

EXHIBIT I

David Carpman

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2021 8:01 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor; David Carpman
Cc: Margaret Boyle; Jeanne Coulson
Subject: Revised subpoenas
Attachments: SDT Oceanstar v. 2 FINAL.docx; SDT Global Seas v. 2 FINAL.docx; SDT Nina v. 2 FINAL.docx; SDT Desautel v. 2 FINAL.docx; SDT Walter Kuhr v. 2 FINAL.docx; SDT Shepard v. 2 FINAL.docx; SDT Lil Kuhr v. 2 FINAL.docx; SDT GHD v. 2 FINAL.docx

Hi Courtney and David:

Here are revised subpoenas to the people and entities from whom MCC seeks discovery. In accordance with the Hearing Examiner's July 19 order, please review these and write or give me a call to coordinate issuing the revised subpoenas.

Thanks,

Ted Coulson
206-953-2579

EXHIBIT J

David Carpman

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, July 23, 2021 3:15 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor
Cc: David Carpman; Jacquie Quarre; Jeanne Coulson
Subject: RE: MCC appeal - discovery
Attachments: SDT GHD v. 4 FINAL.pdf

Hi Courtney:

Thanks for your email. I set out my responses and requests below in anticipation of our meeting to confer on any discovery issues in the hopes of resolving them without a motion. My comments and requests are in CAPITAL LETTERS below.

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2021 4:42 PM
To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Cc: David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>; Jacquie Quarre <jquarre@mhseattle.com>
Subject: RE: MCC appeal - discovery

Ted – Following up on this:

- As previously discussed, we will need to agree on a scope for the depositions of Mr. Drivdahl and Mr. Brown that is related to the issues in the appeal. ONCE WE HAVE GHD'S DOCUMENTS RESPONSIVE TO THE SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM, WE CAN HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE SCOPE OF MESSRS. DRIVDAHL'S AND BROWN'S DEPOSITIONS. I HAVE PROVIDED YOU THE SCOPE AS BEST I CAN WITHOUT THE SUBPOENA DOCUMENTS IN MY RESPONSE TO YOUR JULY 7, 2021 EMAIL AND IN THE REVISED GHD "EXHIBIT A" I FORWARDED TO YOU. We maintain our prior position that depositions of Mr. Desautel and Mr. Kuhr are not warranted. I DISAGREE, AS I ALSO STATED IN MY RESPONSE TO YOUR JULY 7 EMAIL. I WILL AWAIT MESSRS. DESAUTEL'S AND KUHR'S (AND OTHER GOVERNORS') REPSONSES TO THE REVISED SUBPOENAS DUCES TECUM TO DISCUSS THIS ISSUE WITH YOU FURTHER.
- I do not believe providing the engagement agreement with Mr. Drivdahl and Mr. Brown's firm is necessary. I DISAGREE. It is clear from the publicly available file that Mr. Drivdahl and Mr. Brown are engaged in this matter in their expert capacity. OF COURSE MESSRS. DRIVDAHL AND BROWN WERE ENGAGED IN THEIR EXPERT CAPACITY, BUT THAT DOES NOT ANSWER THE QUESTION OF THEIR ENTITLEMENT TO EXEPRT FEES UNDER CR 26(b)(5). Since hearing examiner appeals are part of the City's permit process, their work was necessarily "in anticipation of" this proceeding. I DISAGREE, BASED ON THE CASE I CITED TO YOU IN MY RESPONSE TO YOUR JULY 7 EMAIL. IN ADDITION, SEE, PETERS V. BALLARD, 58 WN APP 921 (1990) AND BAIRD V. LARSON, 59 WN APP 715, 720 (1990) ("PROFESSIONALS WHO HAVE ACQUIRED OR DEVELOPED FACTS AND OPINIONS NOT IN ANTICIPATION OF LITIGATION BUT FROM INVOLVEMENT AS AN ACTOR IN A TRASACTION, ARE NOT ENTITLED TO EXPERT WITNESS FEES."). OUR DISAGREEMENT CAN BE EASILY SOLVED BY YOUR PROVIDING GHD'S EXPERT

