Please see comments below from Kathi Titus (kdttoo@gmail.com)

Dear Magda Hogness and committee

As a 27 year resident of Madison Valley, homeowner and 30 year member of PCC I am writing in response to the EDG Proposal #2 posted on DCI's website 10/17/2016. None of the options shown in this proposal adequately respond to the requests and recommendations contained in the memo sent by you to Lucas Branham and Tony Fan on 10/5/2016.

The massive space rising above Dewey Place E is not a reasonable transition to a community of small single family homes. Increasing the setback on Dewey Place E by 5 feet is not a good faith response to the community concern for a reasonable transition to single family housing.

The tree canopy is an important piece of the transitional green space between the Arboretum and pathways through our valley. The board also requested an option that showed how the existing tree canopy might be preserved. None of the options honor this request, as the canopy would not survive with the disturbance to their roots that would happen.

The board requested that the architect and developer communicate with the community. They did not reach out to the community. Furthermore, community efforts to engage them in a dialogue were rebuffed.

No alternative to an above ground parking garage (on Dewey) has been offered. Rather than moving the garage underground, it remains exposed to the public on the eastern side of the site. Furthermore, the pedestrian experience on the eastern side of the building appears to be overwhelming and oppressive. The exposed parking looming over the valley does nothing to enhance the community and certainly doesn't relate to the neighborhood around it.

I am disappointed in the EDG Proposal #2. It does not treat the community's concerns or the board's recommendations and requests with the seriousness they deserve.

Kathi Titus
210-30th Ave East
Seattle, WA 98112