1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7 8	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER FOR THE CITY OF SEATTLE				
9	In Re: Appeal by				
10	ESCALA OWNERS ASSOCIATION	MUP-20-012			
11		APPELLANT'S WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LIST			
12	of Decisions Re Land Use Application for 1933 5th Avenue, Project 3019699EXHIBIT LIST				
13					
14	Appellant Escala Owners Association here	Appellant Escala Owners Association hereby submits its witness and exhibit lists for the			
15	above-captioned appeal.				
16					
17					
18	Appellant may call the following witnesses to	testify at the appeal hearing. The general subject			
19	matter of their expected testimony is noted below.				
20	1. John Sosnowy, 1920 4 <sup>th</sup> Avenue, Se	attle, WA. Mr. Sosnowy is a resident of Escala			
21	who will provide background and context to underst	and the appellant's position and perspective and			
22	to understand the impacts of the 5 <sup>th</sup> and Virginia pro	to understand the impacts of the 5 <sup>th</sup> and Virginia proposal. He may testify regarding any issue in the			
23	case.				
24		losis will tootify apponding the booldh invest			
25		lesia will testify regarding the health impacts			
26	associated with blocking Escala residents' access to	adaylight. Their qualifications and opinons are			
		Bricklin & Newman, LLP			

1	generally set forth in the comment letters they have submitted previously. Among other things, Mr.	
2	Clark and or Mr. de la Iglesia are expected to testify about the health risks caused by lack of adequate	
3	access to natural light; that the loss of light caused by the Douglaston Tower proposal will expose	
4	Escala residents to significant health risks; that electric light is not an adequate substitute; that the	
5 6	addendum and city staff have ignored the comments provided by Mr. Clark and Mr. de la Iglesia; that	
7	the addendum confuses uncertainty re how to measure health risks with existence of health risks; that	
8	the addendum fails to acknowledge health risks are present; that the addendum wrongly concludes	
9	modeling the risk is not possible when, in fact, good modeling is possible; that Brainerd's conclusions	
10	are outliers; that the addendum is wrong to emphasize that individual responses will differ when that	
11	is always the case with exposure to any environmental hazard; that the impacts are significant if the	
12		
13	people residing on the east side of Escala are subjected to these impacts; that the addendum is wrong	
14	to suggest people can self-mitigate by going outside more; and that the addendum's reliance on WELL	
15	and Stantec is misplaced.	
16	Appellant reserves the right to call any witness identified by any other party, to call additional	
17	rebuttal witnesses, to call additional witnesses to the extent that it is allowed by the City of Seattle	
18	Hearing Examiner Rules, and to call substitute witnesses if any of the witnesses identified above	
19	become unavailable.	
20	II. EXHIBIT LIST	
21		
22	Appellant identifies the following exhibits it may use at the hearing in this matter. A sharefile	
23	link to these documents (other than exhibits 1-3) is being provided to all counsel:	
24	1. All documents that are listed on the City of Seattle Department of Construction and	
25	Inspections Permit and Property Records website (the "project portal") for the 5 <sup>th</sup> and Virginia	
26	Proposal, Project Number 3019699.	

1	2.	All exhibits offered at the prior hearing concerning this same project.	
2	3.	EIS Addenda Since 2005.	
3	4.	Curriculum Vitae of Ed Clark.	
4	5.	Curriculum Vitae of Horacio de la Iglesia.	
5	6.	Babadjanov, Anton, Natural Light in Buildings: NIMBY Rhetoric or Livability	
6 7	Staple, May, 20, 2016.		
8	7.	Letter from Dr. Binh Lieu, MD to 5 <sup>th</sup> and Virginia Development Committee (Dec. 10,	
9	2016).		
10	8.	Baraldo, M., The influence of circadian rhythms on the kinetics of drugs in humans.	
11		on Drug Metabolism & Toxicology, 2008, 4(2): 175-192, DOI:	
12	10.1517/17425255.4.2.175		
13			
14		Viola A.U., et al., Blue-enriched white light in the workplace improves self-reported	
15	alertness, performance and sleep quality. Scand J Work Environ Health, September 2008, 34(4):297-		
16	306. Epub 2008 Sep 22.		
17	10.	Figueiro M, Plitnick B, Lok A, Jones G, Higgins P, Hornick T, Rea M. Tailored	
18	lighting intervention improves measures of sleep, depression, and agitation in persons with		
19 20	Alzheimer's disease and related dementia living in long-term care facilities. Clin Interv Aging.		
20 21	2014;9:1527-1537 (https://doi.org/10.2147/CIA.S68557)		
21	11.	Sleegers, P., Moolenaar, N., Galetzka, M., Pruyn, A., Sarroukh, B., & van der Zande,	
23	B. (2013). Ligh	ting affects students' concentration positively: Findings from three Dutch studies.	
24	Lighting Research & Technology, April 2013, 45(2): 159–175.		
25	https://doi.org/10.1177/1477153512446099		
26			