ENGAGEMENT AGREEMENT, IF ANY, AND GHD'S AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE PROJECT ARCHITECTURAL SERVICES. If you want to depose them without paying for their time, you will need to bring a motion. IN KEEPING WITH THE HEARING EXAMINER'S ORDER, I HAVE ADDED A REQUEST FOR THESE AGREEMENTS TO THE GHD EXHIBIT "A". PLEASE SEND ME ANY AUTHORITY YOU HAVE FOR YOUR POSITION SO WE MAY DISCUSS IT WHEN WE CONFER PRIOR TO BRINGING ANY FURTHER MOTIONS. I ATTACH A COPY OF THE REVISED GHD EXHIBIT "A".

- As to in person depositions, it is unfortunately true that the global pandemic is not over. I have not done an in person hearing, deposition or similar indoor group meeting since Washington's stay at home order was first issued. You can bring a motion if you would like, but I do not believe the Examiner will compel an in person deposition, particularly in light of the fact that the Examiner's operations remain virtual. I am also surprised by your cost concern – I have found virtual practice to be more convenient and less costly for all.

Courtney Kaylor
Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600
Seattle, Washington 98104
Cell: 206.790.6164
Tel: 206.812.3388
Direct: 206.812.3379
Fax: 206.812.3389
courtney@mhseattle.com
www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 17, 2021 3:30 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Cc: David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>; Jacquie Quarre <jquarre@mhseattle.com>
Subject: RE: MCC appeal - discovery

Hi Courtney:

Please send me a copy of the expert engagement agreement between your office/client and Eric Drivdahl and Tom Brown.

Thanks,

Ted Coulson

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Sent: Saturday, July 10, 2021 8:32 AM
To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Cc: David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>; Jacquie Quarre <jquarre@mhseattle.com>
Subject: RE: MCC appeal - discovery

EXHIBIT K

From: Courtney Kaylor
Sent: Tuesday, July 27, 2021 11:38 AM
To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Subject: MCC appeal

Hello Ted – I've reviewed the revised subpoenas. As an initial matter, I do not believe any of this discovery is necessary as the approved project speaks for itself. MCC can make its arguments based on the project file without any of this information. That said, in an effort to resolve any discovery dispute, my client is willing to agree to the following:

- General comments. The requests should be related to the approved project, which is what is at issue. The requests should also be limited in time to the period between when the project application was submitted (5/10/19) and when it was approved (4/22/21) since this is the date range relevant to the project. The requests are to a range of Oceanstar affiliated entities and their managers/officers. The subpoenas should be clarified to provide that they are directed to the managers/officers in their capacities as managers/officers rather than in their personal capacities.
- Requests #1-#3 continues to refer to the disposition of the project. The Examiner has determined that single family home disposition is not at before the Examiner, so "disposition" should be removed from the request. In addition, Request #2 refers to communications between Oceanstar and related entities and individuals. Requests #1 and 3 should be similarly limited or other specific individuals identified.
- Request #7 should be limited to the Landmarks Preservation Board and related entities and individuals or other specific individuals identified.
- Corresponding changes should be made in the subpoena to Gelotte Hommas Drivdahl (which, as you know, has different numbering but some of the same requests).
- With these changes, my client agrees to the scope of the subpoenas. We are currently evaluating the length of time required to respond.

Please let me know if you want to discuss.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor
Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600
Seattle, Washington 98104
Cell: 206.790.6164
Tel: 206.812.3388
Direct: 206.812.3379
Fax: 206.812.3389
courtney@mhseattle.com
www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

EXHIBIT L

David Carpman

Subject: FW: Discovery conference call
Attachments: Oceanstar Exhibit A 8.2.21 redlined.docx

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 4:17 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hi Courtney:

Thanks for the email, we seem to be making some progress, that's a good thing. I attach a redlined version of the Oceanstar Exhibit A. I think we should still plan on a call tomorrow at 3, thank you.

