

**BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER
FOR THE CITY OF SEATTLE**

In the matter of the appeal of

Hearing Examiner File:

MacDonald, Douglas B.

W-19-007

from a SEPA Determination of Non-Significance
(DNS) issued by the Director, Seattle Department
Of Transportation

**Appellant's Motion for a Discovery Hearing or in the Alternative, for *In Camera*
Review and Ruling by the Hearing Examiner on Several Documents Claimed to Be
Excluded from Discovery by Virtue of Attorney-Client Privilege.**

Discovery Status

In response to Appellant's Discovery Request, the City on February 5th and February 6th provided the Appellant with over 8,000 pages of responsive documents. A review of those documents brought to the Appellant's attention an e-mail from SDOT's Bike Share and Shared Mobility Hub (Mr. Joel Miller) thanking Assistant City Attorney Patrick Downs (and others) for assistance in preparing the SEPA submission. See Attachment A.

For reasons briefly described below, the timing and content of draft iterations of the SEPA Checklist may be probative of whether SDOT met the SEPA requirement that agencies 'shall to the fullest extent possible . . . prepare environmental documents that . . . are supported by evidence that the necessary environmental analyses have been made.'" SMC 25.050.030 B.

Accordingly, on February 11th, Appellant served on the City an interrogatory specifically requesting copies of any drafts of the SEPA Checklist. The Appellant also by interrogatory requested a log of any documents as to which the City was invoking a claim of Attorney-Client Privilege.

The City responded on February 19th. To the best of the Appellant's ability to absorb the voluminous production, the Appellant believes no Draft Checklists have produced. If this is mistaken, the Appellant shall be gladly corrected by counsel for the City. A six-page Privilege Log was provided claiming privilege for 42 items. See Attachment B.

On inspection of the Privilege Log, eight of the 42 items are emails that include reference to "attached draft checklist." An inference reasonably might be drawn that there are perhaps five separate "draft checklists" at issue, generally timed as implied from the following table.

PRIV001	October 1
PRIV003 and PRIV004	October 7
PRIV033	November 15
PRIV034 and PRIV035	November 19
PRIV038 and PRIV039	November 27

No claim is made of attorney work product for any of the items on the Privilege Log.

The Appellant seeks access to the “attached draft checklists” impliedly identified above. To the extent that the emails standing alone without the attachments would properly be subject to the privilege, there is no request for the production of the emails. Just the Draft Checklists suitably identified to place them in the chronology of events.

The materiality of this evidence.

Based on the review of a considerable documentary record, the Appellant can aver that the evidence produced at the hearing will show:

- A SEPA process at SDOT was underway with respect to some version of a Scooter Share Program and SMC amendments, at least as early as sometime in early-October.
- For at least two absolutely central features of the Scooter Share Program that should have been subject to an identification and evaluation of potential significant adverse environmental impacts, the City made major mid-course revisions to the emergent proposal:
 - Sometime between October 24th and November 20th, the scale of the emergent proposal was increased from 10,000 shared e-scooter devices to up to 20,000 shared e-scooter devices (triggering identification and analysis of impacts on Elements of the Built Environment specifically “B.3 (a) – (e), Transportation” and “B.4. (a), (b), (d) and (i – health services), Public Services and Utilities.”
 - On or about November 13th – November 15th, a complete overhaul and major revision was made for the delineation within the right-of-way (including sidewalks and streets throughout the entire city) where shared e-scooter riding as well as riding of privately owned motorized stand-up electric scooters would be allowed and disallowed (same required elements of impact identification and assessment as above).
- On November 12th, Mayor’s Office responsible liaison with SDOT on the scooter share program emailed two senior members of the Mayor’s staff, writing:

“We have to make some decisions this week re: scooter share pilot program for the SEPA review.” (Attachment C, emphasis supplied for convenience of reference).

- Between November 19th and November 21st an email thread (Attachment D, emphasis supplied for convenience of reference) demonstrates that internal activity at SDOT was seeking fresh attention to “the SEPA inputs summary.”
- On or about November 25th, SDOT met with the Mayor or senior members of her staff with a stated objective (if properly elicited from the Draft of a PowerPoint presentation apparently prepared for such a briefing) of receiving “Feedback and Guidance on these two topics:” (Slide 17)
 - “Based on permit conditions and management expectations, what is desirable number of devices?”
 - “What is the maximum number of devices to permit to launch?”

