1 2		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	DEEODE THE HEADING EVAMINED	
7	BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER CITY OF SEATTLE	
8910	In the Matter of the Appeal of:) Hearing Examiner File:) W-18-002 DISCOVERY PARK COMMUNITY)	
11	ALLIANCE, et al.,) Motion to Exclude Exhibits and Witnesses	
12 13 14	from a decision of the City of Seattle, Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Fort Lawton Army Reserve Center Redevelopment Project.) and to Dismiss) () () () () () () () () () () () () () (
15	I. INTRODUCTION	
16	Ms. Campbell failed to file her exhibit and witness list by the August 31, 2018 deadline	
17	set in the Examiner's Prehearing Order. Instead, days after the deadline to file the list passed,	
18	Ms. Campbell brought a motion to extend her filing deadline, which was denied. To date, Ms.	
19	Campbell has still not filed her witness and exhibit list.	
20	Ms. Campbell's failure to file the list has prejudiced the City by impairing its ability to	
21	prepare for the hearing. The City's witness preparation sessions have concluded without	
22	knowing what documents or witnesses Ms. Campbell will attempt to rely on in her case.	
23		

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

As a result, the Examiner should exclude Ms. Campbell from filing any list, or offering exhibits or calling witnesses in this appeal. And, if Ms. Campbell cannot offer exhibits or call witnesses in the hearing, Ms. Campbell cannot meet her burden of proving the FEIS is inadequate. As a result, the Examiner should dismiss this appeal.

II. FACTS

On May 23, 2018, the Examiner issued a prehearing order setting among other deadlines, these deadlines:

- August 31, 2018: appellant's witness and exhibit list, and exhibit copies;
- September 7, 2018: City's witness and exhibit list, and exhibit copies; and
- September 25, 2018 through September 28, 2018: the hearing.

On September 4, 2018, Ms. Campbell filed a motion to extend discovery and her exhibit and witness filing deadline and the hearing itself. On September 12, 2018 the Examiner denied Ms. Campbell's motion.

To date, Ms. Campbell has not filed her witness and exhibit list. The hearing starts on September 25, six working days after this motion was filed.

III. ISSUES

The May 23 Prehearing Order required Ms. Campbell to file her witness and exhibit list by August 31, 2018. As of September 14, the date of this motion, her witness and exhibit list has not been filed. This willful failure has prejudiced the City's ability to prepare for the hearing. Should Ms. Campbell's witnesses and exhibits be excluded?

Ms. Campbell bears the burden of establishing the FEIS is inadequate. Ms. Campbell's exhibits and witnesses should be excluded because of her willful failure to timely disclose

exhibits and witnesses as required by the Prehearing Order. Should Ms. Campbell's appeal be dismissed when she can present no exhibits or witnesses to demonstrate the FEIS is inadequate?

IV. ARGUMENT

A. Ms. Campbell's exhibits and witnesses should be excluded

The May 23, 2018 Examiner's prehearing order established clear dates for prehearing procedural events. HER 2.02 (y) defines "'Timely'- within the time prescribed by applicable law or, in the absence of applicable law, the time prescribed by Hearing Examiner Rule or order." Ms. Campbell failed to timely file her witness and exhibit list. In fact, it has been two weeks since the filing deadline and a week since the City filed its exhibit and witness list. Because of Ms. Campbell's willful delay in filing her list, the City is requesting the Examiner exclude Ms. Campbell's exhibits and witnesses.

In *The Four Seasons Hotel Seattle et al.*, Hearing Examiner Files HC-18-001 through HC-18-007, the City moved to exclude the appellant's untimely-filed exhibit list. The minutes from the July 16, 2018 hearing, contained in the Examiner's records that are on-line, show between 9:10:07 and 9:21:35 the Deputy Examiner granting the City's motion and excluded the exhibits. All of the below-listed *Four Seasons* dates are in the Hearing Examiner's on-line records. What follows is timeline comparison between *Four Seasons* and this appeal:

Four Seasons	Campbell
June 18, 2018: witness and exhibit list due	August 31, 2018: witness and exhibit list due
July 6, 2018: witness and exhibit list filed	Not filed
July 16, 2018 hearing	September 25, 2018 hearing
Five working days between list filed and hearing date	Six working days left before hearing (as of 9/14/2018) and list not filed

