

Edlund-Cho, Galen

From: Inge Anderson <inge.t.anderson@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, December 09, 2019 2:58 PM
To: Edlund-Cho, Galen
Subject: COMPLAINT W-19-004 SEPA appeal Hearing Witness and exhibits

CAUTION: External Email

Good afternoon,

This is a complaint in regards to Mr. Galen Edlund-Cho.

On November 27, 2019, Appellant Inge Anderson submitted her witness and exhibit list to Appellee David Graves and the office of the Hearing Examiner, to Mr. Edlund-Cho. No response was received whatsoever. No mentioning that proposed exhibits were accepted and e-filed, nothing.

Today, Appellee Graves had many communications with Mr. Edlund-Cho, where he was provided legal advice, against policy. Upon receiving these notions, Appellant called Mr. Edlund-Cho asking what is going on, and why he is providing Appellee with legal advice and moreover, why Appellee's exhibits are e-filed, but not Appellant's exhibits?

Mr. Edlund-Cho continued that the Hearing Commissioner may decide if an exhibit is admissible. Appellant, therefore, requested which rules of evidence to follow at the hearing, as no rules are provided. Mr. Edlund-Cho advised Appellant that court rules are not that important for Pro Se Appellant, not for this office, not in State Court and not in Federal Court: "A Pro se does not have to follow the rules." While that is a blatant disregard for the Court, it is also absolutely untrue. If Appellant's exhibits are not admissible due to hearsay, she should be allowed to Motion to Continue as to bring witnesses to authenticate the exhibits and lay foundation for admission into evidence. It would have been lovely if someone would clear up if there are rules and which rules there are to follow.

On Appellant's witness and exhibit list; Appellant submitted her request for witnesses to appear at the hearing, noted on December 16, 2019, twelve days ago, however no subpoena has been provided. This is due to Mr. Edlund-Cho lack of communication or ability to produce, however Clerical Mistakes are allowed to be corrected. Appellant is asking for a correction.

Perhaps a Pro Se Appellant is just not being taken seriously? According to Rule 3.12, (g) a subpoena shall be made available upon request. Following Rule 3.12 (d), the subpoena needs to be served within 7 days of the hearing, which is TODAY. Appellant submitted her request 12 days ago, which is plenty of time to produce and serve a subpoena, but Appellant can not serve a subpoena because Mr. Edlund-Cho refuses to take Appellant seriously.

In the alternative, and with regards for the Hearing Examiners calendar; Appellant requests a Court Order for both witnesses, David Graves and Emily Griffith, to appear at the hearing.

Mr. Edlund-Cho became very defensive and rude, ending the phone call abruptly, telling Appellant that she could submit a written grievance to himself, about himself. This seems very peculiar, at the least. This is not the first time that Mr. Edlund-Cho dropped the ball, allowing a continuance without providing any continued dates for exhibits and witnesses.

If the Rules are not important, at least not important enough to be taken seriously by the Office of the Hearing Commissioner, then how is a Pro Se Appellant supposed to follow the rules?

Appellant wants to know the rules on rebuttal, examination and impeachment, so she can adequately prepare for the upcoming hearing. May she treat Mr. Graves as a hostile witness and ask leading questions?

Appellant Pro Se hereby files her grievance.

Sincerely,

Inge Anderson
(202) 695-0448

On Wed, Nov 27, 2019 at 2:34 PM Inge Anderson <inge.t.anderson@gmail.com> wrote:
Please see attached.

Appellant is asking to make David Graves and Emily Griffith available for the hearing as witnesses.



[Exhibit 1 Westcrest OLA SEPA DNS \(1\).pdf](#)



[Exhibit 2 WestcrestParkOLASiteMap \(1\).pdf](#)



[Exhibit 3 Notice of Appeal Hearing W-19-004 \(An...](#)



[Exhibit 4 Certificate of Service - Notice of Ap...](#)



[Exhibit 5 Prehearing Order W-19-004 \(Anderson\).pdf](#)



[Exhibit 6 Certificate of Service - Prehearing O...](#)



[Exhibit 7 .pdf](#)



[Exhibit 7A Steve.pdf](#)



[Exhibit 8 W-19-004 Order of Continuance \(Anders...](#)



[Exhibit 9 W-19-004 Certificate of Service \(Orde...](#)



[Exhibit 10 W-19-004 Order of Continuance \(Ander...](#)



[Exhibit 11 Proposed Westcrest Temp OLA.pdf](#)



[Exhibit 12 Gmail - SPU land use Temporary West ...](#)



[Exhibit 13 PRR Oct312019.pdf](#)



[Exhibit 13A MOA_SPU_Sept_2006_\(signed\).pdf](#)



[_Exhibit 14 2015 MOA Parks_SPU.pdf](#)



[_Exhibit 15 11_07_2019 letter SPU .pdf](#)



[_Exhibit 16 .pdf](#)



[_Exhibit 17 Quote 1 \\$2115.pdf](#)



[_Exhibit 18 Quote 2 \\$4745.pdf](#)



[_Exhibit 19 Quote 3 \\$5124.pdf](#)

Sincerely,

Inge Anderson
(202) 695-0448