And noted “Upcoming Actions:” (Slide 18)

- “SEPA Review”

The Cover Page and Slides 17 and 18 of the PowerPoint are attached as Exhibit E. The Appellant must acknowledge in view of the large volume of documents produced, that if a materially superseded version of this Draft PowerPoint was used in the presentation to the Mayors’ Office, it has not been located by the Appellant after diligent effort, and the Appellant would be appreciative of attention being promptly drawn to a superseded or non “Draft” document.

- On December 2nd, the first business day after the intervening Thanksgiving Holiday, the DNS and SEPA Checklist were signed.

The “attached draft checklists” (or any of them) identified in the table above, may illuminate whether SDOT failed to conduct even a *prima facie* identification and evaluation of impacts for the Proposal that led to the Determination on Non-Significance for SEPA purposes. This argues for their careful scrutiny for claimed protection of the privilege that may shroud what the Draft Checklists will show.

Further, if the all drafts of the Checklist *are* subject to the Attorney-Client Privilege, any testimony of City witnesses as to matters contained within them may constitute a waiver of the privilege at the hearing – a most awkward situation that should be avoided if possible.

Relief requested in this motion.

1. A hearing to test the claims of Attorney-Client Privilege on the “draft attached checklists” impliedly described in the above table. Cumbersome. Problematic in light of the tight deadline for exchange of exhibit lists (Monday, March 2nd). Probably not the most practical and efficacious course to a prompt resolution of the appeal. Alternatively:
2. The “draft checklists” at issue should be submitted for inspection *in camera* to the Hearing Examiner for ruling on the application of the privilege. The Appellant would appreciate that submission of the documents *in camera* would be accompanied by a complete identification of the documents in question (for example, whether or not labeled as “privileged” and the substance of any submittal by counsel to the City as to the applicability of the privilege and full verification that no use of the documents has been made in a non-privileged setting that would constitute a waiver of the privilege for the draft checklists, even if not the transmittal emails.

The Appellant requests that if any resolution of Attorney-Client Privilege claims is made in favor of production of one or more documents, provision be made for any necessary amendment of the Exhibit List timely following the March 2nd deadline. As to any document to which the Attorney-Client Privilege survives *in camera* review, the Appellant requests that copies of the document accompany the City to the hearing in case issues of waiver should arise at hearing.

Respectfully submitted:



Douglas B. MacDonald
Appellant, *pro se*

902 North 79th Street
Seattle WA 98103
360 701 1786
dbmacdonal@earthlink.net

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on this date I electronically filed a copy of **Appellant's Motion for a Discovery Hearing or in the Alternative, for *In Camera* Review and Ruling by the Hearing Examiner of Several Documents Claimed to Be Excluded from Discovery by Virtue of Attorney-Client Privilege** with the Seattle Hearing Examiner using its e-filing system.

I also certify that on this date a copy of the same document was sent via e-mail to the following party:

Patrick Downs
Assistant City Attorney
Seattle City Attorney's Office
Patrick.downs@Seattle.gov

Dated this 24th day of February, 2020.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Douglas B. MacDonald", followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Douglas B. MacDonald

Scooter share SEPA finalized

From: "Miller, Joel" <joel.miller@seattle.gov>

"Zimbabwe, Sam" <sam.zimbabwe@seattle.gov>, "Lorenzana, Candida" <candida.lorenzana@seattle.gov>, "Melanson, Karen" <karen.melanson@seattle.gov>, "Larsen, Shauna" <shauna.larsen@seattle.gov>, "Downs, Patrick" <patrick.downs@seattle.gov>, "Macik, Jill" <jill.macik@seattle.gov>, "Hancock, Joel" <joel.hancock@seattle.gov>, "Pazuhanics, Alex" <alex.pazuhanics@seattle.gov>, "Winkler, Stefan" <stefan.winkler@seattle.gov>, "Rula, Kelly" <kelly.rula@seattle.gov>, "Nelson, Alyse" <alyse.nelson@seattle.gov>, "Steel, Angela" <angela.steel@seattle.gov>

To:

Date: Wed, 04 Dec 2019 15:00:39 -0800

Attachments: image001.png (18.3 kB); DNS SDOT Scooter Share.pdf (148.25 kB); SDOT Scooter Share SEPA Checklist Final.pdf (437.34 kB)

All,

The SEPA DNS has been completed for the scooter share program and associated proposed legislative changes. Joel Hancock submitted it this morning to the Daily Journal of Commerce for a Monday notification date. That date will start the clock on the 2-week comment period and additional 1-week appeal period. We will also publish links to the DNS and Checklist on the scooter share website Monday AM. See attached for those documents.

