Baja selected them?	11	(Recess.).
12	A. He just offered staff.	12	Q. (By Ms. Franklin) I just have a couple more
13	Q. Were there other subcontractors in the same	13	questions and then some exhibits.
14	business as Baja?	14	Did Newway have a physical office at 1120
15	3	15	Denny Way?
	A. Not cement finishing. Do you mean for Newway?	16	A. Yes.
16	Ţ.	17	Q. Did workers who were on Baja's payroll use
17	Q. Or just in the Seattle area, were there	18	Newway's equipment to perform their day-to-day work?
18	other cement could they have hired other cement	19	A. Everybody brings their own tools, so maybe a
19	finishers besides Baja?	20	hammer. Any large equipment is Newway's equipment.
20	A. Yeah. We can go to the hall.		
21	Q. Why did Newway choose Baja over going to the	21	Q. Did Baja supply any of the equipment itself?A. I'm not sure.
22	hall?	22	
23	A. It's just going back to the agreement that	23	Q. Okay. You mentioned previously that you
24	they had, the oral agreement in Canada.	24	personally were not really on the worksite at 1120
25	Q. Did the oral agreement encompass all three	25	Denny Way, correct?
	Page 96		Page 97
1	A. I visited but I was not down there every	1	A. Somebody was in charge, Noyes Rios.
2	day.	2	Q. Did they ever tell you that anyone else was
3	Q. Okay. So when you said that Roberto was	3	in charge?
4	usually there, how do you know that?	4	A. I believe there was. I don't know their
5	A. Because he was avoiding me but I know the	5	names.
6	day he was there.	6	Q. How often did you come to a worksite in the
7	Q. How do you know that specifically?	7	relevant period?
8	A. I had been asking for insurance since 2019	8	A. It used to be every week until about 2019,
9	with us being a lienholder. And I couldn't get any	9	later in 2019.
10	response from Claudia Mercedes and so I started	10	Q. And after that through August 2020 how often
11	hounding Roberto.	11	was it?
12	And their workers comp expired so I went	12	A. I didn't go down.
13	after them for that. And I would just ask "Are you	13	Q. Sorry?
14	guys talking to him?"	14	A. I didn't go down to the site.
15	And they're like "Oh, yeah, he answers our	15	Q. Okay. So after late 2019 you were never
16	calls." But I couldn't get ahold of him.	16	there?
17	Q. So do you know that he was in contact with	17	A. Actually, I apologize. Maybe once, I did go
18	them or that he was physically on the site all day?	18	down once.
19	A. I can't clarify between the two. I'm not	19	Q. Okay. What is the source of knowledge
20	sure.	20	about your source of knowledge I know you're
	Q. Okay. And how do you know who was in charge	21	testifying today on behalf of Newway. Where did you
21		22	get your information about breaks and who set those
	when Roberto was not there?	""	
21	when Roberto was not there? A. They would just tell me because I was	23	breaks?
21 22		1	breaks? A. That was the one question I asked Tom Grant.
21 22 23	A. They would just tell me because I was	23	

