

## Dela Cruz, Jeff

---

**From:** Putnam, Sue  
**Sent:** Friday, January 06, 2017 11:49 AM  
**To:** SCI\_Routing\_Coordinator  
**Subject:** PUBlic Comment Letter

---

**From:** Esther Bartfeld [mailto:ebartfeld@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 9:43 AM  
**To:** Baker, Roberta <Roberta.Baker@seattle.gov>  
**Cc:** Dorcy, Michael <Michael.Dorcy@seattle.gov>; Putnam, Sue <Sue.Putnam@seattle.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: City of Seattle DCI Notice #3020114 - December 29, 2016 [notice and decision for 6726 Greenwood]

Thank you Roberta. However the issue is about adequate notice to the community.

Please withdraw the current decision, and re-issue a new decision that is actually posted in the website, and include a new applicable appeal period.

Also we noticed the decision on page 2 under site and vicinity says that the parcel slopes along North E. 50th St. from west to east. That is not accurate. This project is on the corner of N 68th and Greenwood Ave N. In Phinney ridge. Between this error and the one in p29 saying that this project is in the UDistrict urban village center, it appears someone just cut and pasted from some other decision. Please correct these errors in a revised decision.

Thank you.  
-Esther

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 5, 2017, at 9:00 AM, Baker, Roberta <[Roberta.Baker@seattle.gov](mailto:Roberta.Baker@seattle.gov)> wrote:

Sue, will you please look into this ASAP to make sure the decision can be accessed – thanks!

---

**From:** Esther Bartfeld [mailto:ebartfeld@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 04, 2017 3:04 PM  
**To:** Baker, Roberta <[Roberta.Baker@seattle.gov](mailto:Roberta.Baker@seattle.gov)>; Dorcy, Michael <[Michael.Dorcy@seattle.gov](mailto:Michael.Dorcy@seattle.gov)>; Putnam, Sue <[Sue.Putnam@seattle.gov](mailto:Sue.Putnam@seattle.gov)>  
**Subject:** Fwd: City of Seattle DCI Notice #3020114 - December 29, 2016 [notice and decision for 6726 Greenwood]

Michael, Roberta, and Ms. Putnam-

**There appears to be a problem with the availability of the decision in this project that was announced in the Land Use Bulletin on December 29, 2016** (was it really necessary to issue a decision on such a controversial project in that holiday week?).

Below is the message that was sent to parties of record on December 30, the day AFTER the decision was allegedly issued.

**The actual decision, however, is NOT AVAILABLE on the website for this project, including on the link stated in the notice.**

I have a screenshot of the website as of the writing of this email, and only the Notice is included, but not the actual decision.

We had a community meeting last night and numerous people had tried in vain to find the decision.

It appears that the only way for anyone to find the actual decision is to link to it through the Land Use Bulletin that was published on December 29, 2016.

**This failure to make the decision available pursuant to the notice REQUIRES THIS PROJECT TO BE RE-NOTICED with a corresponding new time period for any appeal.** The current notice does not comport with the notice requirements and deprives the public of an opportunity to review the actual decision.

**Accordingly, please re-notice this decision, publish the actual Decision on the project website, and send a revised notice to all parties of record that accurately states how members of the public can find the actual decision.**

Also, you should know that the Decision states inaccurately on page 3 that this project is 44 feet in height. It is not. It is 48 feet, which is readily apparent from the drawings and has been an issue in contention since the project was first proposed

In addition, the Parking analysis on p29 concludes that no parking is required because the project is "located within the University District Northwest Urban Village Center." That is not correct. The project is located within the Phinney Greenwood Urban Village, which is nowhere near the University District Northwest Urban Village Center.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to these issues and for providing accurate and complete notice to the public.

-Esther Bartfeld

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Gonzales Cunneutubby, Reta" <[Reta.Gonzales@Seattle.Gov](mailto:Reta.Gonzales@Seattle.Gov)>  
**Subject:** City of Seattle DCI Notice #3020114 - December 29, 2016  
**Date:** December 30, 2016 at 11:12:19 AM PST  
**To:** "Gonzales Cunneutubby, Reta" <[Reta.Gonzales@Seattle.Gov](mailto:Reta.Gonzales@Seattle.Gov)>

Please see attached Notice published on **December 29, 2016.**

This notice is being sent as you are: an applicant; a board member; a SEPA agency; or a party of record (you attended and signed in at a meeting or emailed/mailed in comments) for this project.

Thanks,

<image001.png>

City of Seattle [Department of Construction and Inspections](#)  
Public Resource Center  
700 Fifth Avenue, Suite 2000  
PO Box 34019, Seattle, WA 98124-4019  
[PRC@seattle.gov](mailto:PRC@seattle.gov)

<image002.png>

<image003.png>

<image004.png>

<image005.gif>

*"As stewards and regulators of land and buildings, we preserve and enhance the equity, livability, safety and health in our communities."*