We do want to thank Joel Hancock for leading this process, and Jill Macik, Patrick Downs, and Alyse Nelson for their invaluable input. It was a huge effort by the entire team and we really do appreciate all the help!

Please let us know if you have any questions.

- New Mobility Team



Joel Miller

Bike Share Program Manager, Transit and Mobility Division

City of Seattle, Department of Transportation

O: 206-684-7693 | M: 206-200-6357 | joel.miller@seattle.gov

[Web](#) | [Blog](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Instagram](#) | [YouTube](#) | [Flickr](#) | [Customer Service](#)

he, him, his

City of Seattle's Privilege Log MacDonald v. City, HE File No. W-19-007 Interrogatory/RFP 21				
ID	Date	People	Privilege Description	Privilege Asserted
PRIV001	10/1/2019	From: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Macik, Jill" To: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Rula, Kelly"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV002	10/7/2019	From: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Nelson, Alyse"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV003	10/7/2019	From: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Miller, Joel"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV004	10/7/2019	From: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Miller, Joel"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV005	10/8/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV006	10/9/2019	From: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV007	10/17/2019	From: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Rula, Kelly"	Email concerning attorney research on scooters.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV008	10/17/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Pazuchanics, Alex" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning attorney research on scooters.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV009	10/18/2019	From: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Pazuchanics, Alex" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning attorney research on scooters with attached research.	Attorney Client Privilege

ID	Date	People	Privilege Description	Privilege Asserted
PRIV010	10/18/2019	From: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV011	10/22/2019	From: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV012	10/22/2019	From: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV013	10/22/2019	From: "Rula, Kelly" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV014	10/22/2019	From: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege

ID	Date	People	Privilege Description	Privilege Asserted
PRIV015	10/23/2019	From: "Steel, Angela" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Nelison, Alyse" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV016	10/23/2019	From: "Winkler, Stefan" To: "Rula, Kelly"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV017	10/23/2019	From: "Rula, Kelly" To: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Nelison, Alyse" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV018	10/24/2019	From: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV019	10/24/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Pazuchanics, Alex" CC: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Rula, Kelly"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV020	10/24/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Pazuchanics, Alex" CC: "Rula, Kelly"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV021	10/24/2019	From: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Miller, Joel"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV022	10/24/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Hancock, Joel"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV023	10/25/2019	From: "Nelison, Alyse" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Macik, Jill" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege

ID	Date	People	Privilege Description	Privilege Asserted
PRIV024	10/25/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Macik, Jill" To: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV025	10/25/2019	From: "Macik, Jill" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV026	10/25/2019	From: "Rula, Kelly" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Macik, Jill" To: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV027	10/25/2019	From: "Rula, Kelly" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV028	10/25/2019	From: "Macik, Jill"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV029	10/25/2019	From: "Macik, Jill" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV030	10/29/2019	From: "Macik, Jill" To: "Miller, Joel"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege

ID	Date	People	Privilege Description	Privilege Asserted
PRIV031	10/29/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Downs, Patrick"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV032	11/5/2019	From: "Rula, Kelly" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Macik, Jill" To: "Miller, Joel" To: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV033	11/15/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Rula, Kelly"	Email concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV034	11/19/2019	From: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV035	11/19/2019	From: "Miller, Joel" To: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV036	11/26/2019	From: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft legislation.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV037	11/26/2019	From: "Rula, Kelly" To: "Downs, Patrick" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Nelson, Alyse" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft legislation.	Attorney Client Privilege

ID	Date	People	Privilege Description	Privilege Asserted
PRIV038	11/27/2019	From: "Steel, Angela" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Hancock, Joel" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist and legislation.	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV039	11/27/2019	From: "Hancock, Joel" To: "Downs, Patrick" To: "Nelson, Alyse" To: "Rula, Kelly" CC: "Macik, Jill" CC: "Miller, Joel" CC: "Steel, Angela" CC: "Winkler, Stefan"	Email string concerning scooter SEPA with attached draft checklist and comments by Sam Zimbabwe (Zimbabwe's comments produced in folder 21).	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV040	9/27/2019	Joel Hancock, Joel Miller, Patrick Downs, Kelly Rula	Draft SEPA checklist	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV041	10/30/2019	Joel Hancock, Joel Miller, Patrick Downs, Kelly Rula, Jill Macik, Alyse Nelson	Draft SEPA checklist	Attorney Client Privilege
PRIV042	11/15/2019	Joel Hancock, Joel Miller, Patrick Downs, Kelly Rula, Jill Macik, Alyse Nelson	Draft SEPA checklist	Attorney Client Privilege

