



CHRIS DAVIDSON
 2001 WESTERN AVENUE, STE 200
 SEATTLE, WA 98121

Re: Project #3020338-LU

Correction Notice #1

Review Type LAND USE
Project Address 2925 E MADISON ST
 SEATTLE, WA 98112
Contact Email CDAVIDSON@STUDIOMS.COM
SDCI Reviewer William K Mills
Reviewer Phone (206) 684-8738
Reviewer Fax
Reviewer Email William.Mills@seattle.gov
Owner

Date May 22, 2019
Contact Phone (206) 587-3797

Address Seattle Department of Construction and Inspections
 700 Fifth Ave
 Suite 2000
 P.O. Box 34019
 Seattle, WA 98124-4019

Corrections also apply to Project(s)

Hello Chris - Please see the correction items below.

Applicant Instructions

Please click on the following link to learn "[How to Respond to a SDCI Correction Notice](#)". If the 3-step process outlined in this document is not followed, there may be a delay in permit issuance and there is a potential for penalty fees.

For instructions on **uploading corrected plans through your portal**, follow this link: [How to Upload a Document to an Existing Permit](#)

Note that you will not be able to upload corrected plans until all reviews are completed and the project's status is "Corrections Required".

Corrections

1. Hearing Examiner Remand. The MUP application has been remanded for additional evaluation of the proposal's impacts under SEPA in two SEPA policy subject areas as summarized below. SDCI will require additional information before proceeding with further land use review of this application.
2. SEPA policy SMC Section 25.05.675.C, Drainage. Please provide additional information to demonstrate how the proposal complies with the SEPA drainage policy. The response should address the issues raised by the Hearing Examiner in her decision in MUP-18-020, Conclusions 15-27, and particularly focusing on how proposed drainage control measures would address SMC Section 25.05.675.C.2.c.
3. SEPA policy SMC Section 25.05.675.Q, shadows on open spaces. Please provide additional information to demonstrate how the proposal complies with the shadow impact policy in respect to impacts on the "Mad P" P-Patch near the project site. The response should address the issues raised by the Hearing Examiner in her decision in MUP-18-020, Conclusions 39-43, focusing on how the proposal addresses Section 25.05.675.Q.2.