	Page 110		Page 111
1	A. Verify that they were on site.	1	I'll do it on a piece-by-piece basis.
2	Q. And with regards to the Baja invoices, I	2	A. Okay.
3	think there was a series of questions where they asked	3	Q. You talked about the early morning meetings
4	you whether or not break time was included in the	4	where tasks are assigned.
5	invoice or sick time was included in the invoice.	5	What's the basis for the assignment of those
6	Was there any way for you, Newway, to be	6	tasks? In other words, who comes up with what tasks
7	able to tell whether those items were included in the	7	need to be done and where does that come from?
8	invoice?	8	A. The scope of work.
9	A. No.	9	Q. Where is that defined?
10	Q. Did the invoices in any way break out what	10	A. It's defined by a schedule.
11	was being paid to the Baja employees as opposed to	11	Q. Who creates the schedule?
12	what Baja was asking to be paid?	12	A. Onni.
13	A. No.	13	Q. Onni. And Onni is the general contractor?
14	Q. So there was no information contained in	14	A. Yes.
15	there about what the employees were being paid or not?	15	Q. So if I understand correctly, every day Onni
16	A. No.	16	prepares the schedule and you guys know what you're
17	Q. Whether they were working overtime or not?	17	supposed to do, you have a meeting.
18	A. No.	18	What's discussed at the meeting?
19	Q. Whether they were taking sick time or not?	19	A. Each individual subcontractor's scope of
20	A. No.	20	work.
21	Q. Whether or not they were receiving paid	21	Q. Okay. Is it the subcontractor's
22	breaks?	22	responsibility to determine how they perform that
23	A. No.	23	scope of work?
24	Q. Just in terms of the process of a	24	A. Yes.
25	construction site, let's walk through the day, and	25	Q. Is it their responsibility to determine how
	Page 112		D 110
	1490 112		Page 113
1		1	
1 2	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes.	1 2	Page 113 period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others,
	many people they need to complete that scope of work?		period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite,
2	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes.	2	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others,
2	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and	2 3	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at
2 3 4	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's	2 3 4	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually?
2 3 4 5	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work?	2 3 4 5	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway?
2 3 4 5 6	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja.
2 3 4 5 6 7	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have.	2 3 4 5 6 7	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the question.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct? A. Yes. Q. That was "Yes" for the record. I'm not sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct? A. Yes. Q. That was "Yes" for the record. I'm not sure if that was clear.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. A. Not using whiteout.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct? A. Yes. Q. That was "Yes" for the record. I'm not sure if that was clear. So a year and a half in. So by that time	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. A. Not using whiteout. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Would the workers have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct? A. Yes. Q. That was "Yes" for the record. I'm not sure if that was clear. So a year and a half in. So by that time there had been a whole lot of daily labor provided by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. A. Not using whiteout. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Would the workers have written something down incorrectly and then wanted to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct? A. Yes. Q. That was "Yes" for the record. I'm not sure if that was clear. So a year and a half in. So by that time there had been a whole lot of daily labor provided by Baja to Newway, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. A. Not using whiteout. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Would the workers have written something down incorrectly and then wanted to correct it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	many people they need to complete that scope of work? A. Yes. Q. Does Newway have any say in the means and methods and the labor that goes into a subcontractor's performance of their scope of work? A. No. MR. WANDLER: That's all I have. MR. LARKIN: I have a few follow-up questions if this is my time. EXAMINATION BY MR. LARKIN: Q. You testified, if I got it right this morning, that it was about a year and a half into the relevant time period when you spotted, I think you said, what appeared to be maybe three irregularities, correct? A. Yes. Q. That was "Yes" for the record. I'm not sure if that was clear. So a year and a half in. So by that time there had been a whole lot of daily labor provided by Baja to Newway, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	period, the timekeeping methods being used onsite, certainly by the Baja labor and probably by others, was a very manual system or not much of a system at all. It was done manually? A. For Baja or for Newway? Q. For Baja. A. They didn't track their hours at all. I mean they didn't track their people at all. They just sent in invoices, Roberto did. And Joe Rigo and Chris Birch would just sign off on them. Q. Okay. So isn't it fair to say that in maybe a year and a half spotting only three irregularities in timekeeping, considering how much labor must have been provided by them, that could be simply just honest errors, right, those three irregularities? MR. WANDLER: Object to the form of the question. You can answer. A. Not using whiteout. Q. (By Mr. Larkin) Would the workers have written something down incorrectly and then wanted to correct it? A. No. Their name was whited out on the top.