As you can see, I have deleted reference to the disposition of the project and narrowed the time periods of the original requests. These changes are done as a good faith compromise in these discussions, not as a waiver of any right to seek earlier documents at some other time if we deem warranted.

I thought about some of the topics we discussed last Friday and I look forward to hearing of your discussion with GHD about the north stairs. I also saw in some documents I reviewed that GHD began its work in 2016. It seems to me that rather than the date the first application for this project was filed, 2017, the start date should be when GHD came on board, for that would be when the discussions and documents about the project's impacts would start to be generated. I also believe that is the discovery the Examiner specifically ruled would be appropriate on p. 3 of her July 19 Order. So, I have reduced the time as indicated in the attached red line.

If these revisions are acceptable, let me know and I will revise all the subpoenas. Otherwise, I look forward to speaking with you tomorrow. Please let me know at what number I should call you.

Thanks,

Ted Coulson

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 2:08 PM

To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>

Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hello Ted – Tomorrow at 3 pm would work for me. After our call last week, I was able to discuss with my client. As a compromise and show of good faith, they would be willing to produce documents back to the date of the preapplication conference in 2017 rather than the project application date in 2019 that they suggested previously. They do not think documents earlier than the 2017 preapplication conference would have any relevance, since this appeal is about the project, which was not applied for until 2019 and not approved until 2021. Also, the more years that are included, the more burdensome the search. Similarly, they are not willing to agree to discovery about issues that are not relevant to the appeal (i.e., disposition of the project, a use other than the single family use that was approved for the project, or information relating to the three private covenants you have identified and the Admiral's House that predates, and is therefore unrelated to, the project). If you are willing to agree to discovery within these parameters, please send me revised requests. We can then either agree by email or have another call at 3 pm tomorrow to discuss if needed.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor
Partner

MCCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104

Cell: 206.790.6164

Tel: 206.812.3388

Direct: 206.812.3379

Fax: 206.812.3389

courtney@mhseattle.com

www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

EXHIBIT M

From: [Courtney Kaylor](#)
To: [Ted Coulson](#)
Cc: [Jeanne Coulson](#); [David Carpman](#); [Philip Powell](#)
Subject: RE: Discovery conference call
Date: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 3:51:00 PM

Ted – Agreed.

Also, I am confirming (as previously discussed) that the language we agreed to will carry through to all the subpoenas.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor

Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104

Cell: 206.790.6164

Tel: 206.812.3388

Direct: 206.812.3379

Fax: 206.812.3389

courtney@mhseattle.com

www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 3:49 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>; David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>; Philip Powell <Philip.Powell@GlobalSeas.com>
Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hi Courtney:

Among what was submitted by GHC dated April 3, 2107, was a long description of the contemplated project, including the following:

Documents Included in Pre-Submittal
Drawings

Site Survey

A1.1 – Proposed Site Plan

A1.1a – Site Plan with Development Conditions Overlays

A1.1b – Site Plan with ECA Overlay

A1.1c – Site Plan with Zoning Overlay

A1.1d – Site Plan with Future Bridge Location

A1.2 – Site Section

All these documents were prepared before that, but we have compromised to use the submittal date as the starting date for the subpoenas. I would appreciate your agreement to that date, as discussed.

Let me know and I will proceed.

Thanks,

Ted Coulson

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>

Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 3:41 PM

To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>

Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>; David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>; Philip Powell <Philip.Powell@GlobalSeas.com>

Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Ted – Following up on our call, we agreed:

- The terms of the discovery requests will be as provided in the attachment to your email of 8/2.
- The dates will extend back to the date of the preapplication conference for the project. I checked the date of the preapplication conference in City records and it occurred on August 16, 2017.
- We clarified that Oceanstar is searching only corporate records/emails, where we would expect responsive documents to be located, not individuals' personal records/emails.
- Oceanstar will use best efforts to provide responsive documents by August 16. Oceanstar does not agree to a continuance of the hearing in this matter.

