

<b>Description</b>	<p>Minutes for W-18-013  Seattle Mobility Coalition  Transportation Impact Fee Plan Amendments DNS  Wednesday, August 28, 2019</p> <p>Time: 2:00 p.m.  Examiner: Ryan Vancil  Assistant: Galen Edlund-Cho</p> <p>Party Representative:</p> <p>Seattle Mobility Coalition, Appellant for W-18-013</p> <p>Courtney Kaylor, Appellant Legal Council</p> <p>Ketil Freeman, for Department City Council</p> <p>Liza Anderson, Department Legal Council</p>			
<b>Date</b>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td data-bbox="386 856 548 903">8/28/2019</td> <td data-bbox="548 856 698 903"><b>Location</b></td> <td data-bbox="698 856 1429 903">LargeHearingRoom</td> </tr> </table>	8/28/2019	<b>Location</b>	LargeHearingRoom
8/28/2019	<b>Location</b>	LargeHearingRoom		

<u>Time</u>	<u>Speake</u>	<u>Note</u>
<a href="#">2:00:34 PM</a>		On the record.
<a href="#">2:00:41 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner re-opens W-18-013.
<a href="#">2:00:50 PM</a>		Liza Anderson introduces herself and Ketil Freeman for the City.
<a href="#">2:01:03 PM</a>		Courtney Kaylor introduces herself and David Carpman for the Appellant.
<a href="#">2:01:19 PM</a>		Hearing Examiner introduces his reasons for re-opening W-18-013.
<a href="#">2:03:35 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner provides procedural instructions.
<a href="#">2:04:05 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner raises the Appellant's issue that the City's SEPA determinations are piecemealed and based on inadequate information.
<a href="#">2:06:14 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner provides W-15-008 & MUP-17-035 as examples of similar cases.
<a href="#">2:07:32 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner asks Kaylor to clarify and differentiate piecemeal and lack of information issues.
<a href="#">2:08:16 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner enquires about the prima facie compliance requirements.
<a href="#">2:10:50 PM</a>		Kaylor begins her response.
<a href="#">2:11:25 PM</a>		Kaylor asks clarifying questions.
<a href="#">2:12:44 PM</a>		Anderson enquires about the order of burden regarding prima facie compliance.
<a href="#">2:15:07 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner responds.
<a href="#">2:15:22 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner enquires about scheduling responses.
<a href="#">2:15:42 PM</a>		Kaylor enquires about format.
<a href="#">2:16:07 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner responds to Kaylor's question.
<a href="#">2:16:41 PM</a>		Kaylor responds to the Hearing Examiner.
<a href="#">2:16:59 PM</a>		Anderson concurs with Kaylor's request.
<a href="#">2:17:23 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner enquires about Kaylor's availability.
<a href="#">2:17:37 PM</a>		Kaylor responds.
<a href="#">2:17:49 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner conveys urgency.
<a href="#">2:18:14 PM</a>		Kaylor requests two week time frame for producing initial briefing.
<a href="#">2:19:22 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner responds to scheduling discussion.
<a href="#">2:19:54 PM</a>		September 6 is date due for additional closing arguments.
<a href="#">2:20:08 PM</a>		September 11 is date due for response brief.
<a href="#">2:20:52 PM</a>		The Hearing Examiner clarifies parameters of issues/context.
<a href="#">2:20:59 PM</a>		Anderson enquires about the Hearing Examiner's anticipated decision date.
<a href="#">2:21:32 PM</a>		Hearing adjourned.
<a href="#">2:21:37 PM</a>		Off the record.