	Page 122		Page 123
1	Q. And as long as Newway stayed on track with	,	
1		1	timecards?
2	Onni's schedule did it have any discretion as to the	2	A. Yes.
3	order in which to do different steps?	3	MS. FRANKLIN: Okay. I don't have any
4	A. That's I would assume so. I mean that's	4	further questions. Thank you.
5	up to Tom and Tony and I don't know.	5	MR. WANDLER: Are we done?
6	Q. Would those decisions get communicated to	6	MR. LARKIN: No further questions for me.
7	the decisions of Tom and Tony about the order in which	7	MS. KINCAID: Nothing for me.
8	to do different steps, would those decisions get	8	MR. WANDLER: We'll reserve signature.
9	passed down to subcontractors?	9	(Deposition recessed at 12:35 p.m.)
10	A. I would say yes.	10	
11	Q. Okay. And now I want to just return very	11	
12	briefly to the discussion of the time clocks.	12	
13	You said that the purpose of installing the	13	
14	time clocks was just to get a record of which Baja	14	
15	employees were onsite on a given day, correct?	15	
16	A. Yes.	16	
17	Q. But those time clocks did give you the	17	
18	ability to verify how many hours a given employee had	18	
19	worked, if you had wanted to do so, right?	19	
20	A. Roberto still had his own invoices. We	20	
21	could cross-reference them.	21	
22	I don't think there was ever a question	22	
23	about hours that I can recall.	23	
24	Q. But if there were, could you cross-reference	24	
25	invoices against the records from the time clocks and	25	
		l .	
	Page 124		Page 125
1	Page 124	1	Page 125
1	SIGNATURE	1 2	CERTIFICATE
2	SIGNATURE I declare under penalty of perjury under the	1 2	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)
2	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within	l .	CERTIFICATE
2 3 4	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and	2	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss.
2 3 4 5	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated	2	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING)
2 3 4	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof.	2 3 4 5 6	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)
2 3 4 5 6	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington,	3 4 5 6 7	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction;
2 3 4 5 6 7	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this, day of, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT Taken: May 5, 2022	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT Taken: May 5, 2022 Re: Appeal of Baja Concrete	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT Taken: May 5, 2022 Re: Appeal of Baja Concrete Cause No.: LS-21-002, 003, 004	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING) I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of May, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT Taken: May 5, 2022 Re: Appeal of Baja Concrete	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of May, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT Taken: May 5, 2022 Re: Appeal of Baja Concrete Cause No.: LS-21-002, 003, 004	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of May, 2022.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	S I G N A T U R E I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that I have read my within deposition, and the same is true and accurate, save and except for changes and/or corrections, if any, as indicated by me on the CHANGE SHEET flyleaf page hereof. Signed in, Washington, this, 2022. KWYNNE GRANT Taken: May 5, 2022 Re: Appeal of Baja Concrete Cause No.: LS-21-002, 003, 004	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CERTIFICATE STATE OF WASHINGTON)) ss. COUNTY OF KING I, the undersigned Washington Certified Court Reporter, hereby certify that the foregoing deposition upon oral examination of KWYNNE GRANT was taken stenographically by me on May 5, 2022 and transcribed under my direction; That the witness was duly sworn by me pursuant to RCW 5.28.010 to testify truthfully; that the transcript of the deposition is a full, true, and correct transcript to the best of my ability; that I am neither attorney for nor relative or employee of any of the parties to the action or any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto, nor am I financially interested in its outcome. I further certify that in accordance with CR 30(e) the witness was given the opportunity to examine, read and sign the deposition within 30 days upon its completion and submission, unless waiver of signature was indicated in the record. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 12th day of May, 2022.



1/20	Derny > 03/23/2020	W	y		(Ba	a					
Work Period:	03/23/2020	- 0	3/28/2020			<u>л к.;</u> Р:	ay Date:	γ			
NAME	SUNDAY	<u> </u>	MONDAY 03/23		O3/24 Tuesday	1	O3/25 Wednesday	1	THURSDAY	O3/2)-	03/2
Helipping)	Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments	Comments	SATURDAY
José Acosta		8		8		8				Constants	Comments
Gerando Valencia	,	8,5		8				 			
	7	1919		10		8		├-	 	l l	
		+ +		+		┼		 	 		
	7	† †			` -	+-		<u> </u>			
Sabase Outra	Caporter	17		8		<u> </u>					
	Capointen	17		-	·	8					
MTE>	AMEDINIER	P 1		8		8					
NAME						L Pa	Date:				
(C.5650) 1 P.00650	Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments	Comments	Comments
lose Estrado		8		8		8					-
reenedo Siezman	2	8		8		8	:	_		 	
Bouel Alfano		8		8			/	-		 -	
loe Ros		8		8		8		\dashv			ļ
tacao Feanande	,	8		8		8		╌┼		 	
anuel Camus		8		8		8		\dashv		 	<u> </u>
	ica	8		8		8		-+			
reco Polo (M/lasen				8		8		+			
reco Polo (P/loseñ oberto Lopez	1 :	X I				A 1	1	- 1	1 1	1	1
perto loper		8						+			
raco Polo (Maseñ reacos Vevenes pur Hisales alto Caballezo				8		8		1			