Oceanstar has agreed to these terms as a compromise and does not waive its position that none of the discovery is needed, or that discovery with an appropriate scope could have been requested at an earlier time.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor

Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104

Cell: 206.790.6164

Tel: 206.812.3388

Direct: 206.812.3379

Fax: 206.812.3389

courtney@mhseattle.com

www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Courtney Kaylor

Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 2:32 PM

To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>

Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>; David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>; Philip Powell <Philip.Powell@GlobalSeas.com>

Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hello Ted – In light of the fact you are not near your computer, we can get on the phone at 3. Here is a call in number: 1-888-924-9240, access code 4143761. I believe conversation by email has benefits, though, and would be efficient and clear. We can certainly discuss why the parameters I've described are important to my client, but I do want to avoid a lengthy argument of the merits.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor

Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104

Cell: 206.790.6164

Tel: 206.812.3388

Direct: 206.812.3379

Fax: 206.812.3389

courtney@mhseattle.com

www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent

to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 1:05 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>; David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>
Subject: Re: Discovery conference call

Hi Courtney:

In my opinion, exchanging never ending emails with ultimatums is not “meet and confer” and as I said I am not near my computer. You can explain to me the resistance to starting in 2016, when GHD was first involved and the first discussions about the project’s impacts on stairs, views, and restrictions would have started and be relevant as the Examiner ruled. Also, please explain why the personal v. corporate capacity is a concern, especially since the LLCs speak through their governors.

I still suggest we confer at 3.

Thanks,

Ted

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 12:10:30 PM
To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>; David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>
Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hello Ted – I’m glad we are getting closer. My client has asked me to discuss this request by email for clarity and efficiency. If you can review the parameters I’ve described below and let me know if this works, I’d appreciate it.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor
Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600
Seattle, Washington 98104
Cell: 206.790.6164
Tel: 206.812.3388
Direct: 206.812.3379
Fax: 206.812.3389

courtney@mhseattle.com
www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 12:07 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>; David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>
Subject: Re: Discovery conference call

Hi Courtney, thanks for the email, it sounds as if we are getting closer. I am out of the office and suggest we talk at 3 to “confer”, which I think would be most efficient anyway.

Thanks, Ted

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 3, 2021 11:39:25 AM
To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>; David Carpman <dcarpman@mhseattle.com>
Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hello Ted – I’ve discussed with my client and they agree to the revisions in the language of the requests. We are assuming that corresponding changes will be made to all the subpoenas. Also, as previously communicated, they are interpreting the request to apply to the individuals in their official capacities. They will not agree to produce documents prior to the preapplication conference in 2017, however. Since the project application was not submitted until 2019, they believe the 2017 is more than sufficient to ensure any relevant documents are included. As with your agreement, my client is agreeing to these requests as a compromise, and this is not intended to waive their position that this discovery is not necessary to your case and remains overbroad.

In terms of timing, my client will make a good faith effort to provide responsive documents by August 16. Since they continue to believe this discovery is not necessary to your case, and that even if it were an appropriately scoped discovery request could have been made at the outset, they will not agree to a continuance of the hearing to accommodate discovery.

Please let me know if we have agreement on these parameters for the document requests (request language and good faith effort to produce documents by August 16). My client has asked that I be efficient in my communications about this, and do not believe an additional call is warranted.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor

Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104

Cell: 206.790.6164

Tel: 206.812.3388

Direct: 206.812.3379

Fax: 206.812.3389

courtney@mhseattle.com

www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>

Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 4:17 PM

To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>

Cc: Jeanne Coulson <mjeannecoulson@gmail.com>

Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hi Courtney:

Thanks for the email, we seem to be making some progress, that's a good thing. I attach a redlined version of the Oceanstar Exhibit A. I think we should still plan on a call tomorrow at 3, thank you.

