

From: Save Madison Valley
To: [PRC](#)
Subject: Feedback on Project 3020338
Date: Tuesday, October 25, 2016 10:40:57 AM

Please see comments below from Lucy Ray (Larinlondon3010@gmail.com)

Dear Magda Hogness,

I am writing in response to the EDG Proposal #2 posted on DCI's website 10/17/2016. None of the options shown in this proposal adequately respond to the requests and recommendations contained in the memo sent by you to Lucas Branham and Tony Fan on 10/5/2016.

Increasing the setback on Dewey Place E by 5 feet is not a good faith response to the community concern for a reasonable transition to single family housing.

The board also requested an option that showed how the existing tree canopy might be preserved. None of the options honor this request, as the canopy would not survive with the disturbance to their roots that would happen.

The board requested that the architect and developer communicate with the community. They did not reach out to the community. Furthermore, community efforts to engage them in a dialogue were rebuffed.

None of the options presented in the second version of the proposal has a community space as was requested by the community and strongly suggested by the board.

No alternative to an above ground parking garage (on Dewey) has been offered. Rather than moving the garage underground, it remains exposed to the public on the eastern side of the site. Furthermore, the pedestrian experience on the eastern side of the building appears to be overwhelming and oppressive.

I am disappointed in the EDG Proposal #2. It does not treat the community's concerns or the board's recommendations and requests with the seriousness they deserve.

We live in a neighborhood that is rich with history. Progress is a reality. However, when possible, we have choices as to how it takes shape in our neighbourhoods. The response to our proposal shows what little regard is being given to our concerns. Your refusal to meet with us speaks volumes. What changes you sent back to your original plans are so small it appears you gave little thought to the issues. A face to face conversation would give you an opportunity to explain and defend your response to our concerns. Just as important, you could see and feel our passion about protecting our neighborhood.