

**From:** Sally Richardson  
**To:** [PRC](#)  
**Subject:** 3020338-U  
**Date:** Sunday, October 20, 2019 2:49:04 PM

---

CAUTION: External Email

Regarding the shade study done by the builder's paid arborist, the statement "the shadow cast by existing tree canopy is very similar to the shadow cast by the proposed structure" - nonsense and vague, hardly scientific. This conveniently fails to take into account that the trees are deciduous, so that in the spring there is presently more sunlight, the P-Patch soil warms earlier, than it ever can with the proposed building shadow.

There is also better sunlight in the mid to late autumn, when gardeners can expect cover crops to take root. And in winter there is 6 - 7 hours of sunlight that has not been accounted for in the study, which claims much less, based on ... what, averages for the city?

Of course, this all just points up the real issue, which is that the building height is of unreasonable scale and dwarfs the neighborhood that it would darken, affecting real people who live in the area not just the P-Patch.

Respectfully,  
Sally Richardson  
GMV Resident

Sent from my iPad