FW: Scooter share next steps

From: "Helmbrecht, Elliot" </o=exchangelabs/ou=exchange administrative group (fydibohf23spdlt)/cn=recipients/cn=12f385c0ae774442aadf17dfbe9370dahelmbre1">
To: "Stoddard, Amanda" <amanda.stoddard@seattle.gov>
Cc: "Ranganathan, Shefali" <shefali.ranganathan@seattle.gov>, "Formas, Stephanie" <stephanie.formas@seattle.gov>
Date: Tue, 12 Nov 2019 13:23:58 -0800
Attachments: image002.png (8.34 kB); image005.png (9.57 kB)

Amanda – Can you see if Shefali and Stephanie have 30 minutes on Thursday to discuss?



Elliot Helmbrecht (he, him, his)
 Office of Mayor Jenny A. Durkan | City of Seattle
 O: 206-233-2011 M: 206-379-1286 | elliott.helmbrecht2@seattle.gov
[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Subscribe to Mayor Durkan's E-Newsletter](#)

From: Formas, Stephanie <Stephanie.Formas@seattle.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 9:45 AM
To: Helmbrecht, Elliot <Elliot.Helmbrecht@seattle.gov>; Ranganathan, Shefali <Shefali.Ranganathan@seattle.gov>
Subject: RE: Scooter share next steps

Today isn't great but I would like to make Wednesday/Thursday work if we need to update Jenny on Friday.



Stephanie Formas
 Chief of Staff (She/Her)
 Office of Mayor Jenny A. Durkan | City of Seattle
 M: 206-247-0475 | stephanie.formas@seattle.gov
[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Subscribe to Mayor Durkan's E-Newsletter](#)

From: Helmbrecht, Elliot <Elliot.Helmbrecht@seattle.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, November 12, 2019 9:03 AM
To: Ranganathan, Shefali <Shefali.Ranganathan@seattle.gov>; Formas, Stephanie <Stephanie.Formas@seattle.gov>
Subject: Scooter share next steps

Shefali and Stephanie – We have to make some decisions this week re: scooter share pilot program for the SEPA review and I'd also like to give you both an update on outreach/next steps. What format makes the most sense to move this forward? Memo, meeting with both of you with/without sdot staff? The team has various different materials prepared but it might make the most sense to walk through some of the details in person together.

Let me know your thoughts on this and I'll plan accordingly.



Elliot Helmbrecht (he, him, his)

[Office of Mayor Jenny A. Durkan](#) | City of Seattle

O: 206-233-2011 M: 206-379-1286 | elliott.helmbrecht2@seattle.gov

[Facebook](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Subscribe to Mayor Durkan's E-Newsletter](#)

Re: Scooter Interactions With MO this week and next


From : "Pazuchanics, Alex" <alex.pazuchanics@seattle.gov>
To: "Reardon, Emily" <emily.reardon@seattle.gov>, "Lorenzana, Candida" <candida.lorenzana@seattle.gov>, "Rula, Kelly" <kelly.rula@seattle.gov>, "Winkler, Stefan" <stefan.winkler@seattle.gov>, "Miller, Joel" <joel.miller@seattle.gov>
Date: Thu, 21 Nov 2019 09:19:14 -0800

Correct, I think that is no longer a pressing question. 

From: Reardon, Emily <Emily.Reardon@seattle.gov>
Sent: Thursday, November 21, 2019 8:06 AM
To: Lorenzana, Candida <Candida.Lorenzana@seattle.gov>; Rula, Kelly <Kelly.Rula@seattle.gov>; Winkler, Stefan <Stefan.Winkler@seattle.gov>; Pazuchanics, Alex <Alex.Pazuchanics@seattle.gov>; Miller, Joel <Joel.Miller@seattle.gov>
Subject: RE: Scooter Interactions With MO this week and next

Hi Alex,

Thanks for sending, I'll look at this today as well. I haven't heard of any meeting confirmation yet, but Elliot did mention that Sam would not need to attend as long as the info is the same and sidewalk riding off the table. Sounds like we're no longer recommending that, correct?