In *Port of Seattle v. Equitable Capital Group, Inc.* 127 Wn,2d 202, 898 P.2d 275 (1995), the Washington State Supreme Court upheld the trial court's motion to exclude the testimony of an appraisal expert when the trial court issued an order "requiring all experts to clearly state their opinion based upon the facts provided by January 11, 1993 [the pre-trial order date]." *Id.* at 209-10. When no reasonable excuse for noncompliance with a pre-trial order exists, the noncompliance is willful. *See Falk v. Keene Corp.*, 53 Wn. App. 238, 251, 767 P.2d 576, 584 (1989), *aff'd and remanded*, 113 Wn.2d 645, 782 P.2d 974 (1989) ("The absence of a reasonable excuse for noncompliance with a discovery order is sufficient to support a finding that the noncompliance was willful.").

The reason Ms. Campbell was required to file her exhibit and witness list before the City files its list is, so the City could assess her exhibits and witnesses and respond when preparing our list. That did not happen when Ms. Campbell willfully failed to file her list. And the reason there is an exhibit and witness list disclosure date for both parties before the hearing is, so the parties can prepare their witnesses to respond to the exhibits and witnesses listed by the other party.

The City's window to prepare its witnesses for unidentified exhibits and witnesses has closed with a hearing now a few days away. The City is prejudiced in preparing its case just as it was in *The Four Seasons*. Further, Ms. Campbell's willful disregard for the Prehearing Order's exhibit and witness deadline should also exclude her witnesses based on the above-cited authority.

Ms. Campbell's willful failure to timely file her exhibit and witness list has prejudiced the City's ability to prepare for this matter and her exhibits and witnesses should be excluded.

B. The appeal should be dismissed where Ms. Campbell cannot meet her burden that the FEIS is inadequate

As cited by *In the Matter of the Appeals of Seattle Displacement Coalition, et al., and University District Advocates*, Hearing Examiner File W-15-001 and W-15-004, the Examiner must give "substantial weight to the agency's determination of [FEIS] adequacy." *See* SMC 25.05.680.3. Further, Ms. Campbell carries the burden of proof demonstrating the EIS is "to the contrary" or inadequate. SMC 25.05.680.3.

Ms. Campbell cannot meet this burden. The Examiner should for the reasons stated above, exclude Ms. Campbell's exhibits and witnesses that Ms. Campbell has still not disclosed to the City. Without exhibits and witnesses, Ms. Campbell cannot meet her burden of demonstrating that the FEIS is inadequate. Accordingly, Ms. Campbell's appeal should be dismissed.

V. CONCLUSION

Ms. Campbell's willful refusal to comply with the Examiner's Prehearing Order and the prejudicial effect on the City should not be excused. In any other forum, this delay would result in excluding the exhibits and witnesses. The same result should occur here. Without exhibits or witnesses, Ms. Campbell cannot meet her burden to show the FEIS is inadequate and as a result, the appeal should be dismissed.

19 | //

20 | //

21 | //

22

23

1	Dated this 14 th day of September 2018.
2	PETER S. HOLMES Seattle City Attorney
3	
4	By: s/Patrick Downs, WSBA #25276 Assistant City Attorney Seattle City Attorney's Office
5	701 Fifth Ave., Suite 2050 Seattle, WA 98104-7097
6	Ph: (206) 684-8200 Fax: (206) 684-8284
7	Email: <u>patrick.downs@seattle.gov</u> Attorneys for Respondent Seattle Office of Housing
8	Seattle Office of Housing
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
2	I certify that on this date, I electronically filed a copy of Respondent's Motion to
3	Exclude Exhibits and Witnesses and Dismiss with the Seattle Hearing Examiner using its e-
4	filing system.
5	I also certify that on this date, a copy of the same document was sent by email to the
6	following party:
7	Appellants
8	Discovery Park Community Alliance (DPCA) c/o Elizabeth Campbell
9	4027 – 21 st Avenue West, Suite 205 Seattle, WA 98199
10	dpcacontact@gmail.com neighborhoodwarrior@gmail.com
11	the foregoing being the last known address of the above-named parties.
12	Dated this 14 th day of September 2018, at Seattle, Washington.
13	Dated this 11 day of September 2010, at Scattle, Washington.
14	<u>s/Alicia Reise</u> ALICIA REISE, Legal Assistant
15	TIDION TREAD, Edgar Tissustant
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	