NEWWAY-002158

<u> </u>	WAY				- C	a Mcr	ete						
ئىڭ ئ	2/30/2020) <u> </u>	04/03/20	220		P	ay Date:	T					
						Т		\top		T		1-	
	Comments		Comments		Comments								SATURDAY
من				4	03/31						COMMISSION	-	Comments
_						Γ		†	 	-	 	 -	
_										-	 	┝	
\dashv						Τ		\vdash		┢	 	├	
\dashv		_								\vdash		-	
_		ļ.		_								-	
				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	L							†
				r		Pa	y Date:	<u> </u>		_			
	Comments		Comments		Comments	F	Comments	ŀ	Comments		Comments		Comments
_		_											<u> </u>
		-											
- -												_	
+													
+													
+				-		-				_			
				1		- 1	1		I	- 1		Ì	
	03	Comments	COmments	Comments Comments	COmments Comments Comments	SUNDAY MONDAY TURSDAY Comments Comments Comments	Comments Comments Comments Comments Page 10 P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P P	Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Pay Date: Pay Date: Comments Comments Comments Pay Date: Pay Date: Pay Date:	Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Comments Pay Date: Comments Comments Pay Date: Pay Date:	Comments Comments	Comments Comments	Comments Comments	Comments Comments

DO NOT REMOVE ORIGINAL TIMESHEETS FROM OFFICEMAKE PHOTO COPY IF NEEDED

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		(Baja	ncn	ete u <i>sa</i>							
Work Period:							y Date:							······································
DATE +2 11 0	SUNDAY		MONDAY TUESDAY			y wednesday			THURSDAY		FRIDAY			
Labourers	Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments	
Claudio Gamboa		10	Shore	12	concret	10	conclet	12	concret	13	concret	-12	Striping	69
Alejandro Fiol		10	Shipino	10	shore	10	clean	9	Clean	6	Smoina	8	Stripine	53
Lucas Ayala		10	shove	10		10	StriBN	10	clean	13	StriPVIN	8	An Pina	61
Matias Rodriguez		10	share	11	Shore	10	Clean	10	clean	13	Strepping		Concreto	66
Camilo Diaz		10	Shore	10	Shag	10	Class	10	Clean	13	Strepping	8	Concrate	61
		-									· ·		1	310
				<u> </u>		Ļ	<u>L</u>	Ŀ		<u> </u>				
DATE> NAME		т		ı——		P8	y Date:	-		·		1	**************************************	r
Coment Finishers	Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments		Comments	Tof
Noe Rios		a	Patching	9	Patchi	9	Paching	q	Pachin6	11	Barany	Ч	Patoniu	51
Cristian Navejas		a	CNIDINE	9	Grana.	9	Chipin6	9	CNIPIN6	11	Chiping	4	Chipino	5/
Ivan Parra		9	Chiping	σ	Chipins	9	Chipino	9	Chiping	11	Chiping	4	Paranin	51
David Giron		9	Chiping	9	Granding	9	Chiping	9	Pateun	11	Parang	4	Chiping	5/
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	, ,)							204
		ļ												
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>								
<u>.</u>	<u></u>	<u> </u>				<u> </u>								

DO NOT REMOVE ORIGINAL TIMESHEETS FROM OFFICEMAKE PHOTO COPY IF NEEDED

NEWWAY-002213

:		<u>ie Wry</u>	Timi	ncréte USA B sheet				
		08 / 18	1	T	T Z	7		
ASSES FINA		4 4	1/9		41	1	V 37	30
			Cruz K		7			<u>8/27/2018</u>
J* 300		·	Cruiz Ru	Juf				
								** _(1.78)

From: Kwynne Grant

To: <u>jrconcrete99@gmail.com</u>

Cc: <u>Tom Grant; Adam Pilling; Connor Forler</u>

Subject: Employees for Baja

Date: Wednesday, September 18, 2019 10:58:03 AM **Attachments:** image001 9c9da7f2-6ad5-4948-848a-354f8c9b3e31.png

EXHIBIT
13
Forler-Grant
5/5/2022
Pat Lessard, CCR

Hi Roberto -

As you might be aware of, we have two new supers/managers at the 1120 Denny Way site. Could you please begin to enter your employees last names on the time sheets as well as the first and also, we are going to make an onsite timesheet so they will have to come in and check in and checkout at the end of the day. Once we receive their full name we will complete the form.

Let us know if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kwynne Grant

Project Coordinator

Newway Forming, Inc.

1133 164th Street, SW, Suite 204, Lynnwood, WA, 98087 Phone: 425-742-2709 Fax: 425-745-5818 Cell: 206-391-2994

Email: kwynne@newwayforming.com
Website: www.newwayforming.com