As you can see, I have deleted reference to the disposition of the project and narrowed the time periods of the original requests. These changes are done as a good faith compromise in these discussions, not as a waiver of any right to seek earlier documents at some other time if we deem warranted.

I thought about some of the topics we discussed last Friday and I look forward to hearing of your discussion with GHD about the north stairs. I also saw in some documents I reviewed that GHD began its work in 2016. It seems to me that rather than the date the first application for this project was filed, 2017, the start date should be when GHD came on board, for that would be when the

discussions and documents about the project's impacts would start to be generated. I also believe that is the discovery the Examiner specifically ruled would be appropriate on p. 3 of her July 19 Order. So, I have reduced the time as indicated in the attached red line.

If these revisions are acceptable, let me know and I will revise all the subpoenas. Otherwise, I look forward to speaking with you tomorrow. Please let me know at what number I should call you.

Thanks,

Ted Coulson

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>

Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 2:08 PM

To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>

Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hello Ted – Tomorrow at 3 pm would work for me. After our call last week, I was able to discuss with my client. As a compromise and show of good faith, they would be willing to produce documents back to the date of the preapplication conference in 2017 rather than the project application date in 2019 that they suggested previously. They do not think documents earlier than the 2017 preapplication conference would have any relevance, since this appeal is about the project, which was not applied for until 2019 and not approved until 2021. Also, the more years that are included, the more burdensome the search. Similarly, they are not willing to agree to discovery about issues that are not relevant to the appeal (i.e., disposition of the project, a use other than the single family use that was approved for the project, or information relating to the three private covenants you have identified and the Admiral's House that predates, and is therefore unrelated to, the project). If you are willing to agree to discovery within these parameters, please send me revised requests. We can then either agree by email or have another call at 3 pm tomorrow to discuss if needed.

Courtney

Courtney Kaylor

Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600

Seattle, Washington 98104

Cell: 206.790.6164

Tel: 206.812.3388

Direct: 206.812.3379
Fax: 206.812.3389
courtney@mhseattle.com
www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 1:40 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Hi Courtney, that explains it, I had today written down. Tomorrow will work, but can it be earlier, like 8:00 am, or at 3:00 pm?

Thanks,

Ted Coulson

From: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 1:32 PM
To: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Subject: RE: Discovery conference call

Ted – I had 1 pm tomorrow on my calendar! Apologies for any miscommunication. I was also able to talk with my client and will send you an email update later this afternoon. Does 1 pm tomorrow work? I have other times tomorrow but this afternoon has filled up.

Courtney Kaylor
Partner

McCULLOUGH HILL LEARY, PS
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6600
Seattle, Washington 98104
Cell: 206.790.6164
Tel: 206.812.3388
Direct: 206.812.3379
Fax: 206.812.3389
courtney@mhseattle.com
www.mhseattle.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message may be protected by the attorney/client

privilege, work product doctrine or other confidentiality protection. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please reply to the sender that you have received the message in error, then delete it. Thank you.

From: Ted Coulson <coule@schweetlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, August 2, 2021 1:13 PM
To: Courtney Kaylor <courtney@mhseattle.com>
Subject: Discovery conference call

Hi Courtney:

I thought we decided to continue our discovery conference call to today at 1:00 pm. I left you messages, please call me at 206-953-2579 to do so. If there is a misunderstanding, please let me know that and a time when we can talk.

