## BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER CITY OF SEATTLE

In the Matter of the Appeal of

Hearing Examiner File: MUP-18-001 (P)

## DAVID M. MOEHRING

ORDER ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

from a decision issued by the Director, Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections

The Appellant David M. Moehring ("Appellant") timely submitted a Motion for Reconsideration ("Motion") concerning the Hearing Examiner's Findings and Decision issued in this matter on May 16, 2018.

The Appellant raises two issues in the Motion:

- 1. That the moving party was prevented from having a fair hearing, because Mr. Moehring was not allowed to testify as a witness.
- 2. That a clear mistake a to a material fact was made, because information Appellant believes should have been included in the record and findings was not.

Appellant is mistaken that an appellant has a unilateral right to appear as a witness at any time in a hearing. Mr. Moehring failed to identify himself as a witness in the required witness list prior to the hearing, and the applicant's objection to him appearing as a witness at the hearing was sustained. The witness list was required by binding order of the hearing examiner, and was not optional. The prehearing order stated "Except for purposes of impeachment or rebuttal, only those witnesses and exhibits listed by the parties may be offered at the hearing." Mr. Moehring failed to comply with this requirement. In addition, the Appellant did not state an objection to the ruling on the Applicant's objection at the time of the hearing.

The Appellant's second argument is based in part on his first argument, and in that regard has failed to state a basis for reconsideration. The remainder of Appellant's argument is a recitation and attempt to re-argue issues at the hearing, and does not demonstrate a clear mistake as to a material fact. In addition, Appellant is mistaken that the Hearing Examiner's findings need to be recitation of all evidence and facts raised by all parties at the hearing. The purpose of the findings are to identify evidence and testimony that explain the basis for the Hearing Examiner's conclusions.

The Appellant does not show good cause to re-open the hearing on this matter, and the Hearing Examiner's Findings and Decision issued in this matter on May 16, 2018 remains the final decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Appellant submitted a Revised Motion for Reconsideration on May 31, 2018 which was untimely and not within the required time period, and was therefore not considered.

## MUP-18-001 ORDER ON MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

Page 2 of 2

The Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration is **DENIED**.

Entered this 3 day of June, 2018.

Ryan Vancil, Hearing Examiner Office of Hearing Examiner
P.O. Box 94729
Seattle, Washington 98124-4729

Phone: (206) 684-0521 FAX: (206) 684-0536

# BEFORE THE HEARING EXAMINER CITY OF SEATTLE

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that on this date I sent true and correct copies of the attached <u>Order on Motion for Reconsideration</u> to each person listed below, or on the attached mailing list, in the matter of <u>David Moehring</u>. Hearing Examiner File: <u>MUP-18-001 (P)</u> in the manner indicated.

Party	Method of Service
Appellant David Moehring dmoehring@consultant.com	<ul> <li>U.S. First Class Mail, postage prepaid</li> <li>☐ Inter-office Mail</li> <li>☑ E-mail</li> <li>☐ Fax</li> <li>☐ Hand Delivery</li> <li>☐ Legal Messenger</li> </ul>
Applicant Legal Counsel Brandon Gribben bgribben@helsell.com	<ul> <li>☐ U.S. First Class Mail, postage prepaid</li> <li>☐ Inter-office Mail</li> <li>☐ E-mail</li> <li>☐ Fax</li> <li>☐ Hand Delivery</li> <li>☐ Legal Messenger</li> </ul>
Department Joseph Hurley SDCI Joseph.hurley@seattle.gov	<ul> <li>U.S. First Class Mail, postage prepaid</li> <li>☐ Inter-office Mail</li> <li>☑ E-mail</li> <li>☐ Fax</li> <li>☐ Hand Delivery</li> <li>☐ Legal Messenger</li> </ul>

Dated: June 8, 2018

Alayna Johnson Legal Assistant