 Emily Reardon
 (she/her)
 Deputy Chief of Staff, Director's Office
 O: 206-615-1235 | M: 206-637-4799

From: Lorenzana, Candida <Candida.Lorenzana@seattle.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2019 3:18 PM
To: Rula, Kelly <Kelly.Rula@seattle.gov>; Winkler, Stefan <Stefan.Winkler@seattle.gov>; Pazuchanics, Alex <Alex.Pazuchanics@seattle.gov>; Miller, Joel <Joel.Miller@seattle.gov>
Cc: Reardon, Emily <Emily.Reardon@seattle.gov>
Subject: RE: Scooter Interactions With MO this week and next

Alex,

Have we received any confirmation on upcoming meetings with the Mayor's Office? I can review the draft today/tonight and have comments tomorrow.

Thanks,
 Candida

From: Rula, Kelly <Kelly.Rula@seattle.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, November 20, 2019 10:29 AM
To: Winkler, Stefan <Stefan.Winkler@seattle.gov>; Pazuchanics, Alex <Alex.Pazuchanics@seattle.gov>;

Miller, Joel <Joel.Miller@seattle.gov>

Cc: Lorenzana, Candida <Candida.Lorenzana@seattle.gov>; Reardon, Emily <Emily.Reardon@seattle.gov>

Subject: RE: Scooter Interactions With MO this week and next

My comments/edits in the SS. @Pazuchanics, Alex- I didn't feel comfortable with the parking fee component. I am nervous about signing us up for additional work... we should discuss.

From: Winkler, Stefan <Stefan.Winkler@seattle.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 8:23 PM

To: Pazuchanics, Alex <Alex.Pazuchanics@seattle.gov>; Rula, Kelly <Kelly.Rula@seattle.gov>; Miller, Joel <Joel.Miller@seattle.gov>; Ukegbu, Charles <cukegbu@dot.nyc.gov>

Cc: Lorenzana, Candida <Candida.Lorenzana@seattle.gov>; Reardon, Emily <Emily.Reardon@seattle.gov>

Subject: Re: Scooter Interactions With MO this week and next

Take a look: <https://seattle.gov.sharepoint.com/:p/s/dot/transitmobility/ETquTLOsw21Bjo4E6S-eVMMBkb138gsJWv9Cu49ToZrUeQ>

Excuse brevity and typos. Email pounded out with XL thumbs.

Stefan Winkler

Scooter Share Pilot Program Manager, Transit and Mobility Division

City of Seattle, Department of Transportation

Stefan.Winkler@Seattle.gov

Web | Blog | Facebook | Twitter | Instagram | YouTube | Flickr | Customer Service

he, him, his

From: Pazuchanics, Alex <Alex.Pazuchanics@seattle.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, November 19, 2019 6:00:33 PM

To: Rula, Kelly <Kelly.Rula@seattle.gov>; Winkler, Stefan <Stefan.Winkler@seattle.gov>; Miller, Joel <Joel.Miller@seattle.gov>; Ukegbu, Charles <cukegbu@dot.nyc.gov>

Cc: Lorenzana, Candida <Candida.Lorenzana@seattle.gov>; Reardon, Emily <Emily.Reardon@seattle.gov>

Subject: Scooter Interactions With MO this week and next

Brief update from Elliot- sounds like the possibility for a briefing with the CoS on Friday, meeting with the mayor the week of thanksgiving (hopefully mon or tues). Stefan, let's get another turn on the deck ASAP. Joel, ditto with the SEPA inputs summary.

CL, ER ccing for awareness. Will share the draft deck with you some point tomorrow- little new information, more a repackaging of the various strategies already identified.

Thanks all

SDOT

COS0006602

DRAFT: Scooter Share Pilot Strategy

Mayor's Office E-Team Briefing

SDOT Transit & Mobility
Mayoral Briefing
November 25, 2019

Attachment E



City of Seattle

Feedback & Guidance

Based on permit conditions and management expectations, what is desirable number of devices?

What is the maximum number of devices to permit at launch?



Upcoming Actions

- SEPA Review
- Required Council Actions- Permit fees, fine levels, riding locations
- Solicitation process and permit approvals

