

MUP-18-019 SDCI Reply-Motion and Declaration

Johnson, Alayna <Alayna.Johnson@seattle.gov> To: "Elizabeth Campbell, MPA" <neighborhoodwarrior@gmail.com> Tue, Sep 4, 2018 at 10:41 AM

Thank you for your e-mail. You said near the end that you had e-filed the documents you sent via e-mail, and I wanted to let you know that we did *not* receive those documents in the e-filing portal, and as of now they are not considered filed. You will need to successfully e-file them in order for the Hearing Examiner to consider them.

You also mention that you believe "they have now gone a second time to the appropriate parties." I may be misunderstanding that part, but I want to point out that e-filing a document does not send it to the other parties, only to the Hearing Examiner's Office. When you e-file, you still need to manually e-mail the documents to the other parties.

Thank you!

From: Elizabeth Campbell, MPA <neighborhoodwarrior@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, September 4, 2018 10:16:23 AM
To: Mills, William
Cc: Johnson, Alayna; Sharon Lee
Subject: Re: MUP-18-019 SDCI Reply-Motion and Declaration

Dear All,

My apologies for any confusion regarding lines of communication.

My understanding regarding electronic service is that is what we were doing, sending our motions, communications via email. My understanding during the pre-hearing conference regarding filing was the only thing I did not want to send electronically was the literal exhibits for the hearing, not any communications or documents in the interim time. Perhaps I misunderstood what the hearing examiner was referencing - I have no problem with the electronic service outside of that. Hence, my sending the replies I have via email to the parties - and presuming they were doing the same with me.

In regards to the use of OHE's e-filing system, there was a snafu regarding that. I did not realize until I went to use it that there was a literal special "invitation" so to speak that OHE had to activate in order to be able to e-file. Having only had the experience of e-filing an initial appeal I did not know that any subsequent filings had to be allowed through e-file. That has now been remedied, i have been granted e-filing access.

The second apology is related to then, filing again using e-filing, the documents that I "filed" via email directly to Alayna, Bill, Ralph, et al. I believe that having filed them now using e-file portal, that they now have gone a second time to the appropriate parties.

My third apology is some time and access issues I have had. I recently started a new position that has taken time from my normal schedule, and then I have also moved to having my documents online, in the cloud, which has created some confusion for me - like where are my documents. These are truly my problems and I am sorry for the initial confusion these things may have contributed to the matter herein.

Please let me know if I have mis-filed anything at this point, I believe that I am now current with the e-filing system.

And please let me know regarding the distinction between wanting to get the hearing exhibits via hard copy and receiving all other documents via e-filing or email - I have no objection to that.

Thank you for your time.

Elizabeth Campbell

On Fri, Aug 31, 2018 at 4:29 PM Mills, William < William.Mills@seattle.gov> wrote:

Please see the attached electronic copies of documents also served directly on the Office of Hearing Examiner and by first-class mail to the parties.



Bill Mills

Land Use Planner Supervisor

Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections

O: 206.684.8738 I william.mills@seattle.gov

Facebook I Twitter I Blog

As stewards and regulators of land and buildings, we preserve and enhance the equity, livability, safety, and health in our communities.

Elizabeth Campbell, MPA