

From: [moz cooper](#)
To: [PRC](#)
Subject: FW: Letter of Support for PCC Development in Madison Valley
Date: Tuesday, July 12, 2016 8:44:27 AM

As a resident of Madison Park, i would like to totally echo the comments of Lindy Wisheard in their entirety.

Hopefully you will consider this input when you review the design.

In particular i would ask that you focus ofn the truck - pedestrian hazard re: loading from Madison.

Sincerely,
Maurice B. Cooper, P.E.

From: lindy@lindywishard.com
Subject: Letter of Support for PCC Development in Madison Valley
Date: Tue, 12 Jul 2016 07:20:24 -0700
To: mozcooper@hotmail.com

Hi Maurice,

Have you sent an email to the Design Review Committee? The deadline is tomorrow at 5:00 PM. If you haven't done so, would you please?

Given the galvanizing nature of the Save Madison Valley group, it's important that the city hear from some rational voices.

Following are some of my comments to the Review Board. If it's helpful, feel free to use what you want or add/edit as you see fit. Please send an email today - it's important! If you have questions feel free to call me 206-349-9037.

Thank you,
Lindy

Sample Email

To: prc@seattle.gov

Re: 3020338, Development at 2925 E Madison St, Seattle, WA 98112

Dear Design Review Board,

I am a resident of Madison Park.

Following are my comments regarding the massing and design of the PCC development.

BUILDING DESIGN OPTIONS:

- I prefer Option 2 instead of the architect's preferred Option 3.
- A courtyard or greater setbacks on the Madison side of the building—the pedestrian side—will create a more community-oriented street front.
- Greater setbacks in front will allow PCC to better use the front for staging produce, plants, outdoor seating, etc. Maximizing sidewalk space in the front will create a better pedestrian experience and enhance the business district.
- I'm also in favor of greater terracing on the front of the building to allow more light to enter the sidewalk space between Madison Lofts and PCC, and to prevent Madison Street from feeling cavernous.

PARKING:

- I support having as much parking as possible for residents and retail customers. Lack of parking in our neighborhood is an ever-growing challenge. Maximizing the parking garage will minimize overflow parking on the already-congested residential streets. The more parking stalls the better!

VEHICLE ENTRY and EXIT TO THE PARKING GARAGE:

- The architect's preferred alternative places the entry and exit for resident vehicles on the back side of the building and the entrance to the parking garage for grocery store customers on Madison St. Splitting the entries is a good compromise, and seems to be a reasonable way to reduce congestion.

LOADING DOCK:

The architect's preferred alternative has the loading dock entrance on Madison St, which concerns me. This means trucks will pull up to the side of

the building and block a lane while backing into the loading dock. Putting the loading dock on the back of the building seems impractical given the narrow street and a burden to the residents behind the building. On the other hand, entry to a loading dock from Madison St is not in keeping with the pedestrian friendly environment we desire. I would like to see more consideration given to the placement and design of the loading dock.

FIT AND FINISH:

I like the look of the building. I appreciate that the developer is willing to use more expensive materials such as brick and natural wood to blend into the streetscape.

Currently there are no large or plastic backlit signs in the Madison Valley business core. In an effort to preserve the charm of the neighborhood, adhering to modest and thoughtful signage and lighting is a priority.

MERCER STAIRS:

I think the stairway would be nice.

Thank you for considering my comments. We look forward to welcoming our new neighbors.

Sincerely,