

**From:** [Wallis Bolz](#)  
**To:** [Mills, William](#)  
**Cc:** [Anderson, Liza](#); [PRC](#)  
**Subject:** Building shade is constant, 3020338  
**Date:** Monday, November 4, 2019 8:09:22 AM

---

**CAUTION: External Email**

Dear Bill Mills,

Trees cast the only shadow you get on the Mad P, and I have been looking at tree shadow in the Mad P. I see that the shadow the trees cast upon the garden is not constant, it is inconstant.

I have been looking also at building shadow. which I see is constant; by this I mean that there is not permeability in building shadow. A building does not lose or move its parts like a tree does.

You should not use the trees to measure the building's impact on the garden. They are not equivalent. The proposed building will create more shade for the Mad P, and it will be permanent and unchanging. This is a significant change in growing conditions at the Mad P. The appropriate response here is a Determination of Significance.

With best regards,  
Wallis Bolz  
2642 E Ward  
Seattle, WA 98112