1	12.	Keis, Oliver & Helbig, Hannah & Streb, Judith & Hille, Katrin, Influence of blue-	
2	enriched clas	ssroom lighting on students' cognitive performance. Trends in Neuroscience and	
3	Education 3 (2014) 86-92.		
4 5	13.	Javier Hernández-Andrés, Javier Romero, Juan L. Nieves, and Raymond L. Lee,	
5 6	"Color and spectral analysis of daylight in southern Europe." J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 18 (2001) 1325-1335		
7	14.	Walch JM, Rabin BS, Day R, Williams JN, Choi K, Kang JD. The effect of sunlight	
8	on postoperat	ive analgesic medication use: a prospective study of patients undergoing spinal surgery.	
9	Psychosom Med., 2005, 67(1):156-163. doi:10.1097/01.psy.0000149258.42508.70.		
10	15.	Vetter et al., Light Me Up? Why, When, and How Much Light We Need. Journal of	
11	BiologicalRh	yhems, SRBR Public Ourtreach Brief, 2019.	
12	16.	Avery, David, The Basics of Sleep.	
13	17.	Van Den Wymelengerg, Kevin, The Benefits of Natural Light. Architectural Lighting	
14			
15	Magazine, Janurary-February 2014 (January 15, 2014).		
16	18.	Edwards, L. and Torcellini, P., A Literature Review of the Effects of Natural Light on	
17	Building Occupants. NREL, July 2002.		
18	19.	Browning, William, Ryan, Catherine, Clancy, Joseph, 14 Patterns of Biophilic Design	
19	– Imroving th	e Health and Well Being of the Built Environment. Terrapin Bright Green, LLC, 2014.	
20 21	20.	Terrapin Bright Green, The Economics of Biophilia – Why Designing With Nature in	
21	Mind Makes	Financial Sense. Terrapin Bright Green, LLC, 2012.	
23	21.	Fahimipour, Ashkaan K., et al., Daylight exposure modulates bacterial communities	
24	associated wit	th household dust. Microbiome, 2018, 6:175.	
25	22.	Kumar, Monica, Designing for Health: Light, Circadian Rhythms, and the Health of	
26		ontract Design, February 8, 2018.	

1	23. Perkins Will Research, Circadian Light Tracker: Preliminary Electronics		
2	Specification, Design and Implementation, April 20, 2018.		
3	24. McLeod, Lauren, Designing in "light" of circadian support: 6 strategies for		
4	commercial spaces. Santec, July 31, 2018.		
5	25. Murrye, Bernard, Does Circadian Lighting Work. Architect Magazine, June 10, 2019.		
6	26. Ewing, Edelstein, Perkins and Will, Simulating Circadian Light: Multi-Dimensional		
7			
8	Illuminance Analysis, 2017.		
9	27. Ball, Lionel J., et al., The Pathophysiological Role of Disrupted Circadian and		
10	Neuroendocrine Rhythms in Breast Carcinogenesis. Endocrine Reviews, October 2016, 37(5): 450-		
11 12	466.		
12	In addition to the exhibits identified above, appellant reserves the right to introduce exhibits		
13	for illustrative purposes, exhibits identified by any other party, exhibits allowed by the City of Seattle		
15	Hearing Examiner Rules, and exhibits for cross-examination or rebuttal.		
16	Dated this 31 <sup>st</sup> day of July, 2020.		
17	Respectfully submitted,		
18	BRICKLIN & NEWMAN, LLP		
19			
20	Varill Bil.		
21	David A. Bricklin, WSBA No. 7583		
22	Attorneys for Escala Owners Association		
23			
24			
25			
26			

**Bricklin & Newman, LLP** Attorneys at Law 1424 Fourth Avenue, Suite 500 Seattle WA 98101 Tel. (206) 264-8600 Fax. (206) 264-9300