

From: [Suder, Jerry](#)
To: [Landry, David](#)
Subject: RE: RE: 3032833-LU and 3032834-LU
Date: Thursday, April 18, 2019 10:30:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)

Yes, if the reason for the condition is explained in the analysis. My understanding is something like this (feel free to make a more accurate statement and you can validate the language with our GeoTech is you wish):

***SDCI geotechnical engineer determined that new construction on the upslope lot (Parcel ____)
should only be allowed if site stabilization is installed on the downslope lot (Parcel ____).
Therefore, the short plat will be approved with the condition to require a site stabilization wall...***

From: Landry, David <David.Landry@seattle.gov>
Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2019 9:49 AM
To: Suder, Jerry <Jerry.Suder@seattle.gov>
Subject: RE: 3032833-LU and 3032834-LU

Hello Jerry,

Is it appropriate to add conditions to the short plats that we discussed using our authority in (SMC 25.09.080 A and B).



David L. Landry MCP-AICP, MLA, LEED® AP+ND
Senior Land Use Planner

City of [Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections](#)

P: 206.684.5318 | F: 206.233.7902 | david.landry@seattle.gov



“As stewards and regulators of land and buildings, we preserve and enhance the equity, livability, safety and health in our communities”.