Thanks,

Ted Coulson

EXHIBIT N

Permit and Property Records [Help](#)

Search by Record Number

Record # (eg, Permit, License, Case, Building):

Search by Address

Street address within Seattle:

This project is located at: 2500 W Marina Pl

Document	Size	Date	Record #	Record Type
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	51 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	38 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	203 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	203 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	254 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	1645 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	1925 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	1861 KB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Appeal to the Hearing Examiner	2 MB	05/10/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Application Materials-General	221 KB	07/11/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit

Document	Size	Date	Record #	Record Type
Arborist Report	13 MB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Arborist Report	1615 KB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Arborist Report	2 MB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Arborist Report_Cycle4	2 MB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Arborist Report_Cycle4	1615 KB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Billing Invoice	59 KB	09/01/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	492 KB	08/01/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	59 KB	02/01/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	59 KB	04/01/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	37 KB	09/01/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	37 KB	03/01/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	37 KB	04/01/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	59 KB	05/01/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	59 KB	08/01/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	123 KB	06/01/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	492 KB	07/01/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	59 KB	02/01/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	37 KB	08/01/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Billing Invoice	59 KB	03/01/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Geo Soils-Cycle1	203 KB	07/02/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Geo Soils-Cycle2	146 KB	01/06/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Geo Soils-Cycle3	177 KB	07/30/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Land Use-Cycle1	145 KB	08/22/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Land Use-Cycle2	141 KB	12/18/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Land Use-Cycle3	176 KB	07/17/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Land Use-Cycle4	187 KB	10/29/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit

Document	Size	Date	Record #	Record Type
Correction Letter-Revegetation-Cycle1	201 KB	07/12/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Revegetation-Cycle2	346 KB	12/20/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Revegetation-Cycle3	293 KB	07/28/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Tree-Cycle1	202 KB	07/03/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Zoning-Cycle1	307 KB	07/30/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Letter-Zoning-Cycle2	152 KB	01/15/20	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Correction Response_Cycle2	162 KB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle2	220 KB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle2	214 KB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle2	210 KB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle2	216 KB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle3	174 KB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle3	176 KB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle3	241 KB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle3	174 KB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle4	174 KB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle4	175 KB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Correction Response_Cycle5	113 KB	01/20/21	3028072-LU-005	Upload Documents
Correspondence	41 KB	07/08/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Covenant for Owner Occupancy_Cycle5	492 KB	01/20/21	3028072-LU-005	Upload Documents
ECA Covenant Template	37 KB	08/22/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Geotech Engineer's Letter of Evaluation_Cycle3	115 KB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Geotech Engineer's Letter of Evaluation_Cycle3	219 KB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Geotechnical Report	14 MB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Legal Description	99 KB	04/28/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Miscellaneous Site	664 KB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake

Document	Size	Date	Record #	Record Type
Miscellaneous Site	1234 KB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Miscellaneous Site	1034 KB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Miscellaneous Site	660 KB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Miscellaneous Site	114 KB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Miscellaneous Site_Cycle3	885 KB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Miscellaneous Site_Cycle4	1840 KB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Miscellaneous Site_Cycle4	2 MB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Notice Map	2 MB	05/14/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Plan Set - Land Use	30 MB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Plan Set - Land Use_Cycle3	49 MB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
Plan Set - Land Use_Cycle4	58 MB	09/24/20	3028072-LU-004	Upload Documents
Plan Set - Land Use_Cycle5	59 MB	01/20/21	3028072-LU-005	Upload Documents
Posting map	291 KB	09/09/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Posting Map	2 MB	05/20/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
PREHEARING ORDER	190 KB	06/03/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Preliminary Assessment Report	114 KB	04/28/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Preliminary Building Height Calculations	2 MB	06/16/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Pre-Sub Application & Questions	2 MB	06/16/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Pre-Submittal-Conference Notes	36 KB	09/14/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Pre-Submittal-Conference Notes	73 KB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Public Comment: 10 public meeting requests	323 KB	11/27/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: 6 requests for public meeting	74 KB	11/15/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: 8 public meeting requests	1392 KB	11/15/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: 8 request for public hearing	205 KB	11/15/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: 9 public meeting requests	126 KB	11/19/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: A. Strick 05-06-2021	167 KB	05/17/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit

Document	Size	Date	Record #	Record Type
Public Comment: Austin 11/15/18	33 KB	11/16/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Bernard 5/20/19	92 KB	05/24/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Birk 5/20/19	53 KB	05/24/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Burton 10-3-19	71 KB	10/04/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Campbell 6/3/19	102 KB	06/09/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Campbell 6/3/19	101 KB	06/09/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Carter 11/9/18	1371 KB	11/14/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Chirgwin 11/12/18	35 KB	11/15/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Croft 11/15/18	33 KB	11/16/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: D. Boone 06-10-2019	92 KB	06/12/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: David Moehring - 10/4/2019	32 MB	10/04/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Eric 09-24-2019	11 MB	09/30/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Flenniken 6/2/19	83 KB	06/03/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: France_09262019	12 KB	10/01/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Gallegos 5/21/19	118 KB	05/24/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Goodman 11/19/18	28 KB	11/21/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Greiner 6/7/19	45 KB	06/11/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Houston-6/4/2019	4 MB	06/04/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: J. LaVassar 05-29-2019	75 KB	05/30/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: King County Wastewater Treatment Division	1567 KB	05/20/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: L. Webster 06-03-2019	74 KB	06/12/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: La Vassar 5/30/19	2 MB	06/03/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: La Vassar 6/1/19	52 KB	06/03/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: La Vassar 6/4/19	46 KB	06/09/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: -LU Heikkinen 02-11-2019	13 KB	02/13/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: M. Olson 05-28-2019	50 KB	05/30/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit

Document	Size	Date	Record #	Record Type
Public Comment: Magnolia Community Council 5/20/19	184 KB	05/20/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Masterson 11/27/18	36 KB	11/29/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: McGregor 11/25/18	28 KB	11/27/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Moehring 10-2-19	43 KB	10/04/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Moehring 11/9/18	2 MB	11/14/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Moehring 5/20/19	675 KB	05/24/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Moehring 5/31/19	282 KB	06/03/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Moehring 6/2/19	71 KB	06/03/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Morris 10-3-19	44 KB	10/04/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Mullins 11/16/18	40 KB	11/16/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Mullins 6/4/19	2 MB	06/11/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Olson 11/15/18	39 KB	11/16/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Olson 12/4/18	37 KB	12/09/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: P. Craft 05-20-2019	384 KB	05/20/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Sale 5/20/19	36 KB	05/24/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Stern 6/7/2021	635 KB	06/11/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Wieneke 12-04-2018 Comment Letter	104 KB	12/05/18	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Wieneke 5/20/19	118 KB	05/24/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Wooten 9/10/19	956 KB	09/12/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Wooton 5/21/19	682 KB	05/24/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Public Comment: Wooton 6/2/19	78 KB	06/03/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
PUBLISHED Decision/Recommendation	203 KB	04/22/21	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Record Snapshot	217 KB	05/14/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Revised Plan Sheets - Land Use_Cycle2	30 MB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Revised Plan Sheets - Land Use_Cycle2	4 MB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents

Document	Size	Date	Record #	Record Type
Revised Plan Sheets - Land Use_Cycle2	7 MB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Revision Letter_Cycle2	25 KB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
Revision Letter_Cycle2	161 KB	11/27/19	3028072-LU-002	Upload Documents
SEPA Checklist	4 MB	05/13/19	3028072-LU-001	Application Intake
Site Photos	115 MB	06/30/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Site Plan	1165 KB	06/16/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Site Plan	4 MB	06/20/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Site Plan	5 MB	06/20/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Site Plan	899 KB	07/02/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
Vegetation Report_Cycle3	2 MB	06/19/20	3028072-LU-003	Upload Documents
WDFW Priority Habitat Report	277 KB	06/16/17	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit
ZONING ANALYSIS MATRIX - COMPLETE AND EMBED IN PLANS	16 KB	07/25/19	3028072-LU	Master Use Permit

151 documents found